

**WILKE LAKE  
LAKE MANAGEMENT STUDY**

**WILKE LAKE ADVANCEMENT ASSOCIATION  
TOWN OF SCHLESWIG  
SANITARY DISTRICT #2**

February 3, 1995


Prepared For:


Town of Schleswig Sanitary District #2  
c/o William Schlegel, Chairman  
1617 Maple Lane  
Sheboygan, Wisconsin

Prepared By:

Northern Environmental Technologies, Incorporated  
1214 West Venture Court  
Mequon, Wisconsin 53092

Project Number WLS140529

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
James H. Johnson, P.E.  
Director of Water Resources

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Brian S. Lennie  
Environmental Scientist

BSL/jmb

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
2.0 INTRODUCTION	2
2.1 Background Information	2
2.2 History	2
2.3 Workplan	3
3.0 METHODS OF INVESTIGATION	5
3.1 Chemical and Physical Analyses	5
3.2 Watershed Analyses	5
3.3 Vegetation Surveys	5
3.4 Sediment Survey	5
3.5 Public Opinion Survey	6
3.6 Lake Management Plan	6
4.0 RESULTS	7
4.1 Chemical Characteristics	7
4.2 Physical Characteristics	9
4.3 Watershed Analysis	12
4.4 Vegetation Surveys	13
4.5 Sediment Survey	14
4.6 Public Opinion Survey	14
5.0 CONCLUSIONS	17
6.0 RECOMMENDATIONS: LAKE MANAGEMENT PLAN	20
6.1 Watershed Management Techniques	20
6.2 In-Lake Management Techniques	20
6.3 Funding	24

**TABLE OF CONTENTS - Continued**

	<b><u>Page</u></b>
7.0 REFERENCES	26

**FIGURES**

1. Wilke Lake Watershed Boundary	4
2. Soft Sediment Thickness Contour Map for Wilke Lake	15
3. Trophic State Graph	18
4. Lake Management Plan	21

**TABLES**

1. Water Quality Data: 1992-1994, Wilke Lake, Manitowoc County, Wisconsin, 1992 - 1993 Water Year	8
2. Temperatures Profile	10
3. Dissolved Oxygen Profiles	11
4. Best Management Practices	22

**APPENDICES**

A. Investigative Methods	
B. Wilke Lake Septic Survey	
C. Wilke Lake Vegetation Surveys	
D. Wilke Lake Public Opinion Survey	

## 1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

On behalf of the Town of Schleswig Sanitary District #2, Northern Environmental Technologies, Incorporated (Northern Environmental) completed a lake management study of Wilke Lake under a Lake Management Planning Grant received during April 1992. Wilke Lake is a 97-acre ground-water seepage lake with a single perennial outlet. The lake, located in southwest Manitowoc County, has a maximum depth of 20 feet, an average depth of nine feet, 1.7 miles of shoreline, about 100 dwellings, and a 467-acre watershed.

During the 1970s, Wilke Lake experienced algae blooms and excessive aquatic plant growth due to high nutrient levels. At that time, Wilke Lake was classed as a eutrophic lake, accelerated by cultural eutrophication. Cultural eutrophication is the human-influenced enrichment of nutrients and sediments in lakes. During 1981, the Sanitary District implemented an aquatic plant management plan because of excessive aquatic plant growth.

During 1992, Northern Environmental began a two-year lake management study. Specific areas of investigation were chemical and physical characteristics of Wilke Lake, the watershed, hydrophytic vegetation, lake sediments, and public opinions. Final work products include detailed baseline-water quality data, an aquatic macrophyte survey, and a lake management plan.

Water quality analysis revealed that Wilke Lake's water quality has improved since the 1970s and can now be classed a mesotrophic lake. Mesotrophic lakes have lower nutrient levels, less biologic productivity, and better water clarity than eutrophic lakes. Severity and frequency of algae blooms have also decreased. The aquatic macrophyte survey revealed that about 75 percent of the vegetation sample points have Eurasian milfoil growth. Eurasian milfoil is an aggressive non-native submergent plant that is a common nuisance plant in shallow Wisconsin lakes. Mechanical harvesting of Eurasian milfoil has decreased internal nutrient cycling and increased the recreational boating opportunities on Wilke Lake.

The lake management plan provides a guideline for maximizing public use without compromising the lake's natural integrity and addresses the physical and cultural concerns of the lake. Highlights of the plan include continuing best management practices, preserving the southwest wetland area, distributing lake educational materials, continuing mechanical harvesting of aquatic plants, experimenting with sediment covers, creating a fish management plan, performing sediment analysis, completing a wetland restoration project, appointing a volunteer lake monitor, and forming lake committees. Implementing management plans will reduce negative cultural impacts on the lake by stabilizing and protecting water quality.

## 2.0 INTRODUCTION

### 2.1 Background Information

Wilke Lake is a shallow 97-acre ground-water seepage lake located in southwestern Manitowoc County, Wisconsin. Wilke Lake has a single perennial outlet, a maximum depth of 20 feet, an average depth of nine feet, and 1.7 miles of shoreline with 100 seasonal and year round dwellings (Reference 1). Wilke Lake has a 467 acre, primarily agricultural, watershed (Figure 1). The watershed to lake ratio is 5 to 1. About 90 percent of the shoreline is developed, while the other 10 percent is wetland. One large wetland system, owned by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR), is located on the north shore of Wilke Lake and a similar wetland is located on the western shore. Presently, as well as in the past, Wilke Lake is experiencing excessive submerged aquatic plant growth. Most plant growth is a non-native plant species, Eurasian milfoil.

### 2.2 History

Wilke Lake was formed at the end of the last Ice Age, about 10,000 years ago in an area dominated by soils underlain by glacial outwash deposits adjacent to a terminal moraine running from northeast to southwest. A large piece of glacial ice probably was left behind, and when it melted formed Wilke Lake. This type of lake is typically called a "kettle lake".

Today, Wilke Lake suffers from post-settlement human activities. Land use changes from pre-settlement conifer-hardwood forest to agriculture has increased nutrient and sediment loads to Wilke Lake. Wilke Lake is one of the most heavily used lakes in Manitowoc County.

A WDNR report from the early 1960s documented a maximum depth of 22 feet, while the maximum present depth is 20 feet. This yields a post-settlement sedimentation rate of about one foot per 15 years. During the early 1960s, Wilke Lake began managing aquatic plants. From 1960 to 1964, chemicals were periodically applied to control aquatic plants. Unfortunately, no documents regarding the type and rate of application were kept. During the late 1960s, volunteers on the lake used a small weed cutter as a method to control aquatic plants. This method did not actually harvest the cut plants which may have actually stimulated aquatic plant growth. During the 1970s, aquatic plants were not managed, and aquatic plant growth was excessive. Water quality data collected during the 1970s showed shallow secchi disk readings which translate into a high algae content in the water column. A 1972 report titled "A Shoreline and Water Quality Evaluation of Wilke Lake in Manitowoc County" stated that Wilke Lake had " high algae and rooted vegetation growth, high phosphorous and nitrogen levels, and septic system and barnyard runoff problems" (Reference 2). At this time, aquatic plant growth became so dense that late summer boat traffic was severely restricted.

