State of Wisconsin
Department of Natural Resources

dnr.wi.gov

Final Report

Targeted Runoff Management Grant Program and Urban Nonpoint Source and Storm Water Management Grant Program

Form 3400-189 (R 11/05)

Notice: This final report is authorized by ss. 281.65 and 281.66, Wis. Stats., and chs. NR 153 and NR 155, Wis. Adm. Code. Personally identifiable information collected will be used for program administration and may be made available to requesters as required under Wisconsin's Open Records Law [ss. 19.31-19.39, Wis. Stats.].

Instructions: The grant agreement requires grantees to submit a Final Report 60 days after the end date listed in the grant agreement. This Final Report form must be used in conjunction with the "FINAL REPORT INSTRUCTIONS." The instructions detail how to complete and submit the report to DNR.

1. Grant Type

☐ Agricultural - Targeted Runoff Management Grant

☐ Urban - Targeted Runoff Management Grant

☐ Construction - Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant

☒ Planning - Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant

2. Grantee & Project Information

Project Name	Grant Number
Town of Onalaska Stormwater Management Utility	USP-BR01-32020-04
Governmental Unit Name	**Governmental Unit Type (city, village, town, etc.)**
Town of Onalaska	Town
Watershed Name	**Watershed Code**
Lower Black River	BR01
DNR Water Management Unit (River System) Name	**Water Body Identification Code (WBIC) (if applicable)**
Black River	1676700

s. 303(d) Waterbody? ☐ Yes ☒ No

What pollutant(s) were addressed by the project?

Sediment entering the Upper Mississippi River Wildlife and Fish Refuge.

For **each** project site location provide the following: (attach additional sheets if necessary)

Location:		A	B	C	D	E
Minor Civil Division Name		Onalaska				
PLSS	Town	17N				
	Range	7W				
	Section					
	Quarter					
	Quarter-Quarter					
Latitude		43° 56' N				
Longitude		91° 12' W				
Property Owner(s)	Name					
	Mailing address					
Site address *(if different than mailing address)*						

3. Summary of Results

A. Performance Standards and Prohibitions and Other Water Resources Management Priorities

For grants issued in calendar year 2006 or later, complete Tables A and B (following) consistent with the entries on your grant application. For grants issued prior to calendar year 2006, complete Tables A and B, *to the best of your knowledge*, consistent with the entries on your grant application.

Table A. Performance Standards and Prohibitions (per ch. NR 151, Wis. Adm. Code, effective October 1, 2002)

Performance Standard or Prohibition	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Sheet, rill and wind erosion	Acres meeting T		
Manure Storage Facilities: New Construction/Alterations	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Manure Storage Facilities: Closure	Number of facilities		
Manure Storage Facilities: Failing/Leaking Facilities	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Clean Water Diversions in WQMA	Pollutant load reduction		
	Number of farms with diversions		
	Number animal units		
Nutrient Management on Agricultural Land	Acres planned		
Prohibition: Manure Storage Overflow	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Prohibition: Unconfined Manure Pile in WQMA	Number of farms		
Prohibition: Direct Runoff From Feedlot/Stored Manure	Pollutant load reduction		
	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Prohibition: Unlimited Livestock Access	Feet of bank protected		
	Number of farms		
Urban: 20-40% Reduction in Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	Pounds TSS reduced		
	% TSS reduction		

Table B. Other Water Resources Management Priorities

I. Agricultural Areas	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Buffers	Feet of bank protected		
	Number of farms		
Streambank	Tons of bank erosion reduced		
	Feet of bank protected		
Other (specify)			
II. Developed Urban Areas	**Units of Measure**	**Quantity**	**Measurement Method Used**
Urban: 20-40% Reduction in TSS	Pounds TSS reduced		
	% TSS reduction		
Infiltration	% Pre-development stay-on volume		
	Cubic feet stay-on volume		
Peak flow discharge	Change in cubic feet per second		
Protective areas	Feet of bank protected		
Fueling & maintenance areas	Oily sheen presence		
Streambank	Tons of bank erosion reduced		
	Feet of bank protected		
Other (specify)			
III. Planning	**Units of Measure**	**Quantity**	**Measurement Method Used**
Quantify how implementation of the planning project decreased storm water impacts on state waters (*i.e.*, storm water plan, I & E plan, *etc*.)	Municipalities planned for	1	Utility Created
	Acres planned for		
Document/track progress made in implementing the planning product (*i.e.*, ordinance, utility district evaluation/formation, storm water management plan information & education, *etc*.)	Municipalities planned for	1	Utility Created
	Acres planned for		
Other (specify)			

B. Project Results Narrative

The Town of Onalaska has completed the report titled: Feasibility of Developing a Stormwater Management Utility in the Town of Onalaska. The report includes all information used to create a Stormwater Utility including public information program documents, operations budget, maintenance and functionality of the system, rate structure and enabling ordinance. The Town adopted the Stormwater Utility creation ordinance(#2005-10-25) on October 24, 2005. The Utility was created to fund the operation and maintenance of the sediment removal facility located on Sand Lake Coulee Creek at the confluence with the Wildlife & Fish Refuge. The Town held eight meetings during the course of the preparation of the Feasibility Study. The agendas are included in the Feasibility Report. A copy of the newsletter and educational information is included with this report.

4. Satisfaction of Notice Requirements (if applicable)

If cost sharing for this project was offered under a formal notice to achieve compliance with performance standards or prohibitions, provide information for each notice in the table below.

Notice Information				Notice Satisfaction Information		
				Satisfied?		
Notice Type	Issue Date	From (Name)	To (Name)	Yes	No	Date Letter Sent
				☐	☐	
				☐	☐	
				☐	☐	
				☐	☐	

5. Summary of Project Challenges

The main project challenge included educating the public and property owners of the value of establishing a stormwater utility. Conducting adequate public meetings and getting the information to property owners via newsletters and other publications helped move the project forward.

6. Additional Information about the Project (optional)

Additional information submitted with this final grant report includes: 1) Feasibility of Developing a Stormwater Management Utility in the Town of Onalaska, 2) two newsletters, and 3) an Ordinance Creating a Town Stormwater Utility.

7. Planning Product (UNPS&SW - Planning Projects only)

☒ Check here if a printed copy of the planning product (e.g., plans, ordinances, analyses) was sent to your DNR Regional Nonpoint Source Coordinator.

Name of Document	Date(s) effective	Date Submitted to NPS Coordinator
Feasibility of Developing a Stormwater Management Utility	10-25-2005	

8. Grantee Certification:

☒ Check here to certify that, to the best of your knowledge, the information contained in this report is correct and true.

Type or print Name and Title of Authorized Representative certifying here.

Dave Paudler, Chairperson

Signature of Authorized Representative	Date