

Curly-leaf pondweed (*Potamogeton crispus*)
Post Herbicide Turion Survey
Long Lake – WBIC: 2620600
Polk County, Wisconsin



B. Collins with Ponar Dredge – East Long (10/29/16)

Project Funded by:
Long Lake Protection and Rehabilitation District and the
Wisconsin Dept. of Natural Resources



Germinating CLP Turion on Ponar Dredge

Survey Conducted by and Report Prepared by:
Endangered Resource Services, LLC
Matthew S. Berg, Research Biologist
St. Croix Falls, Wisconsin
October 28-29, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES.....	ii
INTRODUCTION.....	1
CLP LIFE HISTORY AND STUDY OBJECTIVES.....	2
METHODS.....	3
DATA ANALYSIS.....	5
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....	6
2013 Fall Ponar Dredge Turion Survey.....	6
2014 Fall Ponar Dredge Turion Survey.....	6
2015 Fall Ponar Dredge Turion Survey.....	7
2016 Fall Ponar Dredge Turion Survey.....	9
Considerations for Future Management.....	11
LITERATURE CITED.....	11
APPENDIXES.....	11
I: Survey Sample Points and Historic CLP Treatment Areas.....	12
II: 2013, 2014, 2015, and 2016 Fall CLP Turion Density and Distribution Maps.....	15

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

	Page
Figure 1: Long Lake with 2016 CLP Treatment Areas.....	1
Figure 2: Germinating CLP Turion.....	2
Figure 3: Turion Survey Sample Points in Historical Treatment Areas.....	3
Figure 4: East Long Ponar Grab and Turion Sieving.....	4
Figure 5: Predicted Navigation Impairment Based on Turion Density.....	5
Figure 6: 2014 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution.....	6
Figure 7: 2015 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution.....	7
Table 1: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics – East Long Lake, Polk County November 8-9, 2014 and October 31-November 1, 2015.....	8
Figure 8: 2016 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution.....	9
Table 2: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics – East Long Lake, Polk County October 31-November 1, 2015 and October 28-29, 2016.....	10

INTRODUCTION:

Long Lake (WBIC 2620600) is a 2,054 acre stratified drainage lake in central Polk County, Wisconsin in the Towns of Long Lake, Milltown, Georgetown, and Apple River (T34N R17W S10 NE NE). The lake reaches a maximum depth of 37ft north of Cedar Island in the western basin and has an average depth of 20ft (Hopke et al. 1964). Long Lake is mesotrophic bordering on eutrophic in nature, and water clarity is fair with historical summer Secchi readings averaging 6ft in East Long, 7ft in Little Long, and 8ft in the deep hole north of Cedar Island (WDNR 2016). Bottom substrate is variable with muck bottoms in most bays, and rock/sand bars in the Big and Little Narrows and around the lake's many islands.




Figure 1: Long Lake with 2016 CLP Treatment Areas

Curly-leaf pondweed (*Potamogeton crispus*) (CLP) is an invasive exotic plant that is common to abundant in parts of Long Lake. In their 2010 Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) approved Aquatic Plant Management Plan (APMP), the Long Lake Protection and Rehabilitation District's (BLPRD) identified a) reducing overall lake coverage of CLP to <20 acres and b) relieving navigation impairment caused by canopied CLP beds as management goals (Clemens 2010). As part of their continuing efforts to meet these goals, in May 2014 and 2015, the BLPRD and the WDNR authorized the herbicide treatment of five CLP beds in East Long Lake. These beds, which totaled 65.49 acres, were selected based on the 2013 spring CLP bed mapping survey that found they were the largest areas of CLP on the lake, and because they were interfering with boat traffic and/or restricting resident access to the lake from their docks. Although no CLP beds were found in East Long following the treatments, the fall 2015 turion survey suggested there would again be significant amounts of CLP in East Long. Because of this, in spring 2016, 58.27 acres or approximately 2.84% of the lake's total surface area were again treated (Figure 1).

Following the herbicide application on May 5th, we completed a June 1st posttreatment survey to evaluate the effectiveness of this control effort. On June 14th, we also searched the lake's visible littoral zone and mapped all CLP beds found. As in 2014 and 2015, these surveys showed that CLP plants were all but eliminated from East Long by the treatment. Knowing there was still the potential for the beds to return in 2017, the BLPRD, requested a late fall CLP turion survey to determine the level of any latent turions remaining in the lake's substrate. This report is the summary analysis of that survey conducted on October 28-29, 2016.