During 1980, the Town of Schleswig Sanitary District #2 (more commonly known as the "Wilke Lake Sanitary District" [WLSD]) was formed with the authority to control and manage aquatic plants. During 1981, the WLSD purchased an aquatic plant harvester, and a larger harvester was purchased to replace the smaller old one during 1993. The WLSD has maintained an aquatic plant management plan since 1981. *The plan consists of harvesting and removing aquatic plants each week day for three to four months during the summer.* This plan has allowed unrestricted recreational boating use on Wilke Lake without noticeably

affecting the fish population. Removing aquatic plants from the lake prevents release of plant tissue nutrients during winter decomposition.


### 2.3 Workplan

During April 1992, the WLS D received a Lake Management Planning Grant from the WDNR. The grant was to be used to evaluate Wilke Lake's trophic state and Wilke Lake's watershed. An aquatic macrophyte survey was required to receive funding to purchase a new mechanical aquatic plant harvester.


Northern Environmental Technologies, Incorporated (Northern Environmental) cooperated with the WLS D to prepare the desired plan and was later contracted to complete the study. The objectives of the project are listed below.

- ▲ Evaluate physical and chemical characteristics of Wilke Lake
- ▲ Identify non-point source pollution in the Wilke Lake watershed
- ▲ Examine vegetation of Wilke Lake
- ▲ Evaluate sedimentation in Wilke Lake
- ▲ Conduct a public survey
- ▲ Produce a Lake Management Plan

This report summarizes the methods used to conduct the study, presents the results, discusses significance of the results, and provides a Lake Management Plan.



SCALE 1:24 000



CONTOUR INTERVAL 10 FEET  
DATUM IS MEAN SEA LEVEL



BASE MAP SOURCE: USGS SCHOOL HILL, WISCONSIN 7.5 MINUTE QUADRANGLE

REV.	PROJECT: WLS140529	DATE: 02/08/85	<b>WILKE LAKE MANITOWOC, WISCONSIN</b>
THIS DRAWING AND ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREON IS THE PROPERTY OF NORTHERN ENVIRONMENTAL INCORPORATED AND SHALL NOT BE COPIED OR USED EXCEPT FOR THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH IT IS EXPRESSLY FURNISHED. THE DRAWING AND ANY COPIES THEREOF SHALL BE RETURNED TO THE OWNER ON DEMAND.			
<b>▲ Northern Environmental</b> Hydrologists • Engineers • Geologists			<b>WILKE LAKE WATERSHED BOUNDARY</b>

### **3.0 METHODS OF INVESTIGATION**

A variety of methods were used to evaluate aquatic vegetation, water quality, and watershed characteristics. These methods are briefly discussed below, and are described in more detail in Appendix A.

#### **3.1 Chemical and Physical Analyses**

Northern Environmental personnel collected eleven water quality samples over a two-year period. Water samples were taken from the deepest portion of Wilke Lake, half way between the surface and the bottom. This site was selected so water samples could be taken while collecting physical data which requires evaluation of the entire water column at the deepest point of the lake. Chemical tests were performed to determine levels of nutrients, alkalinity, and algae. Dissolved oxygen content, temperature, conductivity, and transparency were measured to classify Wilke Lake's trophic state. All chemical tests were performed by the State Laboratory of Hygiene in Madison, with the exception of the February 1992 sample which was analyzed by National Environmental Testing, Incorporated.

#### **3.2 Watershed Analysis**

Wilke Lake's watershed was examined using topographic maps, the Sheboygan River Priority Project (SRPP), Soil Conservation Service soil surveys, meteorologic and hydrologic data, and computer modeling. Data was first examined and then field verified. A watershed survey was conducted to determine point source pollution, identifiable discharges and non-point source pollution, and agricultural runoff into Wilke Lake. A septic survey was also completed by the WLSD pertaining to sanitary sewage disposal systems and potable water sources of Wilke lake property owners.

#### **3.3 Vegetation Surveys**

Vegetation surveys were conducted to identify and evaluate the plant species present in Wilke Lake, the wetlands downstream of Wilke Lake, and the ground-water discharge wetland owned by the WDNR. The Wilke Lake vegetation survey was completed during the summer of 1992 while the wetland vegetation surveys were conducted during the Fall of 1994. Vegetation surveys are used to assess the quality and function of the lake system and the surrounding wetland systems. All species were identified to both genus and species level whenever possible.

#### **3.4 Sediment Survey**

During February 1992, the thickness of the soft sediments in Wilke Lake were measured. Samples were taken using a grid method and a sediment probe. Sediment surveys provide insight into a lake's past and future.



### 3.5 Public Opinion Survey

A public opinion survey was distributed to all Wilke Lake Advancement Association (WLAA) members to enumerate pertinent lake issues. Important issues expressed by WLAA members will be incorporated into the Lake Management Plan. Surveys were distributed and returned, and results compiled. Areas of specific concern were also examined in the field.

### 3.6 Lake Management Plan

A Lake Management Plan was created by comparing data and test results with public opinion. The result is a document which provides a guideline for managing Wilke Lake that will maximize public use without compromising the lake's natural integrity. Recommendations in the plan can be implemented to stabilize and protect water quality in Wilke Lake.

## 4.0 RESULTS

### 4.1 Chemical Characteristics

Chemical characteristics can be grouped into three categories: nutrients, alkalinity and pH, and chlorides. Results are found in Table 1. Nutrients include phosphorus and nitrogen, both of which are vital to microphyte (algae) and macrophyte (milfoil) plant growth. Chlorophyll a is also included with nutrients because it is a measure of algae content which is directly related to nutrient levels.

Concentrations of both soluble phosphorus and total phosphorus were determined. Average soluble phosphorus concentrations measured 0.003 milligrams per liter (mg/l). This average is well below the recommended soluble phosphorus level of 0.01 mg/l to prevent algae blooms. Total phosphorus results averaged 0.015 mg/l. Total phosphorus levels of 0.02 mg/l are considered average for natural lakes. Lakes with total phosphorus levels below 0.02 mg/l will generally not have nuisance algae blooms (Reference 3). Lakes with total phosphorus levels between 0.01 to 0.03 mg/l are considered to have good water quality.

