ORIGINAL



December 22, 2010

£.

712 PTC 21 P 3 11 FEDERAL EVERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Ms. Kimberly D. Bose Office of the Secretary Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Mail Code: DHAC, PJ-12.3 888 First Street. NE Washington, DC 20426

Subject: Invasive Plant Monitoring Report Sturgeon Falls Hydroelectric Project – FERC Project No. 2720 City of Norway, Michigan, Licensee

Dear Secretary Bose:

Article 406 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) license for the Sturgeon Falls Hydroelectric Project requires the licensee to monitor the presence of Eurasian watermilfoil and purple loosestrife in project waters, and to implement measures to control their spread. The City of Norway's FERC-approved Invasive Plant Monitoring Plan specifies monitoring and reporting procedures.

Enclosed are one original and seven copies of the Invasive Plant Monitoring Report for calendar year 2010. Documentation of consultation with resource agencies is included in the report. If you have any questions regarding this submission or require additional information, please contact me.

Sincerely,

MEAD & HUNT, Inc.

Linda D. Mitchell

Linda D. Mitchell Hydropower Compliance Specialist

Enclosures

CC: Ms. Jessica Mistak – Northern Lake Michigan Supervisor, DNRE Fisheries Division Mr. Nick Utrup – U.S. Fish & Wildlife Green Bay Ecological Services Field Office Mr. Joe Pickart - City of Norway



÷

ORIGINAL Invasive Plant Monitoring Report

Sturgeon Falls Hydroelectric Project

FERC Project No. 2720

Menominee River Dickinson County, Michigan Marinette County, Wisconsin

Report prepared for City of Norway, Michigan



December 2010

ı

t.

Table of Contents

Page 1. Introduction 1 2. Monitoring Report 2 3. Consultation 3 A. Resource Agency Comments 3 B. Licensee Response to Resource Agency Comments 3

Appendices

- A Progress Report for the Implementation of the Milfoil Solution Program for Eurasian Watermilfoil Control and Aquatic Vegetation Monitoring for Sturgeon Falls, Sturgeon River, MI
- B Documentation of Consultation

1. Introduction

This *Invasive Plant Monitoring Report* for the Sturgeon Falls Hydroelectric Project has been prepared in conformance with requirements of the City of Norway's *Invasive Plant Monitoring Plan*, pursuant to Article 406 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (FERC) July 5, 2005, license order. Section 4 of the City's *Invasive Plant Monitoring Plan*, which has been approved by the FERC, calls for monitoring once per year through year 2010, followed by monitoring once every two years thereafter, during even-numbered years, for the term of the license. The City must file reports of monitoring with resource agencies and with the FERC. Reports filed with the FERC must include copies of agency comments and recommendations on the report, and specific descriptions of how the agencies' comments are accommodated.

Contents of this Invasive Plant Monitoring Report include:

 A Progress Report for the Implementation of the Milfoil Solution Program for Eurasian Watermilfoil Control and Aquatic Vegetation Monitoring for Sturgeon Falls, Sturgeon River, MI prepared by EnviroScience, Inc., presenting results of aquatic vegetation monitoring and detailing implementation of biological measures to control Eurasian Watermilfoil at the Sturgeon Falls reservoir.

- Copies of resource agency comments and recommendations, and descriptions of how the agencies' comments are accommodated.
- A discussion of future monitoring plans.

t

2. Monitoring Report

The above-referenced progress report, entitled *Progress Report for the Implementation of the Milfoil Solution Program for Eurasian Watermilfoil Control and Aquatic Vegetation Monitoring for Sturgeon Falls, Sturgeon River, MI*, is presented as Appendix A. The report presents results of field surveys to monitor the presence of Eurasian Watermilfoil and milfoil weevils (*Euhrychiopsis lecontei*), the presence of purple loosestrife (absent), and to access aquatic vegetation based on standard approved methods including visual and rake tow surveys. The presence and relative density of each aquatic plant species is presented, as well as approximate percent cover.

3. Consultation

A. Resource agency comments

A copy of the *Progress Report for the Implementation of the Milfoil Solution Program for Eurasian Watermilfoil Control and Aquatic Vegetation Monitoring for Sturgeon Falls, Sturgeon River, MI*, was provided by e-mail on December 6, 2010, to the Michigan Department of Natural Resources and Environment (MDNRE) and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Green Bay Ecological Services Field Office. Resource agency comments and responses are provided below and documented in Appendix B.

Michigan Department of Natural Resources and Environment Jessica Mistak – Northern Lake Michigan Supervisor, MDNRE Fisheries Division

Comment:

The results of the weevil survey are very promising in managing Eurasian Watermilfoil at the Sturgeon Falls Project. We appreciate the level of interest and cooperation expressed by the City of Norway in addressing this issue.

The report is not clear on proposed or required next steps. It would be helpful to outline what, if anything, is required by the license in addition to what may be recommended by EnviroScience. Please also include a timeline for future actions.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Nicholas Utrup - Hydropower Coordinator

Comment: I concur with Jessica's comments.

B. Licensee response to resource agency comments

The City agrees with the MDNRE's assessment of results of its Eurasian Watermilfoil management efforts.

As noted in Section 1 of this *Invasive Plant Monitoring Report*, the City's FERC-approved *Invasive Plant Monitoring Plan calls for* monitoring once per year through year 2010, followed by monitoring once every two years thereafter, during even-numbered years, for the term of the license. The City intends to continue its monitoring effort in accordance with this schedule. This plan is consistent with EnviroScience's recommendation that weevil stocking sites be regularly monitored in order to implement a management plan should Eurasian Watermilfoil experience resurgence.

The next monitoring survey is scheduled for 2012. Control efforts will be determined based on results of that monitoring survey.

20101227-0025 FERC PDF (Unofficial) 12/27/2010

Appendix A.Progress Report for the Implementation of the Milfoil
Solution Program for Eurasian Watermilfoil Control
and Aquatic Vegetation Monitoring for Sturgeon Falls,
Sturgeon River, MI

Ì.

Progress Report for the Implementation of the Milfoil Solution[®] Program for Eurasian Watermilfoil Control and Aquatic Vegetation Monitoring for Sturgeon Falls, Sturgeon River, Mi

1

Prepared for:

City of Norway, Power and Light

Prepared by:



EnviroScience, Inc., 3781 Darrow Road, Stow, Ohio 44224 (800) 940-4025 · www.enviroscienceinc.com

December 6, 2010

1.0 Introduction

The City of Norway, Power and Light is required to manage the infestation and excessive growth of Eurasian watermilfoil *(Myriophyllum spicatum)* (EWM) and Purple Loosestrife (*Lythrum salicaria*) to comply with their FERC (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission) license. Milfoil weevils (*Euhrychiopsis lecontei*) have the potential to provide an environmentally safe alternative to traditional milfoil control techniques such as harvesting and herbicides. These traditional control methods are expensive, do not selectively target milfoil, and must be used repeatedly. In contrast, weevils provide a sustainable and extremely species-specific control of EWM. Using the Milfoil Solution[®] program (formerly MiddFoil[®]), the milfoil weevil is being investigated for its potential for controlling EWM in the Sturgeon Falls Hydroelectric Project area.