CLP LIFE HISTORY AND STUDY OBJECTIVES:

Although Curly-leaf pondweed occasionally reproduces by seed, the vast majority of plants resprout from stiff overwintering buds called turions that are normally produced in number by the plants prior to their late June/early July senescence (Figure 2). After the pinecone-like turions germinate in late fall or early winter, plants continue to grow slowly under the ice. Following ice out, growth accelerates, and plants rapidly canopy allowing them a competitive advantage over slower growing native species (Capers 2005).



Figure 2: Germinating CLP Turion

Research suggests approximately 50% of turions germinate in a growing season while the rest remain dormant until the following growing season when another 50% will germinate (Johnson 2012). Depending on the level of turions at a given location, and knowing that latent turions may be able to survive for over 5 years in the sediment, it may take several years of control to exhaust the “turion bank” (R. Newman – U of M unpublished data).

Following the May 5th herbicide application and the 2016 summer growing season, we conducted a posttreatment turion survey on October 28-29th. The goals of the survey were to determine the level of remaining CLP turions within the treatment areas, and, if there were, whether their numbers suggested there would likely be enough to cause navigation issues in 2017. This report is the summary analysis of that field survey.

METHODS:

Previous Long Lake Methods - COMPARE!! Fall Ponar Dredge Turion Survey:

Within the initial 2013 proposed treatment area shapefile, we used Hawth's Analysis Tools Extension to ArcGIS 9.3.1 to generate offset regular points at the rate of 2/acre. We added 10 additional points in thin areas of the polygons where there were no points, or in areas that fell outside the polygons we thought had the potential for CLP growth. These same points were used in 2014 so we could compare year-over-year changes.

At each point, we used a Petite Ponar Grab with a 6in² sample area to take two sediment samples. These samples were then rinsed in a fine sieve. All live turions were tallied, and the total turions in each sieve were multiplied by 18 to give a value in turions/yd². This value gives an idea of how many CLP plants will germinate in an area in 2015. The resulting data, coupled with the CLP exploratory point intercept survey will be used to help determine if, where, and how to treat CLP in 2015.

Ponar Dredge Turion Survey:

After merging the 2014 treatment areas and the 2009 treatment of Bed 14C into a single shapefile, we used Hawth's Analysis Tools Extension to ArcGIS 9.3.1 to generate regular points at the rate of approximately 1.7 points/acre. This produced a 120 point sampling grid of which 18 were in Bed 12, 65 were in Bed 13, 7 were in Bed 14, and the remaining 30 were in the combined area of Beds 14B and 14C. This same sampling grid was also used in 2015 and 2016 to allow for the most accurate comparisons possible (Figure 3) (Appendix I). For ease in determining the total impact of the current treatment program, we also left the 2014 and 2015 narratives in the results section of this report.




Figure 3: Turion Survey Sample Points in Historical Treatment Areas

During the surveys, we located each point with a handheld mapping GPS unit (Garmin 76CSx) and used a Petite Ponar dredge with a 0.0232m^2 (36in^2) sample area to take a bottom sediment grab from each side of the boat at each location. These samples were then rinsed in a fine sieve to separate out the sediment (Figure 4). Samples with high numbers of turions/levels of detritus were bagged for later analysis at which time we discarded all rotten turions, tallied all live turions, and multiplied the combined total live turions from the two samples by 21.53 to estimate turions/ m^2 at each location. This value gives an idea of how many CLP plants will germinate in an area in 2017.



Figure 4: Ponar Grab and Turion Sieving

DATA ANALYSIS:

We entered all data collected into an Excel spreadsheet and used standard formulas in the data analysis tool pack to calculate the following:

Total number of points sampled: This value is the total number of points on the lake within each study area. We took two Ponar samples at each sample point.

Total number of live turions: This value includes all live turions found at all sites within a study area.

Total number of points with live turions: This number includes all survey sites that had at least one turion in **either** of the Ponar samples taken at the site.

Frequency of occurrence: The frequency of turions is generally reported as a percentage of occurrences at all sample points. The value is used to extrapolate coverage within the study area. For example, if 20% of all sample sites have turions, it suggests that 20% of the study area will have at least some Curly-leaf pondweed coverage the following year.

Points at or above nuisance level: This value gives the number of survey sites within the study area that were above the predicted nuisance threshold (Figure 5). Research suggests that when the turion density is at or above 200/m², the following year's CLP growth has the potential to at least moderately impair navigation (Johnson 2012).




Figure 5: Predicted Navigation Impairment Based on Turion Density

Percent nuisance level: The percentage of nuisance points divided by the total number of survey points can be extrapolated to determine what percent of the study area has the potential to have at least moderate navigation impairment during the next growing season.