Nitrogen levels were measured for ammonia-nitrogen, nitrate and nitrite, kjeldahl nitrogen, and total nitrogen. Ammonia-nitrogen concentrations averaged 0.053 mg/l. Nitrate and nitrite concentrations averaged 0.023 mg/l. Both ammonia and nitrate and nitrite nitrogen series are inorganic forms of nitrogen. If inorganic nitrogen levels are below 0.3 mg/l summer algae blooms are not likely. Kjeldahl nitrogen is an organic form of nitrogen and is used to quantify total nitrogen. Total nitrogen is calculated by adding nitrate and nitrite to kjeldahl nitrogen. Average concentrations of total nitrogen in Wilke Lake are 0.748 mg/l. Average concentrations for southeastern Wisconsin lakes are 1.43 mg/l (Reference 4).

Wilke Lake's total nitrogen to total phosphorus ratio is about 50:1. When the ratio is greater than 15:1, algae growth in the lake is considered phosphorus limited. When the ratio is below 10:1, nitrogen is the limiting nutrient for algae growth, values between 10:1 and 15:1 are considered transitional.

Chlorophyll a is a green pigment used by plants during photosynthesis. Concentrations of chlorophyll a are generally an indicator of the amount of algae in lake water. High levels correspond to summer and fall algae blooms. Winter algae blooms can also occur if solar input is sufficient through clear ice without snow cover. However, normal chlorophyll a levels for winter are low. Average chlorophyll a concentrations in Wilke Lake are 5.19 micrograms per liter ( $\mu\text{g/l}$ ). Values of 10  $\mu\text{g/l}$  or higher are associated with algae blooms. chlorophyll a readings between 5 and 10  $\mu\text{g/l}$  indicate good water quality (Reference 3).

Alkalinity is one measure of the carbonate system in a lake. Alkalinity is represented by carbonate ( $\text{CO}_3^{2-}$ ) and bicarbonate ( $\text{HCO}_3^-$ ). These compounds bond with calcium and/or magnesium. Alkalinity is usually measured by the amount of  $\text{CaCO}_3$  (calcite) in the water. A high alkalinity reading translates into high hardness levels. Hardness relates to presence of soluble minerals in the lake. Northern Environmental water sample data indicates Wilke Lake has a alkalinity level of 176 mg/l. This means Wilke Lake is a hardwater lake. Hardwater lakes are less susceptible to acid rain and more biologically productive than soft water lakes.

Table 1 1992 - 1994 Water Quality Data, Wilke Lake, Manitowoc County, Wisconsin

	02/22/92	05/12/92	07/29/92	08/27/92	09/30/92	01/25/93	05/25/93	07/14/93	07/26/93	08/30/93	01/10/94	Minimum	Maximum	Mean
Depth of Sample (ft)	8.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	9.0	10.0	8.5	9.0	9.0	9.0			
Alkalinity (as CaCO <sub>3</sub> ) (mg/l)	190	185	152	150	149	185	177	174	177	184	216	149	216	176
Chloride (mg/l)	9.1	9.0	-	9.0	9.0	9.0	8.0	7.0	8.0	8.3	12.2	7.0	12.2	8.86
Chlorophyll <i>a</i> (µg/l)	-	7.00	-	5.04	4.48	2.91	6.26	5.83	7.30	5.58	3.79	2.91	7.3	5.19
Conductivity (umho/cm) <sup>2,4</sup>	1126	368	313	304	306	391	359	351	354	365	435	304	435	355
Nitrogen-Ammonia (mg/l) <sup>4</sup>	-	0.015	ND	0.005	0.012	0.318	0.044	0.007	0.012	0.008	-	<0.005	0.318	0.053
Nitrogen-Nitrate & Nitrite (mg/l)	<0.2	0.016	ND	ND	ND	0.027	0.009	ND	ND	ND	0.041	<0.007	0.041	0.023
Nitrogen - Kjeldahl (mg/l) <sup>4</sup>	1.7	0.60	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.90	0.70	0.60	0.70	0.70	0.70	0.60	0.90	0.73
Total Nitrogen (mg/l)	-	0.616	-	-	-	0.927	0.709	-	-	-	0.741	0.616	0.927	0.748
Phosphorus - Total (mg/l) <sup>4</sup>	<0.5	0.009	-	0.018	0.015	0.008	0.021	0.016	0.016	0.016	0.015	0.008	0.021	0.015
Phosphorus - Soluble (mg/l)	<0.1	ND	0.002	-	0.005	0.006	0.004	0.003	ND	ND	-	0.002	0.006	0.004
pH (units)	8.11	8.72	8.95	7.21	9.13	8.04	8.47	8.51	8.59	8.65	8.29	7.21	9.13	8.1
Secchi disk (meters) <sup>3</sup>	-	2.0	1.2	1.8	2.3	-	2.4	2.3	3.3	3.1	-	1.2	3.3	2.3

NOTE:

- \* = Sample analysis performed by National Environmental Testing, Inc.
- = No data available
- ND = Not detected, below respective laboratory detection limit
- 2 = Measured at 25.0°C
- 3 = An average of two measurements
- 4 = Average does not include 02/22/92 concentrations

WLS140629.0529TB-1  
January 3, 1995

pH is an exponential index of hydrogen ion concentration used to measure acidity and is also related to the carbonate system. pH is represented on a logarithmic scale from 1 to 14, 7 being neutral. Readings above seven have less hydrogen ions and are basic (alkaline), readings below seven have less hydrogen ions and are considered acidic. Wilke Lake has an average pH reading of 8.4, classifying it as an alkaline or hardwater lake. Most lakes have a pH between six and nine (Reference 5).

The presence of high chloride levels usually indicated human pollutants like road salt, fertilizers, septic systems, and animal wastes. Chloride concentrations of 50 to 100 mg/l are usually associated with septic effluent (Reference 3). The world chloride average for lakes and streams is 7.8 mg/l (Reference 5). The geographic distribution of natural chloride from limestone deposits for Wisconsin indicates Manitowoc County averages about 10 mg/l of chloride in surface waters (Reference 3). Wilke Lake has an average chloride concentration of 8.86 mg/l. The chloride concentrations in Wilke Lake do not reveal human influences and can be considered normal.


#### 4.2 Physical Characteristics

Physical characteristics include dissolved oxygen content, temperature, specific conductance, and transparency. Physical characteristics were measured and analyzed to determine the trophic state of Wilke Lake.