2.0 Overall Project Description

The City of Norway, Power and Light began a Milfoil Solution[®] program in the summer of 2008 stocking approximately 10,000 weevils in one site within the Sturgeon River. A second stocking event occurred on June 25, 2009, stocking 22,000 (12,000 contracted, 10,000 extra) weevils in two new locations: S2 and S3. The follow up survey occurred seven weeks later on August 17, 2009. A third stocking event occurred on June 24, 2010 in which 16,000 weevils were stocked in two new locations: S4 and S5 (Figure 1). The follow up survey was completed August 7, 2010. During the initial and follow-up surveys, qualitative and quantitative measurements are gathered in the field: Qualitative information gathered at each site includes native aquatic plant identifications, EWM observations, and observations of the presence and extent of weevils. Quantitative data measured includes analyses of three series of 10 stems (transects) (App. A, Table 2) taken from each site and three EWM density measurements (App. A,, Table 3). Transect stems are examined under a microscope and all life stages of the weevil are counted and





recorded along with the presence of any weevils damage. The data provides milfoil weevil population density estimates. EWM densities are gathered by collecting plants from a 0.09 m² PVC quadrat. The number of stems of EWM are counted and then converted to a number of plants per square meter. These measurements help to indicate any fluctuations in density of the milfoil beds over time.

3.0 2008 and 2009 Results Summary

The initial survey for the 2008 Milfoil Solution[®] program revealed the presence of an indigenous population of milfoil weevils, indicating that the river holds the necessary conditions to maintain a weevil population. Approximately 10,000 weevil eggs and larvae were stocked in one continuous dense milfoil bed, named S1, along the southern shore, southeast of the boat launch of the Sturgeon River on June 18, 2008. In addition to the EWM, a healthy native submersed plant community was observed.

By the time of the follow up survey performed at S1 on August 12, 2008, laboratory examination revealed an extraordinary increase in weevil life stages on the 30 stems collected from 13 early in the season to 68 weevil life stages at the time of the follow-up survey (App. A, Table 1). Additionally, the density of EWM decreased by more than half per square meter by the time of the August survey (App. A, Table 2).

The second year of stocking occurred on June 25, 2009. An initial survey revealed that the EWM at S1 was sparse and unsuitable for stocking, with EWM composing roughly 10% of the plant community. Weevil adults, eggs and larvae were observed at this site, and damage from weevil larvae was estimated to be on 60% of the plants. Two new sites were then established: Site 2 (S2) and Site 3 (S3). S2 is located northwest of S1, closer to the channel of the river. The third stocking site, S3 is located to the east at the mouth of Hamilton Creek (Figure 1). The overall density of EWM at S2 was



ranked as dense and dominant, comprising approximately 95% of the aquatic plant community. Weevils were observed in the field, and approximately less than 5% of the plants exhibited weevil-induced damage. The EWM at S3 was moderately dense with 15% at the surface and flowering, with weevil-induced damage seen on 20% of the plants. Multiple weevil life stages were found in all three sites (App. A,, Table 1). After the initial survey was complete, 12,000 weevils were stocked in S2 and 10,000 weevils were stocked in S3.

On August 17, 2009, follow-up surveys for sites S1, S2, S3, were conducted. The once large bed of EWM in S1 found in 2008 and observed during the initial 2009 survey had decreased to sparse, sporadic stems and native macrophytes were the dominant species. Laboratory analyses of 30 transect stems revealed a high weevil count at S1 (3.07 weevils/stem). The overall density of EWM in S2 was sparse to moderate and the plants were still below the surface as seen during the initial survey. Many of the plants were lying on the bottom, covered in algae with new healthy meristems starting to grow. However, weevil damage was observed on the new growing tips. The overall density of EWM in S3 was sparse, and the composition of the plant community changed drastically with native aquatic plants making up 75% of the species present. Weevil damage was observed on 50% of the EWM plants and 10% on Northern watermilfoil plants. Laboratory analyses of the transect samples revealed weevil life stages and weevil-induced damage to the EWM at all three sites (App. A, Table 1.).

4.0 2010 Results

Initial Survey

On June 24, 2010, an EnviroScience field team surveyed S1 and S2 (they were unable to perform an initial survey at S3). Due to the sparse milfoil at these sites, two new stocking sites were established: S4 and S5. S4 is located southwest of the boat launch area, west of the County Rd 577/River Rd. bridge. S5 is located approximately 600m west of S4, at the confluence of the Sturgeon and Menominee rivers (Figure 1). After the initial surveys were



complete, 7,000 weevils were stocked in S4 and 9,000 weevils were stocked in S5.

The overall density of EWM at S1 was sparse and close to the shoreline, making up less than 5% of the aquatic plant community. The remaining macrophytes included Bladderwort (*Utricularia vulgaris*), Chara (*Chara spp.*), Elodea (*Elodea canadensis*), Flat-stem pondweed (*Potamogeton zosteriformis*), Northern watermilfoil (*Myriophyllum sibiricum*), Thread-leaf pondweed (*Potamogeton fiiliformis*), Variable watermilfoil (*Myriophyllum heterophyllum*) and White-stem pondweed (*Potamogeton praelongus*). Most of the EWM stems were brown and damaged, with few red meristems observed. Extensive weevil-induced damage and life stages were found on the transects upon further analysis. The EWM at this site was too sparse to take a density measurement, with less than 1 stem/m².

The EWM bed at S2 was narrow and close to the shoreline, with a density ranking of moderate. EWM made up approximately 10% of the aquatic plant community. The remaining macrophytes included Coontail (*Ceratophyllum demersum*), Elodea, Flat-stem pondweed, Lilies (*Nuphar spp.*), Northern watermilfoil, Thread-leaf pondweed and White-stem pondweed. Most of the EWM stems were green and healthy, with 10% displaying weevil-induced damage. This site was not suitable for stocking weevils as extensive weevil-induced damage and life stages were found in the field. The transect analysis confirmed the presence of a high weevil population density with 1.57 weevils/stem (App. A,, Table 1). The average density of EWM at this site was found to be 40.67 stems/m².

A new stocking site, S4, was established and contained a density rating of moderate and existing weevil damage estimated to 25% of the stems. EWM made up 40% of the aquatic plant community with the remainder including Elodea, Flat-stem pondweed, Northern watermilfoil, Thread-leaf



pondweed and White-stem pondweed.. Adult weevil life stages were seen in the field, and lab analysis revealed further weevil life stages as well as weevilinduced damage. The average density of EWM at this site was found to be 55.56 stems/m². 7,000 weevils were stocked at S4 after the initial survey and an orange buoy was placed to mark the site.

A second new stocking site, S5, was established and contained a density rating of moderate and about 5% weevil damage. EWM made up 80% of the aquatic plant community with the remainder including Bladderwort, Coontail, Elodea, Flat-stem pondweed, Thin-leaf pondweed, Water lilies, White-stem pondweed and Wild celery (*Vallisneria americana*). Adult weevil life stages were observed in the field, and lab analysis revealed additional weevil life stages as well as weevil-induced damage. The average density of EWM at this site was 51.78 stems/m². 9,000 weevils were stocked at S5 after the initial survey and an orange buoy was placed to mark the site.

Follow up Survey

On August 7, 2010, follow-up surveys for sites S1-S5 were conducted. The EWM at S1 was completely absent, and no transect samples were able to be taken.