Mean turions/m²: This value is the average number of turions/m² when pooling the data from all survey sites regardless of whether or not they had turions present.

Standard deviation of turions/m²: This value tells us how far apart the data is from the mean. A low standard deviation suggests most points have a turion density that was

similar to the mean, while a high value suggests there was greater variability in turion density within the sample area.

2014-2015 and 2015-2016 Significant Differences:

Data from the 2014, 2015, and 2016 surveys was compared using paired t-tests as we returned to the same sites during each survey. Year-over-year differences were determined to be significant at $p < .05$, moderately significant at $p < .01$, and highly significant at $p < .005$ (Tables 1 and 2).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:

2014 Fall Ponar Dredge Turion Survey:

The November 8-9th, 2014 survey revealed CLP turions were present throughout much of the 2014 treatment areas with 92 of 120 points having live turions (76.67%) (Figure 6) (Appendix II). Despite this, only six points (5.00%) suggested CLP growth in 2015 had the potential to exceed the nuisance threshold with densities >200 turions/m² (Table 1). When broken down by area, Bed 12 had the highest rate with over 22% of the bed projected to exceed this level. Bed 13 was the only other area with any nuisance points, and both of them were located at the very northern edge of the bed. All of the nuisance points were in areas with shallow water (<5 ft) that historically have also had dense canopied CLP.

The overall mean turion density was 61.53 turions/m². This value suggested that the average potential for impairment would be very low. Turion densities were somewhat variable with all standard deviations values being greater than the mean. However, only Bed 12 was more than 25% higher than the mean, and none were double the mean.




Figure 6: 2014 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution
2015 Fall Ponar Dredge Turion Survey:

Long 2015 Fall Ponar Dredge CLP Turion Survey:

During the October 25th, 2015 Ponar dredge survey, we found 167 CLP turions at 55 of 75 survey points (73.3%). This was nearly triple the density and double the distribution of turions when compared to the 2014 and 2013 surveys (59 turions found at 27 of 75 survey points (36.0%) in 2014 and the 56 turions found at 28 points (37.3%) in 2013). Of these, only one point (in Bed 1) exceeded the expected “navigation nuisance level” of 200/sqr. yd., but 27 (up from eight in 2014 and six in 2013) topped 50/sqr. yard (Figure 8) (Appendix IV). Using a t-test to comparing these results to the 2014 turion survey, **we found that the increase in lakewide turion levels was highly significant ($p \lll .001$)** as the mean density increased from 14.16 in 2014 (13.44 in 2013) to 40.08 turions in 2015 (Table 2).

Broken down by polygon, we found that the density also increase in each of the six high density areas. This increase was highly significant in Area 4, and significant in Areas 1, 3, and 6. These results further suggest that Areas 1, 4, and 6 can expect the highest CLP density in 2016.




Figure 8: 2014 and 2015 CLP Turion Density and Distribution

**Table 2: Ponar Dredge Turion Density Survey
Long Lake, Polk Co. October 19, 2014 and October 25, 2015**

Polygon Number	Points Surveyed	2014 Points with Live Turions	2014 Total Live Turions	2014 Est. Mean Turions/yd²	2015 Points with Live Turions	2015 Total Live Turions	2015 Est. Mean Turions/yd²	p value
1	17	7	26	27.53	14	45	47.65	*+0.022
2	12	5	6	9.00	7	15	22.50	+0.064
3	6	0	0	0.00	4	5	15.00	*+0.021
4	15	1	1	1.20	10	43	51.60	***+<0.001
6	8	6	11	24.75	8	30	67.50	*+0.021
7	17	8	15	15.88	12	29	30.71	+0.103
Total	75	27	59	14.16	55	167	40.08	*** +<.001

Significant differences = * $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$, *** $p < .005$

During the October 31st - November 1st, 2015 survey, we found live CLP turions at 67 of 120 points (55.83%) (Figure 7) (Appendix II). This was a decline in distribution of 27.17% from the 92 points with turions in 2014. When broken down by area, all beds showed a decline in distribution except for Bed 14B/C which increased from 56.67% coverage in 2014 to 60.00% coverage in 2015 (Table 1).

The number of high density “predictive nuisance” locations also declined fractionally from six points (5.00%) in 2014 to five points (4.17%) in 2015. As in 2014, Bed 12 had the highest percentage of high density points (11.11%). Outside this area, no other bed had more than 3.33% (Bed 14B/C). The majority of the highest density turion points again occurred in areas with shallow water (<5ft) that historically have had dense canopied CLP growth in the spring as well as moderate levels later in the summer. These areas may be producing a “second crop” of plants that sprout from latent turions after the treatment and, consequently, are able to produce turions/maintain the bank at these locations.