Dissolved oxygen is the amount of gaseous oxygen in water. The degree of gaseous solubility is dependent on water temperature, atmospheric pressure, and water salinity. Cold water holds more dissolved oxygen than warm water. Dissolved oxygen is also affected by a lake's biological productivity. Green plants produce oxygen but decomposition and respiration use oxygen. Low levels of dissolved oxygen can cause winter fish kills because winter ice does not allow the air to water oxygen transfer or the photosynthetic oxygen production to balance the loss of oxygen from winter decomposition of organic matter and winter biologic activity. The WDNR water quality standard for warm water lakes is 5 mg/l of dissolved oxygen and 7 mg/l of dissolved oxygen for trout waters. These standards are the minimum amount of oxygen required to maintain a healthy fish population. Sufficient dissolved oxygen levels were recorded to an average depth of 14.4 feet in summer months to support warm water fish. Sufficient dissolved oxygen levels for warm water fish during winter months were observed to an average depth of 12.5 feet. Dissolved oxygen profiles are presented in Table 2.

Temperature profiles for Wilke Lake are presented in Table 3. Water temperature is related to climate, wind patterns, dissolved oxygen content, solubility rates, and chemical reactions. Water temperatures vary with depth. When there is little variation of temperature in the water column, a lake is termed mixed. When temperatures vary from the surface to the bottom, the lake is thermally stratified. Wilke Lake is a dimictic lake that thermally stratifies. Thermal stratification often breaks down in summer, resulting in mixing. A dimictic lake is one that has winter ice and mixes in spring and fall. During summer, thermally stratified lakes have three temperature zone associated with different depths: epilimnion (warm surface layer), metalimnion (transition layer), and hypolimnion (cold bottom layer). Thermal stratification occurs due to differences in water density associated with temperature. During winter, thermal stratification occurs and warmer (39°F) more dense water sinks to the bottom and colder (32 to 38°F) less dense water stays at the surface under a layer of ice (Table 3, profiles 1/25/93 and 1/10/94). Mixing occurs in spring and fall when the ice breaks up and when

**Table 2**  
**Dissolved Oxygen Profile**



**Table 3**  
**Temperature Profile**



05/12/92
  07/28/92
  08/28/92
  09/30/92
  01/25/93
  05/23/93
  07/14/93
  07/26/93
  08/30/93
  01/10/94

summer stratification breaks down. Both mixing events are closely related to local weather and solar input warming and cooling the lake water.

Because Wilke Lake has a maximum depth of 20 feet, it can be considered a shallow lake system. Shallow lake systems sometimes mix during summer when wind and wave action are enough to temporarily break down summer thermal stratification. Summer mixing can contribute to algae blooms. Shallow lake systems are generally more productive than deep lake systems. The lower portion of the hypolimnion is often anoxic (void of oxygen). Bottom sediments in an anoxic environment will release phosphorous into the water column. Summer mixing results in increased nutrient availability for algae. A similar occurrence is associated with normal spring and fall mixing.

Specific conductance or conductivity is used to quantify the amount of dissolved inorganic chemicals in a lake. Generally, lakes with high conductivity readings are eutrophic (fertile and productive). Conductivity readings are commonly twice the alkalinity levels (Reference 3). Wilke Lake's average conductivity reading for the two year sample period was 355  $\mu\text{ohms/cm}$ . Since 352  $\mu\text{ohms/cm}$  is about twice the alkalinity value, 355  $\mu\text{ohms/cm}$  can be considered normal and not suspect of receiving large quantities of human contaminants. Septic affluent and fertilizers are common human pollutants which can cause high conductivity readings.

Transparency is a function water color and turbidity and is measured by recording secchi disk depths. A secchi disk is a circular plate painted with alternating quadrants of black and white. Depths are recorded when an observer can no longer see the secchi disk as it is lowered from the surface and when it reappears as it is raised to the surface. The two measurements are averaged to give a reading. The deeper the secchi disk reading, the better the water clarity. High algae content in the water usually accounts for shallow secchi disk readings. The average secchi disk reading for Wilke Lake during the sample period is 7.5 feet (2.3 meters), and indicates good water quality and clarity.

Total phosphorous, chlorophyll *a*, and secchi disk depths are used to classify a lake's trophic state. A trophic state is an indicator of water quality. Wilke Lake's average total phosphorous level was 0.015 mg/l, average chlorophyll *a* reading was 5.19  $\mu\text{g/l}$ , and average secchi disk depth was 7.5 feet. These three parameters, along with professional judgement, place Wilke Lake in the mesotrophic class of trophic states (Reference 3). Mesotrophic lakes have occasional algae blooms, medium productivity, sediment/phosphorous cycling, accumulated organic matter, fair to good water quality, and good fisheries.

#### 4.3 Watershed Analysis

The Wilke Lake watershed is approximately 467 acres with a watershed to lake ratio of 5:1 (Figure 1). Approximately 59 percent of the watershed is agricultural cropland. The remaining 41 percent of the watershed is comprised of wetlands (17 percent), grasslands (16 percent), residential/farmstead (7 percent), and developing lands (1 percent).

The watershed was examined as part of the 1990 Sheboygan River Priority Project (SRPP) (Reference 6). The SRPP was started to help improve and protect the water quality of the

streams, lakes, wetlands, and ground water within the Sheboygan River watershed and subwatersheds. The project included an inventory of all land uses, the severity of nonpoint pollution sources impacting water quality, and levels of nonpoint source pollution control.

Nonpoint pollution sources of upland sediment, originating from the overland flow of water on fields and soil loss within the Wilke Lake subwatershed, were estimated using the WDNR's Wisconsin Nonpoint model (WIN). Based upon the WIN model, approximately 1,506 tons/year of soil erode annually from the fields within the Wilke Lake watershed. Approximately 45 tons/year of sediment actually reach and enter Wilke Lake.

This means about 97 percent of the eroded soil in the watershed does not reach Wilke Lake. Most of the eroded soil is trapped in grasslands, woodlands, and wetlands. These areas are excellent natural filters. Important sensitive areas in Wilke Lake's watershed include the Cedar-Tamarack swamp adjacent to Wilke Lake and another large swamp/marsh complex on the western shore of Wilke Lake. Both wetland complexes have steep slopes (Reference 7).

Over ninety septic surveys were returned by Wilke Lake residents. A copy of the survey can be found in Appendix B. Results indicate most homeowners have wells on their properties. Also, 63 percent of residents have septic tank systems, 30 percent have a holding tank system, and 7 percent have outdoor privies. The average age of septic systems is 16 years, the average age of holding tanks is 5 years. Many residents voiced concern that the small depressional wetland on the Rabe farm is a source of pollution. Farm and barnyard run-off flow into the wetland and water levels rise in spring until it discharges over the road into Wilke Lake. Problems associated with the Rabe farm wetland were resolved by implementing BMPs funded by the SRPP. Respondents were also concerned with some of the outdoor privies around the lake. However, for the most part, they are only used for emergencies.