The EWM bed at S2 was very sparse and made up less than 1% of the plant community. The remaining macrophytes recorded were Elodea, Spatterdock (*Nuphar variegata*) and White water buttercup (*Ranunculus longirostris*). The few EWM stems remaining were covered in algae and lab analysis revealed multiple weevil life stages and extensive damage. The average density of EWM at S2 was <0.05 stems/m². In addition, zebra mussels were found on a submersed branch nearby.

A follow-up survey was conducted at S3, a stocking site from 2009. EWM made up 30% of the aquatic plant community with the remainder



including Bladderwort, Coontail, Elodea, Spatterdock, Water stargrass (*Zosterella dubia*) and White-stem pondweed. Adult weevil life stages were seen in the field, and lab analysis revealed further weevil life stages as well as extensive weevil-induced damage. The average density of EWM at this site was 20.33 stems/m².

EWM at S4 during the follow-up survey made up roughly 60% of the aquatic plant community., The EWM around the orange buoy was largely removed, with most of the bed towards the center of the bay. Other macrophytes observed included Coontail, Illinois pondweed (Potamogeton *illinoensis*), Water stargrass and Wild celery. A high density of weevil life stages (1.30 weevils/stem) and damage were found on the transect stems analyzed in the lab. The average density of EWM at this site was 33.33 stems/m².

EWM at S5 during the follow-up survey made up a small percentage of the aquatic plant community, with most species identified as Coontail, Water stargrass, and Elodea. A high number of weevil life stages (1.27 weevils/stem) and damage were found in the lab on the transect stems. EWM stems were reported as brown and unhealthy, with a low density average of 2.78 stems/m².

5.0 Discussion

Over the past three years of the Milfoil Solution[®] program, dramatic decreases in average EWM densities have been observed at S1, S2 and S3. These sites have been managed to the point where the diverse native plant community has successfully moved in and now makes up a majority of the species composition. At this point, EWM at S1 and S2 is largely removed and maintains high numbers of weevils on the few stems that remain. The initial surveys of S4 and S5 revealed an exisitngweevil population, which may be due to a natural population or to the weevils migrating from adjacent beds as their healthy supply of EWM diminishes at other sites. Both scenarios are possible as S1 was found to contain a native weevil population during the initial 2008 survey. While the



new sites displayed a decrease in average EWM densities during the follow-up survey, these numbers are best viewed long-term and compared to subsequent years to more accurately view overall trends in the plant community. All sites continue to maintain relatively high numbers of weevil populations and have experienced a consistent decrease in EWM density while the diverse native plant community thrives. Regular monitoring of the stocked sites is recommended in order to implement a management plan should the EWM experience resurgence.

6.0 AVAS Plant Survey

Qualitative vegetation sampling was performed on August 7, 2010, using the Michigan DEQ guidance contained in <u>Standard Procedures for Surveying Aquatic</u> <u>Plants</u>. This method involves performing visual and rake tow surveys along sections of the littoral zone. For the Sturgeon Falls Project area, the shoreline of the Menominee and Sturgeon Rivers was divided into 40 sections (Figure 1). In each of these zones, the presence and relative density of each aquatic plant species was determined, and the information was recorded on the Standard Aquatic Vegetation Assessment Site Species Density Sheet (AVAS) developed by the State of Michigan (App. B) The approximate percent cover was reported rather than narrative ranges. On the summary sheet, however, these percentages were translated into cover codes A, B, C, and D to describe the approximate coverage of each plant within the map area, as described in the following table.

| Cover Code | Approximate Cover Range |
|---------------|----------------------------|
| Α | 1-2% |
| | |



| В | 3-20% |
|---|---------|
| С | 21-60% |
| D | 61-100% |

Visual and rake surveys were performed at each site until no new species were encountered and the biologist conducting the survey was confident that adequate information had been obtained to estimate the density of each species encountered. Species of questionable identity were placed in a plastic bag, appropriately labeled and identified using taxonomic keys at the completion of the survey. The boundary of each AVAS was determined using differential GPS technology.

7.0 Survey Findings

The August survey identified eighteen different plant species. One exotic submersed aquatic species was present, Eurasian watermilfoil (EWM), which was found in 23 of the 40 AVAS locations, making up 7.0 percent cumulative cover (CC). Most EWM locations were given a score of A (1-2%) or B (3-20%). The calculated cumulative cover value shows that the Sturgeon Falls project area currently contains four dominant native species: Buttercup (5.55 CC), Wild celery (4.63 CC), Spadderdock (4.25 CC) and Coontail (4.05) (App. B, Table 3). Other species were found less frequently such as Northern watermilfoil, Chara, Lilies, Arrowhead, Bladderwort, Elodea and various other pondweed species. Purple Loosestrife was not identified within the boundary waters of the Sturgeon Falls project area.

8.0 Discussion

The AVAS survey was first used in 2009 to measure the exotic species occurrence in the Sturgeon Falls Project area. This survey gives a cumulative cover percentage of every species identified within the survey



area. EWM was found to be sparsely distributed throughout most of the project area and was only given a ranking of D (61-100% cover range) in two site numbers. Weevil population surveys have not been conducted throughout the entire survey area, but may be a contributing factor to the decrease in EWM percent cover observed from 13.7 (2009) to 7.00 (2010).



Appendix A

.

2008-2010 Progress Report Data Tables for Sturgeon



t

Table 1. Summary Data from Site Transect Analysis of EWM During2008-2010 Initial and Follow-up Surveys of Sturgeon River

| Site | Parameter | Initial Survey | Follow-up Survey | Initial Survey | Follow-up Survey | initiai Survev | Follow-up Survey |
|------------|----------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| # | Measured | 6/18/08 | 8/12/08 | 6/25/09 | 8/17/09 | 6/24/10 | 8/ 7/10 |
| S 1 | Total weevils | 13.00 | 68.00 | 89.00 | 25.00 | 20.00 | - |
| | Total stems | 30.00 | 30.00 | 29.00 | 30.00 | 28.00 | - |
| | Average weevils/stem | 0.43 | 2.27 | 3.07 | 0.83 | 0.71 | - |
| | Avg. meristems/stem | 2.00 | 1.90 | 2.00 | 2.17 | 2.00 | - |
| S2 | Total weevils | *** | *** | 21.00 | 20.00 | 47.00 | 19.00 |
| | Total stems | *** | *** | 29.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| | Average weevils/stem | *** | *** | 0.72 | 0.67 | 1.57 | 0.63 |
| | Avg. meristems/stem | *** | *** | 1.59 | 1.50 | 2.10 | 1.37 |
| S3 | Total weevils | *** | *** | 79.00 | 3.00 | - | 39.00 |
| | Total stems | *** | *** | 30.00 | 30.00 | - | 30.00 |
| | Average weevils/stem | *** | *** | 2.63 | 0.10 | - | 1.30 |
| | Avg. meristems/stem | *** | *** | 1.59 | 2.27 | - | 1.70 |
| S4 | Total weevils | *** | *** | *** | *** | 24.00 | 10.00 |
| | Total stems | *** | *** | *** | *** | 28.00 | 28.00 |
| | Average weevils/stem | *** | *** | *** | *** | 0.86 | 0.36 |
| | Avg. meristems/stem | *** | *** | *** | *** | 2.21 | 2.14 |
| S5 | Total weevils | *** | *** | *** | *** | 7.00 | 38.00 |
| | Total stems | *** | *** | *** | *** | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| | Average weevils/stem | *** | *** | *** | *** | 0.23 | 1.27 |
| | Avg. meristems/stem | *** | *** | *** | *** | 2.73 | 1.13 |

*** = site not yet established

- = data not available



t

Table 2. Average Density of Eurasian Watermilfoil (stem/m²) Collected During the 2008-2010 Initial and Follow-up Surveys on the Sturgeon River

| Site # | 6/18/08 | 8/12/08 | 6/25/09 | 8/17/09 | 6/24/10 | 8/ 7/10 |
|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| S 1 | 103.33 | 33.33 | 9.22 | 1.76 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| S2 | *** | *** | 100.00 | 33.33 | 40.67 | 0.00 |
| S3 | *** | *** | 66.67 | 70.00 | - | 20.33 |
| S4 | *** | *** | *** | *** | 55.56 | 33.33 |
| S 5 | *** | *** | *** | *** | 51.78 | 2.78 |

*** = site not yet established

- = data not available



t.