We calculated the overall mean density within the study areas at 44.13 turions/m² with a standard deviation of 75.04 turions/m². This was a nearly significant decline from 2014 ($p = 0.057$) when we found a mean of 61.53 turions/m² with a standard deviation of 114.47 turions/m². Visual analysis of the 2014 and 2015 maps suggested the turion bank has been nearly exhausted in most deep water areas over 8ft while shallow areas continue to have regular turions present. Densities continue to be variable with all standard deviations values being greater than the mean. With the exception of Bed 14 (southeast bay) which showed a slight increase, all other areas declined, although none of these changes were significant.




Figure 7: 2015 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution

**Table 1: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics
East Long Lake, Polk County
November 8-9, 2014 and October 31-November 1, 2015**

Summary Statistics:	2014					2015				
	Total	Bed 12	Bed 13	Bed 14	Bed 14B/C	Total	Bed 12	Bed 13	Bed 14	Bed 14B/C
Total number of points sampled	120	18	65	7	30	120	18	65	7	30
Total live turions	343	127	142	7	67	246	69	111	10	56
Total number of points with live turions	92	17	54	4	17	67	14	32	3	18
Frequency of occurrence (in percent)	76.67	94.44	83.08	57.14	56.67	55.83	77.78	49.23	42.86	60.00
Points at or above nuisance level (+200/m ²)	6	4	2	0	0	5	2	2	0	1
% nuisance level	5.00	22.22	3.08	0.00	0.00	4.17	11.11	3.08	0.00	3.33
Maximum turions/m ²	1,012	1,012	388	65	194	431	409	431	172	258
Mean turions/m ²	61.53	151.89	47.03	21.53	48.08	44.13	82.52	36.76	30.75	40.19
Standard deviation/m ²	114.47	249.58	58.70	24.86	58.39	75.04	107.58	71.07	63.20	57.02
Standard error of the paired difference						0.51	2.70	0.47	1.23	0.58
Degrees of freedom						119	17	64	6	29
t-statistic						-1.59	-1.19	-1.01	0.34	-0.63
p - value						0.057	0.12	0.15	0.37	0.27

Significant differences = * $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$, *** $p < .005$

2016 Fall Ponar Dredge Turion Survey:

During the October 28-29th, 2016 survey, we found live CLP turions at 45 of 120 points (37.50%) (Figure 8) (Appendix II). This 32.84% decline in distribution from the 67 points with turions (55.83%) in 2015 and a further decline from the 92 points with turions in 2014 (76.67%) suggests the current treatment program has significantly reduced the “turion bank”. When broken down by area, all beds showed a decline except for Bed 12 which remained at 77.78%. No other bed had coverage higher than 33.33% (Table 2).

The total number of high density “predictive nuisance” locations ticked back up to six points (5.00%) from five points in 2015 (4.17%) with all but one occurring in Bed 12. Although Bed 12 has always had the largest percentage of high density points (27.78% of the bed in 2016), the nearly significant increase in density (82.52/m² in 2015 to 145.91/m² in 2016 - $p = 0.057$), and the more than doubling of the number of high density points from two (11.11% of bed) in 2015 to five in 2016 was unexpected based on the successful treatments in this area from 2014-2016.

We calculated the overall mean density within the study areas at 35.70 turions/m² with a standard deviation of 85.86 turions/m². This was a further decline ($p = 0.17$) from the 44.13 turions/m² with a standard deviation of 75.04 turions/m² in 2015 and the 61.53 turions/m² with a standard deviation of 114.47 turions/m² in 2014. Visual analysis of the 2016 map continues to show that the turion bank appears to have been nearly exhausted in most deep water areas over 8ft, and many shallow areas now have only scattered turions present. Densities continue to be variable with all beds having standard deviations values that were greater than the mean. With the exception of Bed 12 (southwest bay), all other area mean densities declined with Bed 14B/C showing a significant decline ($p = 0.17$), and Bed 13 (north bay) a nearly significant decline ($p = 0.06$).