#### 4.4 Vegetation Surveys

The vegetation of Wilke Lake, the Cedar-Tamarack wetland, and the Wilke Lake outlet wetland were surveyed. The results of this survey are listed in Appendix C. Wilke Lake exhibits low species diversity and high productivity (Reference 8). A total of 13 plants were identified, 10 to the species level (References 9, 10, 11). The most abundant genus is Myriophyllum (milfoil). Milfoil was present at 88 percent of the sample points. Milfoil was found growing at a maximum depth of 18 feet, no other plants were observed growing below 11 feet. The growth patterns and population levels suggest that this milfoil is non-native Eurasian milfoil. Eurasian milfoil provides fish cover and supports insects for food, but does not provide much value for wildlife. Chara spp. and Najas flexillis were the second and third most abundant species occurring at 44 percent and 20 percent of the sample points, respectively. These two species are beneficial to wildlife as food, but have little value for fish. In general, the aquatic plant communities of Wilke Lake are fair food sources for wildlife and benefit fish by providing food, cover, and spawning habitat. However, the large monotypic aquatic vegetation bed of Eurasian milfoil destabilizes the food chain. Since the aquatic management plan was established, Milfoil populations have stabilized if not reduced. One result of aquatic plant removal is decreased nutrient cycling from decaying plant materials.

The Cedar-Tamarack swamp vegetation survey revealed one of the major sources of water for the lake. A complete list of plants in the Cedar-Tamarack swamp is found in Appendix C. The large swamp receives water from surface flows, precipitation, and ground water. Several



ground-water indicator plant species were observed growing in the wetland. Immediately along the shore, a sedge meadow complex has formed as water from the swamp enters the lake. Growing in the sedge meadow are both Muhlenbergia glomerata (Wild timothy), and Scirpus acutus (Hard-stem bulrush). Wild timothy and Hard-stem bulrush are commonly associated with ground-water discharges (Reference 12). Numerous trails were also observed indicating heavy use by deer. This wetland is important wildlife habitat.

The Wilke Lake outlet wetland is an impacted wetland. The community is dominated by Phalaris arundinacea (Reed canary grass). Reed canary grass is a non-native plant species that forms monotypic stands in disturbed areas. This decreases diversity and lowers overall habitat quality. The natural flow out of Wilke Lake has been altered and the channel through the fresh (wet) meadow outlet wetland has been straightened. These and other activities probably caused the disturbances which led to Reed canary grass dominance.

No federal or state-designated threatened or endangered species were observed during the vegetation surveys.


#### 4.5 Sediment Survey

During February 1992, Northern Environmental examined sediment thickness within the lake basin. Sixty sample points were established and data recorded for each. Sediment maps were created with the aid of the Surfer-Version 4 computer model (Figure 2). A maximum thickness of 28 feet of soft sediment was measured, with a mean of 16.6 feet. Approximately 3.2 million cubic yards of soft sediment are estimated to have accumulated in the Wilke Lake basin. The WDNR cancelled the prescribed chemical analysis of sediment cores due to high testing costs.

Approximately 90 percent of the bottom of Wilke Lake is covered with muck. The remaining 10 percent is covered with sand and gravel. The sand and gravel, along with some glacial boulders, probably constitutes the original lake bottom. The muck is consistent with the mesotrophic classification of Wilke Lake. Mesotrophic lakes have medium to high levels of productivity which produces biomass. This biomass is deposited annually on the lake bottom, and accumulates over time, changing a lake's trophic state. Additional sedimentation is caused by changes in land use over the past 150 years, from native presettlement vegetation to agriculture. The natural succession of the lake is from oligotrophic to eutrophic. However, this natural process can be accelerated through human influences.

#### 4.6 Public Opinion Survey

During 1992, an opinion survey was distributed to the members of the Wilke Lake Advancement Association. A total of 24 surveys were returned, representing about 25 percent of the waterfront owners. The most important findings of the survey are presented below. A sample survey and complete results are found in Appendix D.



REV.

PROJECT: WLS140529 | DATE: 02/03/95

THIS DRAWING AND ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREON IS THE PROPERTY OF NORTHERN ENVIRONMENTAL INCORPORATED AND SHALL NOT BE COPIED OR USED EXCEPT FOR WHICH IT IS EXPRESSLY FURNISHED. THE DRAWING AND ANY CORRECTIONS THEREOF SHALL BE RETURNED TO THE OWNER ON DEMAND.

▲ Northern Environmental  
Hydrologists · Engineers · Geologists

WILKE LAKE SANITARY DISTRICT

SOFT SEDIMENT THICKNESS  
CONTOUR MAP

▲ Areas of Concern

- Nuisance aquatic vegetation
- Degraded lake water quality

▲ Perceived Water Quality

- 76 percent considered Wilke Lake clear
- 50 percent define the water quality as good

▲ The Rabe farm at the south end of Wilke Lake was identified as a potential pollution source

▲ Actions to be Taken

- Develop long-term management plan
- Stock fish
- Strengthen the WLAA

▲ Responsibilities

- 50 percent of respondents felt the WLSD and/or the WLAA are responsible for lake management
- 30 percent felt it is the State's responsibility to manage the lake
- 42 percent felt the WLSD and/or the WLAA are financially responsible for management
- 30 percent felt the State was financially responsible for management

Generally, the members of the WLAA feel their lake has fair to good water quality, an aquatic vegetation problem, and needs a long-term Lake Management Plan to address these and other issues effecting Wilke Lake.


## 5.0 CONCLUSIONS

Wilke Lake's physical and chemical characteristics indicate that water quality was good during the 1992 to 1994 study. This shows improvement from the water quality data collected during the 1970s. Average values for nutrient concentrations are below levels which would indicate algae blooms. Phosphorous and nitrogen levels support improvements of Wilke Lake's water quality. However, Wilke Lake does experience occasional algae blooms. The location of some of these blooms support the theory that the excess nutrients are coming from drainage systems draining a wetland area between Wilke Lake and Ucker Point Creek Road. Normally, a wetland area would act as a nutrient sink, absorbing and transforming nutrients. When draining a wetland, nutrients are released directly into Wilke Lake. Nutrient sources may include agricultural run off and road run off containing nitrogen, phosphorous, and chlorides. Wetland loss, due to draining, enhances cultural eutrophication. Residential stormwater, agricultural run off, road way run off, increases in impervious land area, septic leachate, sedimentation, and even recreation can contribute to cultural eutrophication.

The amount of nutrients and sediments entering Wilke Lake has increased from cultural influences. A watershed once consisting of mature conifer-hardwood forests is now almost 60 percent cropland. An undeveloped natural pre-settlement shoreline is now 90 percent developed. Sixty percent of homeowners have sanitary sewage systems which drain into septic fields and then into Wilke Lake. These conditions, along with a Eurasian milfoil problem, augment the normally high levels of productivity associated with a shallow dimictic lake that is mesotrophic and located in southeastern Wisconsin. Wilke Lake has total phosphorous levels, secchi disk depths, and chlorophyll *a* levels which place it in the mesotrophic category of the trophic classification scheme (Figure 3). This trophic state has changed since 1972 when Wilke Lake was classed eutrophic (Reference 1).