Appendix B

Standard Aquatic Vegetation Assessment Site Species Density Sheets, Summary Sheet and Table

Table 3. Aquatic Plant Species Encountered in Sturgeon Falls



t

3781 DARROW ROAD, STOW, OHIO 44224 330-688-0111 / TOLL FREE: 800-940-4025 / FAX: 330-688-3858

| | Standard Aquatic Vegetation Assessment Site Species Density Sheet | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---|---|----------|----------|----------|--------------|----------|--------------|----------|--|------------------------|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--------------|--------------|----------|
| | | Aquatic Vegetation Assessmen Site Number | | | | essm | ent | | | Aquatic Vegetation Assessme Site Number | | | | | | | ent | | |
| | | | | 31 | 16 14 | untit | 131 | | | | | | | 31 | | | | | |
| Code | Plant Name | NO. | NO. | NO. | NO. | NO. | NO. | NO. | NO. | Code | Plant Name | NO. | NO. | NO. | NO. | NO. | NO. | NO. | NO. |
| No. | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | No. | | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| - | | - | | | | | | _ | | | 1 | _ | | | | _ | | | _ |
| 1 | Eurasian watermilioil | a | | | | a | P. | a | | <u>_</u> | Eurasian watermilioil | | | a | | D | | a | -4 |
| 2 | Chara | | h | | | | | | | 4 | Chara | | | | | | | | |
| Ā | Thin leaf nondweed | | | | | | | | - | -7 | Thin leaf nondweed | _ | - | | | | | | |
| | flatstem pondweed | | | _ | | | | | | • | | | | | | - | | _ | |
| 5 | Robbins pondweed | | | | | | | | | 5 | Robbins pondweed | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | White stem pondweed | Ъ | a | | | | a | a | | 6 | White stem pondweed | | | | | | | 8 | |
| 7 | Richardsons pondweed | | | | | | | | | 7 | Richardsons pondweed | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | flatstem pondweed | | a | | | a | | | | 8 | flatstem pondweed | æ | a | | a | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Large leaf pondweed | | | _ | | | | | | 9 | Large leaf pondweed | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Variable pondweed | <u> </u> | | | | | Ļ | | | 10 | Variable pondweed | | | | | _ | | | |
| 11 | Illinois pondweed | <u> </u> | | | | _ | | | | 11 | Illinois pondweed | | | | | b | | - | 8 L |
| 12 | Water stargrass | <u>a</u> | | C | a | D | C | C | a | 12 | Water stargrass | | | 2 | 0 | C | C | D | D |
| 13 | wild celery | ┠─── | <u> </u> | | | | | ┣ | | 13 | wild celery | | a | 0 | | | C - | 0 | 0 |
| | Amourhand (auhmargant) | | | | | | [| | | 14 | Arrowhard (submargant) | - | | | - | _ | | | |
| 14 | Northen watermilfoil | | | | a | | | | | 14 | Northern watermilfoil | | | | | _ | | 9 | |
| 16 | Whotled watermilfoil | | | | | _ | _ | a | | 16 | Whorled watermilfoil | | - | | | _ | | a | |
| $\frac{10}{17}$ | Coontail | 8 | h | 9 | | 8 | | | | 17 | Coontail | | | | я | | a | h | |
| 18 | Snatterdock | Б | Б | <u> </u> | | ۳. | - | Ь | | 18 | Spatterdock | | | Б | 8 | c | c | Ъ | Ъ |
| <u> </u> | | ŀ | <u> </u> | ┝ | | ┢ | | <u> </u> | | | | | | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | - | _ | | |
| 19 | Elodea | Ь | a | | | | a | | | 19 | Elodea | | | | | | С | a | |
| 20 | Bladderwort | a | a | | | a | | | | 20 | Bladderwort | | | a | a | | | a | |
| 21 | Bladderwort (mini) | | ŀ | | | | | | | 21 | Bladderwort (mini) | | Í. | | | | | | |
| 22 | Buttercup | | C | | | b | b | | | 22 | Buttercup | | | | | | b | b | |
| 23 | Najas spp. | | | | | | | | | 23 | Najas spp. | | | | 8 | 8 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | Brittle naiad | | | | | | | | | 24 | Brittle naiad | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | Sago pondweed | ┣— | a | | ļ | ļ | | | ļ | 25 | Sago pondweed | | <u> </u> | | | ┣ | Ļ | a | |
| 20 | water merigola | | | | _ | ┡ | | | ┝── | 20 | water mengolo | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | ļ | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | |
| 21 | sman pondweeu White waterlijv | | ┢── | | - | - | | - | - | 21 | White waterlily | | ╞ | <u> </u> | ┢╌ | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | |
| 20 | white watering | - | ┢ | ⊢ | | - | ┢ | | - | 20 | white watering | - | <u> </u> | ⊢ | - | l-" | | | |
| 29 | Yellow waterlily | + | | ┢ | | - | ┢ | ╢ | - | 29 | Yellow waterlily | | ┢── | - | - | | | | |
| 30 | Watershield | ┢── | \vdash | 1 | - | | | \mathbf{f} | ┝ | 30 | Watershield | | | | 1 | <u> </u> | | | |
| 31 | Small duckweed | t | 1 | | | r | 1 | t | t | 31 | Small duckweed | 1 | t | 1 | 1 | | | | |
| 32 | Great duckweed | | 1 | İ | L | I | | 1 | | 32 | Great duckweed | | | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| 33 | Watermeal | | | | | | | | | 33 | Watermeal | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 34 | Arrowhead | | | | | | | | | 34 | Arrowhead | | a | | 1 | Į | | | |
| 35 | Pickerelweed | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | 35 | Pickerelweed | . | ╄ | ┞ | ┣ | _ | | L | Į |
| 36 | Arrow arum | - | 1 | 1 | <u> </u> | ┣ | ┢ | ┢╾╍ | ┣ | 30 | Altow arum | ┟┈╴ | ┡ | _ | ┣ | ┣ | 1 | <u> </u> | <u> </u> |
| 1 37 | Cattall | 1 | + | ┨ | ┣— | ┡ | ┢ | ┣ | ┣— | 3/ | Dulaub | ┢ | ┢ | ┢─ | ⊢ | ┡ | \vdash | ┣— | Å |
| 1.20 | | ┢ | + | ┢ | ┢── | ╂ | ╀ | | ┢┉ | 30 | Duriusii | ┢── | ╋ | ┝ | ╋╼╸ | ╋ | ╋ | ┣ | |
| 20 | | ┢ | \vdash | ┢── | ┢ | ┢ | ╋ | ╋ | ┢ | 30 | Itie | ╀─ | ┢ | ╢ | ╋─ | ╀ | ┢ | ┣─ | ⊢ |
| | ISwamp Loosestrife | ╉── | ╉─ | ┢ | ╉── | ┢ | + | \vdash | ┝ | 40 | Swamp Loosestrife | ╋ | ┝ | ┢── | ┢ | ┢ | ╉╌╴ | ┢─ | ┢── |
| 1 ar | Carex snn | + | + | | ┢ | ┢ | ┢ | + | ┢ | 41 | Carex spn | | ┢ | ╉── | ┢ | ╉━━ | ╉ | 1 | ⊢ |
| 47 | Rush spp | ┢── | + | ╋ | ┢ | \mathbf{H} | ╋ | + | ╋ | 42 | Rush | + | ╋ | ┢── | ┢┈ | ╋─ | ╞ | + | a |
| 43 | Burr Reed | ╋ | ┢ | ╀╌ | ╋ | ╋ | ╋ | + | ┢ | 43 | Burr Reed | ┢╌ | ┢── | ┢ | ╋ | ┢ | + | \vdash | + |
| 44 | Long Leaf Pondweed | ╋┯╸ | | ┢ | + | ┢ | + | + | ┢ | 44 | Long Leaf Pondweed | \mathbf{t} | t | | †~~- | t- | \mathbf{t} | \mathbf{t} | t |