Figure 8: 2016 Fall CLP Turion Survey Density and Distribution

**Table 2: CLP Turion Surveys - Summary Statistics
East Long Lake, Polk County
October 31-November 1, 2015 and October 28-29, 2016**

Summary Statistics:	2015					2016				
	Total	Bed 12	Bed 13	Bed 14	Bed 14B/C	Total	Bed 12	Bed 13	Bed 14	Bed 14B/C
Total number of points sampled	120	18	65	7	30	120	18	65	7	30
Total live turions	246	69	111	10	56	199	122	61	2	14
Total number of points with live turions	67	14	32	3	18	45	14	19	2	10
Frequency of occurrence (in percent)	55.83	77.78	49.23	42.86	60.00	37.50	77.78	29.23	28.57	33.33
Points at or above nuisance level (+200/m ²)	5	2	2	0	1	6	5	1	0	0
% nuisance level	4.17	11.11	3.08	0.00	3.33	5.00	27.78	1.54	0.00	0.00
Maximum turions/m ²	431	409	431	172	258	560	560	323	22	65
Mean turions/m ²	44.13	82.52	36.76	30.75	40.19	35.70	145.91	20.20	6.15	10.05
Standard deviation/m ²	75.04	107.58	71.07	63.20	57.02	85.86	157.26	54.21	10.50	16.71
Standard error of the paired difference						0.41	1.77	0.50	1.16	0.46
Degrees of freedom						119	17	64	6	29
t-statistic						-0.95	1.66	-1.54	-0.98	-3.07
<i>p</i> - value						0.17	0.057	0.06	0.18	0.002

Significant differences = * *p* < .05, ** *p* < .01, *** *p* < .005

CONSIDERATIONS FOR FUTURE MANAGMENT:

The 2016 turion survey suggests there will again be CLP throughout much of East Long Lake in 2017; however, densities are expected to be quite low with the exception of Bed 12 just northeast of the Big Narrows outlet to the main lake. Despite solid herbicide kill of CLP in this area following the May 2016 treatment, we again witnessed a “second crop” of CLP in the shallower parts of this bay during our July and August landing surveys. It seems likely that these late-growing plants are the reason for the continued moderate to high turion levels throughout much of this area. Because of this localized regrowth, harvesting in this area later in the summer and possibly even the far north shore of Bed 13 in the north bay may be necessary to both relieve navigation impairment as well as eliminate late-growing CLP.

In conclusion, although treatment is still planned in East Long in 2017 (pers. comm. with BLPRD board), the low turion levels, especially in deep water, suggest that the total surface acreage and volume of water treated will likely continue to decline from 2014-16 levels. Ultimately, the results of the 2017 pretreatment survey coupled with the level of CLP growth the board is comfortable with will determine how much of East Long is treated.


LITERATURE CITED

- Clemens, C. 2010. Aquatic Plant Management Plan - Long Lake Polk County, WI. Sponsored by Long Lake Protection and Rehabilitation District - Prepared by Harmony Environmental – October 2010. Available from <http://www.blprd.com/docs/LongLakeAPMfinal101810.pdf> (2016, November).
- Hopke, R., E. Nelson, and E. Eaton [online]. 1964. Long Lake Maps. Available from <http://dnr.wi.gov/lakes/maps/DNR/2620600a.pdf> (2016, November).
- UWEX Lakes Program. [online]. 2010. Aquatic Plant Management in Wisconsin. Available from <http://www.uwsp.edu/cnr-ap/UWEXLakes/Pages/ecology/aquaticplants/default.aspx> (2016, November).
- UWEX Lakes Program. [online]. 2010. Pre/Post Herbicide Comparison. Available from <http://www.uwsp.edu/cnr-ap/UWEXLakes/Documents/ecology/Aquatic%20Plants/Appendix-D.pdf> (2016, November).
- WDNR. [online]. 2016. Long Lake Citizen Monitoring Water Quality Database. Available from <http://dnr.wi.gov/lakes/lakepages/LakeDetail.aspx?wbic=2620600&page=waterquality> (2016, November).

Appendix I: Survey Sample Points and Historic CLP Treatment Areas


Survey Sample Points

CLP Turion Survey
East Balsam - Balsam Lake
Polk County, WI
October 29-30, 2016




**Curly-leaf pondweed
(*Potamogeton crispus*)**

Exotic Species
Historical Treatment Areas
East Balsam - Balsam Lake
Polk Co., WI
2009-2014



**Appendix II: 2014, 2015, and 2016 Fall CLP Turion
Density and Distribution Maps**




Curly-leaf pondweed (*Potamogeton crispus*)

Exotic Species
CLP Turion Survey
East Balsam - Balsam Lake
Polk Co., WI
October 31-November 1, 2015



Turion Density/m² - Potential for Impairment

- × None Found
- 1 - 50 - None
- 50 - 100 - Very Low
- 100 - 200 - Low
- 200 - 350 - Moderate
- >350 - High
- Historic CLP Beds



Curly-leaf pondweed (*Potamogeton crispus*)

Exotic Species
CLP Turion Survey
East Balsam - Balsam Lake
Polk County, WI
October 29-30, 2016