Improved water quality can be connected to the harvesting and removing of nutrient rich Eurasian milfoil biomass. Harvesting also improves perceived water quality because aquatic plants are less visible and the aesthetics are increased. However, continued harvesting will only provide short term relief from the aquatic macrophyte problem. More long-term management strategies include dredging, water level drawdown, shading/sediment covers, and biologic controls. Dredging and shading/sediment covers are both expensive management methods, costing between \$1,000.00 and \$10,000.00 per acre not including dredge disposal costs or cover application costs (Reference 13). Negative impacts of dredging include site disposal problems related to discharging nutrient rich sediment which may contain heavy metals and other toxic compounds. Prior to dredging, chemical analysis of the sediment should be done which can be costly. Chemical composition of sediments can cause increased disposal costs. Even though sediment covers are costly, they have few negative impacts. Sediment covers are natural or synthetic barriers which limit light from reaching sediments and prevent plants from rooting. Temporary damage to benthic invertebrates is unavoidable. Other aquatic management techniques include drawdowns and biologic controls. Water level drawdowns are effective for aquatic plant control, but they are based on exposing large areas of lake bed along the shore. Many residents would be opposed to this based on aesthetics and limits placed on recreation. Drawdowns need to occur during successive freezing and drying periods which cause damage to the plants, and their roots and seeds. Biologic controls using the aggressive grass carp are prohibited in Wisconsin and aquatic insects are restricted to warm weather southern lakes. It can be concluded that drawdowns and biologic controls would not be effective management techniques for Wilke Lake.

**Figure 3 Trophic State Designations**  
Wilke Lake, Manitowoc County, Wisconsin



**Trophic Category Descriptions**

Category *	WTSI	Lake Characteristics
Oligotrophic	1-40	Clear water; oxygen rich at all depths, except if close to mesotrophic border; then may have low or no oxygen; cold-water fish likely in deeper lakes.
Mesotrophic	41-50	Moderately clear; increasing probability of low to no oxygen in bottom waters.
Eutrophic	51-70	Decreased water clarity; probably no oxygen in bottom waters during summer, warm-water fisheries only; blue-green algae likely in summer in upper range; plants also excessive.
Hyper-Eutrophic	70-100	Heavy algal blooms throughout the summer; if >80, fish kills likely in summer and rough fish dominate.

WLS140529.figure4  
January 3, 1995

An experimental sediment cover plan should be implemented. Covers could be applied to areas in the lake where milfoil investigation is heaviest (the center of the lake) or in boat traffic lanes around the lake (around the edges of infested areas). There are no disposal requirements or aesthetic impacts associated with sediment covers. Small areas should be selected for application and monitored for success. Following monitoring, an assessment should be completed to determine the long-term feasibility of this aquatic plant management technique for Wilke Lake. A polypropyl material, trade name Typar, costs about \$3,500.00 an acre, has a low application difficulty rating, and is considered effective (Reference 13).

Wetlands serve as open spaces which increase the aesthetics or natural beauty of a lake, many public survey respondents indicated that peace and tranquility are very important to lake front owners. To assure that present levels of wildlife, water quality, and aesthetics are maintained, steps should be taken to preserve the large wetland to the west of Wilke Lake. These wetland sensitive areas act as natural buffers to human activities and impacts. The wetlands around Wilke Lake are the main source of the water in Wilke Lake and filter sediments and nutrients from runoff that enters Wilke Lake.

Achieving stability and improving water quality can be accomplished by implementing the recommended Lake Management Plan. Not all of the recommendations need to be implemented, but all of them will provide benefits for the lake and for the community.

## **6.0 RECOMMENDATIONS: LAKE MANAGEMENT PLAN**

Lake planning should be recommended in a Lake Management Plan (Figure 4). Creating a long-term management plan is also important to Wilke Lake Advancement Association members, as expressed in the public opinion survey. Management recommendations take into account effectiveness, negative impacts, and costs. Effectiveness relates to longevity of the management technique, probability of success, and whether or not the technique addresses the cause of the problem or the effect of the problem. Management techniques are divided into watershed management and in-lake management techniques (Reference 13). Watershed management techniques focus on watershed wide issues such as agricultural and rural point and non-point source pollutants. In-lake management techniques focus on lake physical issues like nuisance aquatic plants, algal blooms, and fisheries; and policy issues like recreational uses and zoning ordinances.

### **6.1 Watershed Management Techniques**

Continuing and increasing use of Best Management Practices (BMP) in the Wilke Lake watershed are the most effective methods for controlling non-point source pollutants. Implementation costs vary greatly between management techniques, however, most are eligible for cost-sharing under WDNR: NR 120. A cost analysis of BMPs can be found in Table 4 (Reference 6).

Preserving the unprotected western wetland area in the Wilke Lake watershed will allow this wetland to continue acting as a buffer for Wilke Lake from human activities. If this wetland is a ground-water discharge wetland, then loss or deterioration of this system may result in changes in the water quality of Wilke Lake. Developing the steep slopes around the wetland would also have negative effects on water quality. Costs to purchase the property in the name of the WLSA would be substantially greater than having the property owner transfer ownership in the form of a preservation easement to the WDNR or the Nature Conservancy. Another option is to zone the land as conservancy so that the land is taxed less and the owner has less incentive to develop the land. Purchasing this wetland would be eligible for 50% project funding up to \$100,000.00 under the WDNR Lake Protection Grants. A wetland assessment could be performed to determine the exact size and functional values of the wetland. This assessment would be used to supplement the application for the grant. Because this wetland provides protection of water quality, compliments BMPs in the watershed, and protects the natural ecosystem, this project is a good candidate for a grant. The 1994-95 fiscal budget for this grant program is over \$1.3 million (Reference 14).

A WDNR publication titled *Life on the Edge...Owning Waterfront Property* should be distributed to all Wilke Lake home owners. This publication describes in layman terms issues and regulations regarding purchasing and owning waterfront property. It also provides information on improving shoreline habitat and water quality for new and existing homes. At a cost of about \$5.00 per publication, all lake home owners could receive a copy for about \$500.00.