Survey Date: August 7, 2010

| | Standard Aquatic Vegetation Assessment Site Species Density Sheet | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------|---|--------------|-------------------------------|------------|----------|--------------|-------------------|----------|----------|------|-----------------------------|-------------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | | Aa | Aquatic Vegetation Assessment | | | | | essm | ent | | Aquatic Vegetation Assessme | | | | | | | | ent |
| | | | | Si | te N | umb | ег | | | | | Site Number | | | | | | | |
| 0.4 | | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | Cash | | | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO | NO |
| No. | Plant Name | 17 | 10 | 10 | 30 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 24 | No. | Plant Name | 25 | 26 | 27 | 20 | 20 | 10. | 21 | 20 |
| | | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | | | 25 | 20 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 21 | 32 |
| | Eurasian watermilfoil | 1 | 8 | - | <u>ь</u> | Ъ | Ъ | 8 | | | Furasian watermilfoil | | | | 9 | | h | Ъ | Ъ |
| 2 | Curly leaf pondweed | | | - - | | Ľ | Ľ | - | | 2 | Curly leaf pondweed | | | | <u> </u> | | - | | - |
| 3 | Chara | | | | | | | | | 3 | Chara | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Thin leaf pondweed | | | | | | | | | 4 | Thin leaf pondweed | | | | _ | _ | | - | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Robbins pondweed | | | | | | | | | 5 | Robbins pondweed | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | White stem pondweed | a | | | | | | | a | 6 | White stem pondweed | b | | | a | a | | a | |
| 7 | Richardsons pondweed | | | | | _ | | | | 7 | Richardsons pondweed | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | flatstem pondweed | D | a | | | a | . | | | 8 | ilaistem pondweed | - | | _ | | | a | | a |
| 0 | I arge leaf non-dweed | \vdash | — | | | <u> </u> | - | - | | 0 | I area leaf nondwood | ┣— | | | | <u> </u> | | | |
| | Variable nondweed | | - | | | - | | | | | Variable nondweed | | ⊢ | | | | | | |
| 11 | Illinois pondweed | ┣── | | - | a | | 8 | | | HT I | Illinois pondweed | \vdash | | | | | \vdash | | - 8 |
| 12 | Water stargrass | | | Б | a | Б | c | c | a | 12 | Water stargrass | | | | Б | a | Б | Б | a |
| 13 | Wild celery | | | Ē | Ē | Ē | ⊢ – | Ē | Ē | 13 | Wild celery | Ъ | | | c | Б | c | Ъ | Б |
| | , , | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14 | Arrowhead (submergent) | | | | | | | | | 14 | Arrowhead (submergent) | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | Northern watermilfoil | Ъ | | | | | | | | 15 | Northern watermilfoil | | | | | | | | |
| 16 | Whorled watermilfoil | | | | | | | | | 16 | Whorled watermilfoil | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | Coontail | | C | a | b | b | b | | Ъ | 17 | Coontail | a | | | a | | a | 8 | a |
| 18 | Spatterdock | a | | | b | b | a | a | | 18 | Spatterdock | | | | | a | | a | a |
| | | | Ļ | | | _ | | | | 10 | T-1 | <u> </u> | | | | | | | |
| 19 | Elodea | a | Þ | a | | L | | | | 19 | Elodea | | | _ | <u> </u> | | a | | a |
| 20 | Bladderwort (mini) | | ┣ | a | | _ | - | <u> </u> | | 20 | Bladderwort (mini) | | | _ | | | | | a |
| 21 | Buttercup | | | _ | | _ | - | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | 21 | Buttercup | - | | _ | ┣── | | | h | |
| 23 | Najas snn. | Ť | ۴, | | | | | | | 23 | Naias snn. | ╂── | | | | | | v | а |
| | - with the second se | - | | | ┝─ | ┝── | | | | | r ulus shh | ┢╌╸ | <u> </u> | | | | | | Ť |
| 24 | Brittle naiad | | | | | | | | | 24 | Brittle naiad | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | Sago pondweed | a | | | | - | | | | 25 | Sago pondweed | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | water merigold | | | | | | 1 | | | 26 | water merigold | | | | | | | | |
| _27 | small pondweed | | | | | | | | | 27 | small pondweed | | | | | | | | |
| 28 | White waterlily | a | | L | a | | | | | 28 | White waterlily | 8 | | | | | | | |
| | | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | | L | <u> </u> | L | | ~~ | V-N | L | <u> </u> | | L_ | <u> </u> | | | |
| 29 | r ellow waterilly | <u> </u> | 1 | | | ┣ | | ┣ | | 29 | I chow wateriny | 1 | - | <u> </u> | ┣— | | | | |
| 21 | watershiciu Small duckweed | 1 | ⊢ | - | ┣— | - | - | ⊢ | ┣ | 30 | Small duckweed | <u> </u> | ╂ | <u> </u> | \vdash | <u> </u> | | — | <u> </u> |
| 32 | Great duckweed | ┢── | ┢ | ┣── | ┟╌ | ┢── | \vdash | ┢╌ | ┝ | 32 | Great duckweed | ┢ | ┢ | | ⊢ | - | \vdash | - | |
| 33 | Watermeal | \vdash | | | | - | \square | ⊢ | | 33 | Watermeal | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | - | ┟╌╌ | ┢── | \vdash | - | <u> </u> |
| <u> </u> | | | F | | | | $t \rightarrow t$ | | | | | 1 | t | \square | t | | † | | |
| 34 | Arrowhead | İ | 1 | | | | 1 | 1 | Í | 34 | Arrowhead | t | t | 1 | Ĺ | | Ĺ | | |
| 35 | Pickerelweed | | | | | | | | | 35 | Pickerelweed | | | | | | | | |
| 36 | Апож апит | | | | | | | | | 36 | Arrow arum | | | | | | | | |
| 37 | Cattail | | | | a | a | a | | | 37 | Cattail | Б | | | | | | | Ь |
| 38 | Buirush | <u> </u> | ┡ | Ļ | <u> </u> | L | <u> </u> | | ┡ | 38 | Bulrush | _ | ┨ | | ┡ | | ┣ | | _ |
| - 20 | | 1 | 1 | _ | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | ┡ | ┡ | _ | | Veia | ┢ | ╂ | ┣ | ┡ | | ┢ | | ┣ |
| 39 | 1115 Swamp Loosestrife | ┢ | - | | - | - | 1 | | - | 39 | Swamp Loosestrife | ┢ | ┢ | | ┢── | ┢─ | ┢── | ┢── | ┣── |
| | Carey son | ╉╌┈ | ┢━ | ╋┯╍ | ┟┈ | ┣ | ╋ | ┢ | ┢ | 41 | Carex son | ┢ | ╟ | | ┢╌ | ┢── | ┢─ | ┣─ | |
| 42 | Rush | ┢── | ╂── | ┢ | я | ┝ | ╋ | ╂── | ┢ | 42 | Rush | ┢╌ | ╂ | + | ┢ | ╟ | ╋ | \vdash | <u> </u> |
| 43 | Burr Reed | ╋ | ┢ | \vdash | ⊢ | ⊢ | | ┢ | ┢ | 43 | Burr Reed | ╉── | ╋ | - | ┢ | ┢── | t^{-} | ┢ | ┢ |
| 44 | Long Leaf Pondweed | \mathbf{t} | \mathbf{t} | | 1 | \mathbf{t} | | | t | 44 | Long Leaf Pondweed | \vdash | 1 | t | 1 | t | t | t | 1 |

Surveyor Name: Thomas Alwin

| | Standard Aquatic Vegetation Assessment Site Species Density Sheet | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------|---|----------|-------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------|------------------------------|--------------|-------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|--|
| | | Aq | Aquatic Vegetation Assessment | | | | | ssin | ent | | Aquatic Vegetation Assessmer | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Si | te N | umb | er | | | | | | Site Number | | | | | | |
| Code | Diant Nama | NÔ. | NO. | NO. | NO. | NÔ. | NO. | NO. | NO. | Code | Code Di | | NO. | NO. | NO. | NO. | NO. | NO. | NO. |
| No. | | 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | No. | | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 48 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | |
| | Eurasian watermilfoil | a | Ъ | a | | | | | Ъ | 1 | Eurasian watermilfoil | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Curly leaf pondweed | | | | | | | | _ | 2 | Curly leaf pondweed | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Unara Thin less nondweed | | | | | | | | | - | Chara Thin lest nondweed | - | | | | | _ | | |
| - | Thin lear pondweed | | | _ | | | H | _ | | - | Thin lear polidweed | | | _ | | _ | - | | |
| 5 | Robbins pondweed | | | | | | | | | 5 | Robbins pondweed | - | | | | | | | |
| 6 | White stem pondweed | | a | | | a | | | a | 6 | White stem pondweed | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Richardsons pondweed | | | | | | | | | 7 | Richardsons pondweed | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | flatstem pondweed | a | | | | a | | | | 8 | flatstem pondweed | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9 | Large leaf pondweed | | | | | | | | | 9 | Large leaf pondweed | | | | | | | | |
| 10 | Variable pondweed | | | | L | | | | | 10 | Variable pondweed | L | | | | | | | |
| | Illinois pondweed | | a | a | a | <u> </u> | | | | 11 | Leafy pondweed | ┡— | | | | | \square | | |
| 12 | water stargrass | | | | 0 | | | a | D | 12 | water stargrass | L | | | | | | | |
| 13 | wild celery | | | <u> </u> | 0 | 0 | 8 | a | | 13 | Mare I all | | ┣ | | | | | | |
| 14 | Arrowhead (submergent) | | | | | | | - | | 14 | Arrowhead (submergent) | | - | | | | | _ | |
| 13 | Northern watermilfoil | <u> </u> | ⊢ | ┢ | _ | - | | - | | 15 | Northern watermilfoil | ┢ | ┝ | <u> </u> | - | | - | | |
| 16 | Whorled watermilfoil | · · · · | <u> </u> | | | | | \vdash | | 16 | Whorled watermilfoil | ┝ | | | | | | | |
| 17 | Coontail | c | Ъ | ┢── | | | | | a | 17 | Coontail | ┢ | - | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| 18 | Spatterdock | | | a | a | | | | a | 18 | Spatterdock | | | | | | | | _ |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 19 | Elodea | b | b | | | | | | a | 19 | Elodea | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | Bladderwort | | | | | | | | a | 20 | Bladderwort | | | | | | | | |
| 21 | Bladderwort (mini) | | Ļ | | | | | | | 21 | Bladderwort (mini) | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | Buttercup | C | b | a | | | | L | a | 22 | Buttercup | | | | - | | | | |
| 23 | Najas spp. | | | - | - | 8 | | - | | 23 | Najas spp. | | - | <u> </u> | - | | | _ | |
| 24 | Brittle neigd | – | - | - | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | | | 24 | Brittle naied | _ | | | | | | _ | |
| 25 | Sago pondweed | | ┢─ | - | ┣─ | - | | | | 25 | Sago pondweed | | ┢ | | | | | - | |
| 26 | water merigold | | | | 1 | | | | | 26 | water merigold | | | ┢── | ┝╌╸ | ╞── | | - | |
| 27 | small pondweed | | | | ┢── | | 1 | | | 27 | small pondweed | | <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| 28 | White waterlily | | | | | | | | a | 28 | White waterlily | | | | | | | | |
| | | t | | | | | | Ĺ | Γ | | | Ĺ | İ | | | | | | Ľ. |
| 29 | Yellow waterlily | | | | | | | | | 29 | Yellow waterlily | | | | | L | | | |
| 30 | Watershield | | | | | | | | | 30 | Watershield | | | | | | | | |
| 31 | Small duckweed | | | 1 | | | | | | 31 | Small duckweed | | | Ĺ | | | | | I |
| 32 | Great duckweed | | ┡ | ⊢ | 1 | L | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | 32 | Great duckweed | | ┞ | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | ┣— | | |
| - 33 | watermeat | ┢ | ┢ | ┣ | | | ╞ | <u> </u> | ┡ | دد ا | watermeat | ╋ | ╟ | - | ⊢ | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | - |
| 34 | Arrowhead | + | \vdash | ┢ | я | h | 1 | я | A | 34 | Arrowhead | | ┢ | 1 | 1- | | | ┣ | ┣ |
| 35 | Pickerelweed | ┢ | ┢ | ┢ | Ē | ۲ | ⊢ | Ē | ⊢ | 35 | Pickerelweed | t | ╂── | | \vdash | | | <u> </u> | |
| 36 | Апоw апит | 1 | | + | | \vdash | 1 | | † | 36 | Arrow arum | \mathbf{t} | t | t | \vdash | t | \vdash | | <u>† </u> |
| 37 | Cattail | 1 | a | a | a | t | t | t | t | 37 | Cattail | 1 | 1 | 1 | | t | t | | Ĺ |
| 38 | Bulrush | | | | | | | | | 38 | Bulrush | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | [] | | | | | | | | | |
| 39 | Iris | | | | | | | | | 39 | Iris | | | | | | | | |
| 40 | Swamp Loosestrife | | | | | | | | | 40 | Swamp Loosestrife | | | | | | | | |
| 41 | Carex spp | \vdash | | 1 | L | \vdash | ┞ | ↓ | ┞ | 41 | Carex spp | 4 | 1 | ┞ | ┡ | ┡ | <u> </u> | | ┡ |
| 42 | Kush | ┢╌ | | _ | a | ┢ | ┟ | ┣— | ┨ | 42 | Kush Dum Daad | ┞ | ┡ | ╉── | | ┞ | 1 | ļ | ┣ |
| 43 | DUIT KCCO | | | + | <u> </u> | | - | ┣— | ┢ | 45 | Dull RCCO | _ | ╉ | ┢── | ┢ | ┢ | ┢ | ⊢ | ┢ |
| 1 44 | Loug rear Lougweed | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | í | 1 44 | ITOUR Test LOUGMEED | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | í | 1 |

LAKE: Sturgeon River

COUNTY: Dickinson Co.