### **6.2 In-Lake Management Techniques**

Mechanical harvesting of aquatic plants (i.e., Milfoil) should continue. Harvesting reduces the amount of nutrients being recycled in the lake over winter. This management technique provides only a temporary solution to the aquatic plant problem. One goal of continuing this

## LAKE MANAGEMENT PLAN

### I. WATERSHED MANAGEMENT TECHNIQUES

IMPLEMENT BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

PRESERVE WEST WETLAND AREA

DISTRIBUTE EDUCATIONAL MATERIALS: "LIFE ON THE EDGE" (WDNR PUBLICATION)

### II. IN-LAKE MANAGEMENT TECHNIQUES

#### PHYSICAL:

CONTINUE HARVESTING AQUATIC PLANTS

EXPERIMENTAL SEDIMENT COVERS

CREATE FISH MANAGEMENT PLAN: SURVEY & ASSESSMENT

ANALYZE SEDIMENT

ENHANCE WETLAND: PLUG DRAIN TILES & SELECTIVE PLANTINGS

APPOINT A VOLUNTEER TO MONITOR WILKE LAKE'S PHYSICAL PARAMETERS

IMPROVE SWIM AREAS: PEA GRAVEL BLANKETS ( WDNR PERMITS)

CREATE BOAT TRAFFIC LANES WITH BUOYS

#### POLICY:

FORM RECREATIONAL USE COMMITTEE

FORM EXOTIC SPECIES CONTROL COMMITTEE

CREATE ZONING COMMITTEE



**Table 4 Cost Analysis of Best Management Practices**

Best Management Practice	State Cost-Share Rate	\$ Approximate Cost
Contour Farming	50%	6/acre
Contour Strip Cropping	50%	12/acre
Field Strip Cropping	50%	10/acre
Field Diversions and Terraces	70%	4/ft
Grassed Waterways	70%	3,000/acre
Reduced Tillage	50%	15-45/acre
Critical Area Stabilization	70% <sup>1</sup>	150/acre
Grade Stabilization Structures	70%	3,000/each
Agricultural Sediment Basins	70%	3,000/each
Shoreline Buffers	70% <sup>1</sup>	150/acre
Barnyard Runoff Control	70% <sup>2</sup>	17,000/each
Manure Storage Facilities	70% <sup>3</sup>	22,000/each
Wetland Restoration	70% <sup>1</sup>	2,000/acre
<sup>1</sup> Easements may be entered into with landowners identified in the watershed plan in conjunction with these BMPs. <sup>2</sup> Complete management system <sup>3</sup> Maximum cost-share amount is \$10,000, including no more than \$5,000 for manure transfer equipment. Source: (SRPP)		

WLS140529.0529T6-4  
 January 3, 1995

technique is to further reduce the total biomass in the lake prior to ice over. The most beneficial effect of harvesting is increased aesthetics.

Sediment covers are a more long-term management technique for controlling aquatic plant growth. Sediment covers are placed on the bottom of the lake to prevent aquatic plants from rooting and to limit sediment exposure to sunlight. Sediment covers are most effective in shallow lake areas, Wilke Lake is a shallow lake system. A one-acre test plot should be set up using a polypropyl synthetic material, trade name Typar, at a cost of \$3,500.00 an acre for materials, and about \$1,500.00 for installation. The cost of dredging about three feet of sediment over an 80-acre area would cost about \$1.9 million (not including disposal costs), while the cost of sediment covers for 80 acres would be about \$300,000.00 (not including installation cost). Large sediment covers projects may have a negative effect on the ecology of the lake and as a result, Northern Environmental would recommend that the covered area be limited to boat lanes. Both dredging and covering can be considered effective for about ten years (Reference 13). The cost of mechanical harvesting about 80 acres for ten years is approximately \$100,000.00.

Fisheries are an important part of lake systems. Not only do they provide recreation as sport fishing, but they also directly relate to a lake's productivity. More eutrophic lakes have larger fish populations but also have more rough fish, like carp. The first step in a Fish Management Plan is to conduct a survey of the fish population so that it can be assessed. The United States Fish and Wildlife Service and the WDNR should be contacted for information on funding. Fish survey techniques like shocking and netting can be used to sample the population, and after an assessment and plan is approved, management implementation can proceed. In the past, Wilke Lake was stocked with a number of different species, a fish management plan would select one specie to stock that is the most viable. A fish management plan for mesotrophic lakes, like Wilke Lake, may involve hypolimnetic aeration, artificial reefs, aquatic plant control, and fish removal or stocking. The survey could be funded by a grant under a second Lake Management Planning Grant.

Sediment should be analyzed to determine the chemical composition of lake bottom materials. If the sediments contain specific agricultural pollutants, dredging these sediments may be eligible for funding. Funding for sediment analysis could be provided by applying for a second Lake Management Planning Grant. Areas where sediments are often disturbed (e.g., boat traffic lanes) would be the areas dredged.

A wetland restoration plan should be produced and implemented to stop the discharge from drain pipes on the south end of Wilke Lake. These drain pipes likely drain a wetland area to the south of Wilke Lake and north of Ucker Point Road. The restoration could be completed for about \$5,000.00 and may be available for cost sharing under BMP funding. The plan would entail design, permitting, construction, and monitoring.

The Wilke Lake Advancement Association should appoint a lake monitor volunteer to routinely monitor the lake's physical water quality. The WDNR can provide information and equipment for the volunteer through the lake self-help monitoring program. This will provide long-term data which helps evaluate the effectiveness of management techniques.

Pea gravel blankets are used to improve stream bank or shoreline conditions under Chapter 30 of the WDNR Natural Resource law. Each riparian land owner can apply for a permit to place

a maximum of six-inches of pea gravel in a 1250-foot square area on the bed of a navigable waterway. Areas which have emergent vegetation, fish spawning habitat, or more than six inches of muck, will not be issued permits.

Installing buoys and establishing boat traffic lanes would further reduce recreational use conflicts. Traffic lanes would also identify priority areas which need to be managed for aquatic plant growth and sediment disturbance to maximize recreational boating. Boating lanes would foster access to deep water areas reducing sediment disturbance from boating in shallow areas. Funding would be provided by the WLAA and the WLSL or could be incorporated to the second Lake Management Planning Grant.

The Sanitary District should create committees to address recreational use, exotic species control, and county zoning laws. All committees should be composed of volunteers with an appointed non-paid committee chairperson. The recreational use committee can produce a lakeuse map and monitor disputes between lake users, in an effort to reduce conflict. The exotic species control committee should monitor progress of mechanical harvesting, the experimental sediment cover plan, and monitor for the presence of purple loosestrife and zebra mussels. Non-native species can cause serious ecologic problems for lakes, like milfoil has done in Wilke Lake. The sooner populations are identified, the better the chance to control them. Zoning changes can be monitored by a land use committee that attends county zoning meetings and rezoning cases. Monitoring policy issues in a lake community is important for understanding, implementing, and assessing management techniques.