SURVEY DATE: August 7, 2010

t

Standard Aquatic Vegetation Summary Sheet

SURVEY BY: Thomas Alwin

| | | | | | | | | | | | Sum of | Tatal | Countient of | | |
|-------------|------------------------|-----------|----------------|----------|-------|----------|---|-----------|----------|------------|------------|------------|--------------|------------|---------------------------------|
| | | Total 1 | namber | rofAV | 'AS's | | Calculations | | | | Previous | Number | Column 9 | | |
| | | for car | h Dear | nity Cat | agory | | Category | Catagory | Catagory | Catagory | Four | of | divided by | | |
| | | Α | B | C | D | | Axi | B x10 | C x 40 | D x 80 | Columns | AVAS's | Column 10 | | |
| Code No | Plant Name | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | Code No | Plant Name |
| | Europian milfoil | 10 | 11 | | 2 | | 10 | 110 | | 160 | 790 | 40 | 7.00 | | Tourseine mildell |
| | Curly lest nondweed | 10 | - 1 | 0 | 4 | | | 110 | | 100 | 260 | 40 | 7.00 | 1 | Curasian milloji |
| 3 | Chara | ŏ | 1 | Ō | ŏ | | | 10 | ő | ŏ | 10 | 40 | 0.00 | 3 | Chara |
| 4 | Thinleaf pondweed | ŏ | Ó | Ō | Ō | | Ō | 0 | ŏ | Ō | 0 | 40 | 0.00 | 4 | Thinleaf pondweed |
| 5 | Flatstem pondweed | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | | 11 | 10 | Ö | Ō | 21 | 40 | 0.53 | 5 | Flatstem pondweed |
| | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| 6 | Robbins pondweed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0.00 | 6 | Robbins pondweed |
| 7 | Variable pondweed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0.00 | 7 | Variable pondweed |
| | Whitestem pondweed | 12 | $\frac{12}{2}$ | 0 | 0 | | 12 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 40 | 0.80 | 8 | Whitestem pondweed |
| <u> </u> | Richardsons pondweed | | | 0 | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0.00 | 9 | Kichardsons pondweed |
| - <u>10</u> | mmois ponuwcea | \square | | U | - | \vdash | ├ ─────┤ | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | | 40 | 0.00 | 10 | minois pondweed |
| | Large leaf nondweed | 6 | - 10- | 0 | 0 | | | | | | 0 | 40 | 0.00 | 11 | I area leaf nondwead |
| 12 | American pondweed | ŏ | Ŏ | Ō | Ō | | 0 | 0 | ŏ | | 0 | 40 | 0.00 | 12 | American nondweed |
| 13 | Floating leaf pondweed | ŏ | ŏ | Ō | ŏ | | - Ö | ŏ | ŏ | Ö | ŏ | 40 | 0.00 | 13 | Floating leaf pondweed |
| 14 | Water stargrass | 8 | 14 | 7 | Ō | | 8 | 140 | 280 | Ō | 428 | 40 | 10.70 | 14 | Water stargrass |
| 15 | Wild Celery | 5 | 10 | 2 | 0 | | 5 | 100 | 80 | 0 | 185 | 40 | 4.63 | 15 | Wild Celery |
| - | | | | | | | | | | | | | · · · | | • |
| 16 | Leafy pondweed | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0.00 | 16 | Sagitteria |
| 17 | Northern milfoil | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | | 2 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 40 | 0.30 | 17 | Northern milfoil |
| 18 | M. verticillatum | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0.00 | 18 | M. verticillatum |
| 19 | M. herterophyllum | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ┡ | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0.00 | 19 | M. herterophyllum |
| 20 | Coontail | 112 | Ľ | 4 | 0 | ┢ | 12 | 70 | 80 | <u> </u> | 102 | 40 | 4.05 | 20 | |
| 21 | Elodea | l a | 4 | | 0 | ⊢ | 8 | 40 | 40 | | 88 | 40 | 2 20 | 21 | Flodes |
| 22 | Bladderwort | 10 | 0 | Ô | ŏ | | 10 | 0 | 0 | | 10 | 40 | 0.25 | 22 | Bladderwort |
| 23 | Bladderwort-mini | 0 | Ō | Ō | Ō | | 0 | ŏ | ŏ | Ť | 0 | 40 | 0.00 | 23 | Bladderwort-mini |
| 24 | Buttercup | Ž | 6 | 4 | 0 | | 2 | 60 | 160 | 0 | 222 | 4 0 | 5.55 | 24 | Buttercup |
| 25 | Najas spp. | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 40 | 0.10 | 25 | Najas spp. |
| | | | | | | | | | | l | | | | | |
| 26 | Brittle naiad | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0.00 | 26 | Brittle naiad |
| 27 | Sago pondweed | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ⊢ | | 0 | 0 | | 3 | 40 | 0.08 | 27 | Sago pondweed |
| 28 | Water Merigold | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | - | | | | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0.00 | 28 | Water Mengold |
| 29 | Spadderdock | 7 | ĥ | 4 | | | | <u>80</u> | | | 170 | 40 | 4.25 | 10 | Spadderdock White water lily |
| <u> </u> | Wine water my | +' | ŀ | ŀ | Ľ | ┝─ | <u> </u> | ļ | <u> </u> | <u>ا ا</u> | <u> '</u> | | 0.10 | | Wine water my |
| 31 | Nuphar sp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ┢── | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0.00 | 31 | Nuphar sp. |
| 32 | Watershield | Ō | Ō | Ō | Ō | ┢── | ō | ŏ | ŏ | ō | ō | 40 | 0.00 | 32 | Watershield |
| 33 | Equisitum | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0.00 | 33 | Equisitum |
| 34 | Spirodella | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0.00 | 34 | Spirodella |
| 35 | Watermeal | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0.00 | 35 | Watermeal |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 36 | Arrowhead | 4 | $\frac{2}{2}$ | 0 | | _ | 4 | 20 | 0 | 80 | 104 | 40 | 2.60 | 36 | Arrowhead |
| 57 | ismartweed | 1 % | ∽ | | | ⊢ | <u> </u> | | | | | 40 | 0.00 | 20 | Smartweed |
| 10 | Cattails | 17 | 5 | | | ┝ | 7 - | 20 | | <u>⊢ ~</u> | 27 | 40 | 0.00 | 20 | Cattails |
| 40 | Three square bulrush | Ι ά | | 1 n | 0 | ┢─ | 6 - | 1 1 | | 10 | <u> </u> | 40 | 0.00 | 1 40 | Bulrushes |
| ┣——— | A LL OF OQUILE OWN HOM | Ť | ۴ | ۲Ť | Ť | ┝ | <u>⊢ </u> | ⊢ Ŭ | ⊢ ਁ | ł | <u> </u> | | 0.00 | | |
| 41 | Iris | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ┢ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0.00 | 41 | Iris |
| 42 | Swamp Loosestrife | 0 | 0 | 0 | Ō | ╞ | 0 | 0 | Ō | Ō | 0 | 40 | 0.00 | 42 | Swamp Loosestrife |
| 43 | Carex spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Ĺ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0.00 | 43 | Purple Loosestrife |
| 44 | Rush spp. | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 3 | 0 | | 0 | 3 | 40 | 0.08 | 44 | Rush spp. |
| | | | | | | Γ | | | 1 | | | T T | | | |

| <u>Common Name</u> | <u>Scientific Name</u> | Cumulative Cover |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 1. Eurasian watermilfoil | Myriophyllum spicatum | 7.00 |
| 2. Chara | Chara sp | 0.25 |
| 3. White stem pondweed | Potamogeton praelongus | 0.80 |
| 4.Flatstem pondweed | Potamogeton richardsonii | 0.53 |
| 5. Sago pondweed | Potamogeton pectinatus | 0.08 |
| 6. Coontail | Ceratophyllum demersum | 4.05 |
| 7. Elodea | Elodea Canadensis | 2.20 |
| 8. Northern watermilfoil | Myriophyllum sibiricum | 0.30 |
| 9. Wild Celery | Vallisneria Americana | 4.63 |
| 10. Waterlily | Nuphar sp. | 0.18 |
| 11. Watershield | Brasenia sp. | 0.10 |
| 12. Buttercup | Ranunculus longirostris | 5.55 |
| 13. Bladderwort | Utricularia vulgaris | 0.25 |
| 14. Naiad | Najas spp. | 0.10 |
| 15. Spadderdock | Nuphar variegata | 4.25 |
| 16. Arrowhead | Sagittaria spp. | 2.60 |
| 17. Cattail | Typha spp. | 0.68 |
| 18. Rush | Juncus spp. | 0.08 |



т

20101227-0025 FERC PDF (Unofficial) 12/27/2010

Appendix B. Resource Agency Comments and Recommendations

Linda Mitchell

| From: | Linda Mitchell |
|----------|--|
| Sent: | Tuesday, December 07, 2010 11:46 AM |
| То: | 'Mistak, Jessica (DNRE)'; Nick Utrup@fws.gov |
| Cc: | Sarah Lomske: dpl@norwavmi.com |
| Subject: | RE: Sturgeon Falls Hydro - FERC No. 2720 - Exotic Species Monitoring |

Jessica,

Thanks for the prompt reply and congratulations on your promotion. I'll talk with the City to find out their future plans for complying with their Invasive Plan Monitoring Plan.

Linda Mitchell | Compliance Specialist

Mead & Hunt, Inc | M & H Architecture, Inc | 6501 Watts Road | Madison, WI 53719 Main: 608-273-6380 | Mobile: 608-445-2554 | Direct: 608-443-0461 <u>linda.mitchell@meadhunt.com|www.meadhunt.com</u>

From: Mistak, Jessica (DNRE) [mailto:Mistak]@michigan.gov] Sent: Tuesday, December 07, 2010 6:26 AM To: Linda Mitchell; <u>Nick Utrup@fws.gov</u> Cc: Sarah Lomske; <u>dpl@norwaymi.com</u> Subject: RE: Sturgeon Falls Hydro - FERC No. 2720 - Exotic Species Monitoring

Hi Linda,

The results of the weevil survey are very promising in managing Eurasian Watermilfoil at the Sturgeon Falls Project. We appreciate the level of interest and cooperation expressed by the City of Norway in addressing this issue.

The report is not clear on proposed or required next steps. It would be helpful to outline what, if anything is required by the license in addition to what may be recommended by EnviroScience. Please also include a timeline for future actions.

Lastly, as you will see in my signature, I was recently promoted. We are working to hire my replacement and anticipate that this individual will be hired by February. In the interim, I may be forwarding issues to my colleague, Kyle Kruger.

Thank you, Jessica

Jessica Mistak, Northern Lake Michigan Supervisor DNRE Fisheries Division 6833 Hwy 2, 4, and M-35 Gladstone, MI 49837 906-786-2351 x127 906-786-1300 FAX

From: Linda Mitchell [mailto:linda.mitchell@meadhunt.com] Sent: Monday, December 06, 2010 5:33 PM To: Mistak, Jessica (DNRE); <u>Nick Utrup@fws.gov</u> Cc: Sarah Lomske; <u>dpl@norwaymi.com</u> Subject: Sturgeon Falls Hydro - FERC No. 2720 - Exotic Species Monitoring

Dear Jessica and Nick,

In accordance with its FERC license, the City of Norway monitors Eurasian watermilfoil and purple loosestrife in project waters, and has implemented measures to control watermilfoil spread.

1

The City has contracted with EnviroScience, Inc. to conduct a monitoring and control plan using targeted release of milfoil weevils. A report of the control program and associated reservoir surveys is attached for your review and comment. Please send any comments on this report to my attention within 30 days. The City will submit the report to the FERC in accordance with provisions of its Invasive Plant Monitoring Plan.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Linda Mitchell | Compliance Specialist

Mead & Hunt, Inc. | M & H Architecture, Inc. | 6501 Watts Road (Madison, W) 53719 Main: 608-273-6380 | Mobile: 608-445-2554 | Direct: 608-443-0461 <u>linda.mitchell@meadhunt.com|www.meadhunt.com</u>

Confidentiality statement: This e-mail message, including any attachments, is intended only for the use of the recipient(s) and may contain privileged and confidential information, including information that is protected under the HIPAA privacy rules. Any unauthorized review, disclosure, copying, distribution or use is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail by mistake, please notify us immediately by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message. Thank You.

Linda Mitchell

| From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: | Nick_Utrup@fws. Tuesday, Decem Linda Mitchell dpl@norwaymi.co RE: Sturgeon Fal | .gov ber 07, 2010 12:21 PM om; Mistak, Jessica (DNRE); Sarah Lomske ls Hydro - FERC No. 2720 - Exotic Species Monitoring |
|---|--|--|
| Hi Linda, | | |
| I concur wit | n Jessica's comments. | |
| Nick | | |
| Nicholas J. (Hydropower Co U.S. Fish and Green Bay Eco 2661 Scott To New Franken, | Jtrup Dordinator d Wildlife Service Dlogical Services Field Dwer Drive WI 54229 | l Office |
| Office: Mobile: FAX: Email: | (920) 866-1736 (920) 530-9937 (920) 866-1710 Nick_Utrup@fws.gov | |
| | Linda Mitchell <linda.mitchell@m eadhunt.com> 12/07/2010 11:45 AM</linda.mitchell@m | To "Mistak, Jessica (DNRE)" <mistakj@michigan.gov>, "Nick_Utrup@fws.gov" <nick_utrup@fws.gov> cc Sarah Lomske <slomske@enviroscienceinc.com>, "dpl@norwaymi.com" <dpl@norwaymi.com> Subject RE: Sturgeon Falls Hydro - FERC No. 2720 - Exotic Species Monitoring</dpl@norwaymi.com></slomske@enviroscienceinc.com></nick_utrup@fws.gov></mistakj@michigan.gov> |

1

Jessica,