### 6.3 Funding

Funding for BMPs is available through the Sheboygan River Priority Project on a cost share basis. The Town of Schleswig Sanitary District #2 should apply for a second Lake Management Planning Grant to complete a fish survey and perform chemical analysis of sediments. The sanitary district should also apply for the WDNR Lake Protection Grant. Lake Protection Grants are available for purchasing land or easements, restoring wetlands, and developing local regulations to protect water quality. Purchasing the western wetland, experimenting with sediments covers, forming boating lanes, and purchasing and restoring the drained wetland could all be funded under a Lake Protection Grant. Protection grants fund 50% of project costs up to \$100,000.00. The Town of Schleswig and/or Manitowoc County (SRPP) could provide matching funds for the project. Costs for distributing WDNR educational materials, totaling \$500.00, should be covered by the Sanitary District.

Pea gravel blankets are low-cost small-scale methods to enhance shorelines. Many residents use them to improve swim area. Permits must be obtained by the WDNR to install a pea gravel blanket. There are no WDNR grants available to fund pea gravel blankets. All costs incurred must be paid by the land owner applying for the permit.

The remaining management techniques are volunteer positions. The volunteer lake monitor will be a part of the WDNR self-help lake monitor program. Equipment for monitoring is provided by the WDNR. Volunteer committees should be formed to monitor issues in the watershed. Both the lake monitor and the volunteer committees should be lake enthusiasts

and members of the WLAA. This may increase membership and strengthen the association by having members more involved and by addressing recreational use and zoning issues.

Northern Environmental can provide services to apply for another Lake Management Grant and for a Lake Protection Grant. Both grant programs are WDNR funded.

## 7.0 REFERENCES

- 1) Werber, J., M. Desparte, and C. Threinen, *Surface Water Resources of Manitowoc County*, Wisconsin Conservation Department, Madison, Wisconsin, 1968.
- 2) Krueger, Ronald K. (District Biologist), *A Shoreline and Water Quality Evaluation of Wilke Lake in Manitowoc County*, Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, May 16, 1972.
- 3) Shaw, B., C. Mechenich, and L. Klessig, *Understanding Lake Data*, University of Wisconsin Extension Publication G3582, Madison, Wisconsin.
- 4) Lillie, R., and J. Mason, *Limnological Characteristics of Wisconsin Lakes*, Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources Technical Bulletin No. 138, Madison, Wisconsin, 1983.
- 5) Horne, A., and C. Goldman, *Limnology*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1994.
- 6) *Nonpoint Source Control Plan for the Sheboygan River Priority Watershed Project*, Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, et.al., Publication WR-265-93, July 1993.
- 7) School Hill Quadrangle, Wisconsin, *7.5 Minute Series, United States Geological Survey*, 1973.
- 8) Jensen, R., and R. Loud, *An Evaluation of a Survey Technique For Submerged Aquatic Plants*, Minnesota Department of Conservation Game, Investigation Report No. 6.
- 9) Fassett, N., *A Manual of Aquatic Plants*, The University of Wisconsin Press, Madison, Wisconsin, 1975.
- 10) Hotchkiss, N., *Common Marsh, Underwater and Floating-Leaved Plants of the United States and Canada*, Dover Publications, Incorporated, New York, 1972.
- 11) Prescott, G., *How To Know the Aquatic Plants*, Brown Publishers, Dubuque, Iowa, 1980.
- 12) Eggers, S., and D. Reed, *Wetland Plants and Plant Communities of Minnesota and Wisconsin*, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, St. Paul District, December 1987.
- 13) Olem, H., and G. Flock, eds., *Lake and Reservoir Restoration Guidance Manual, 2nd Edition*, EPA 440/4-90-006. Prepared by N. Am. Lake Manage, Soco for the U.S.E.P.A., Washington D.C., 1990.
- 14) Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, *Directory of State and Federal Financial Assistance Programs*, PUBL-CA-001-93, September 1993.

**APPENDIX A**  
**INVESTIGATIVE METHODS**

## Investigative Methods

### Chemical and Physical Analysis

All water samples for chemical analysis were collected with a Kemmerer sampling bottle. Laboratory tests were performed by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) certified State Lab of Hygiene to meet requirements prescribed in the lake planning grant. Samples were taken one meter below the lake's surface and one meter above the lake's bottom in the deepest portion of the lake. Sample depths and location were chosen to reflect the entire water column, including the photic and anoxic zones. Chemical parameters for sampling are listed and described below.

- A. Phosphorous: Phosphorous is usually the compound regulating algae and aquatic macrophyte growth. Phosphorous is available in two forms, soluble and particulate (total phosphorous). The soluble form is dissolved and readily available for uptake by floating and suspended plants. Total phosphorous is useful to plants with root systems absorbing nutrients from the sediments. Total phosphorous is considered a better indicator of a lake's nutrient status than soluble phosphorous because its level is more stable than soluble reactive phosphorous, which can vary in concentration over short time periods.
- B. Nitrogen: Nitrogen is another nutrient limiting the growth of aquatic plants, second in importance to phosphorous. Nitrogen can be found in lakes in many forms including nitrate, nitrite, ammonium, and Kjeldahl nitrogen. Nitrite is usually present in trace quantities, and is readily transformed to nitrate in oxygenated water. The inorganic nitrate and ammonium forms are most beneficial to plants and algae. Sources from which nitrogen can enter a lake include precipitation, which can have concentrations of nitrogen as high as 0.5 mg/l, and breakdown of organic tissues. However, concentrations of nitrogen found in surface waters are usually related to human activities within the watershed. Manmade sources of nitrogen include agricultural wastes, fertilizers, and human sewage. If the ratio of total phosphorous to total nitrogen is more than 15 to 1, the nutrient system is considered phosphorous limited.
- C. Chlorophyll a: Chlorophyll a is a green pigment present in all green plant life which is vital for photosynthesis. The levels of chlorophyll a relate directly to the amount of algae present. The concentrations are expected to be highest during summer months with increased photosynthesis. High chlorophyll a results are associated with algae blooms and eutrophic lakes.
- D. Alkalinity: Alkalinity is an indicator of the buffering capacity and susceptibility to acid rain. Laboratory analysis quantifies carbonates ( $\text{HCO}_3$ ) and bicarbonates ( $\text{CO}_3$ ) present in the water. Most Northern Wisconsin lakes are set in glacial deposits composed of quartz, sand, and other insoluble minerals which

contain little limestone resulting in low alkalinity, while Southeastern lakes have higher alkalinity levels due to the dolomite bedrock.

**E. pH:**

pH is an expression of the negative logarithm of hydrogen ion ( $H^+$ ) concentration. pH is measured on a scale of 1 to 14 with pH values above 7 considered alkaline (less  $H^+$  ions), below 7 acidic (more  $H^+$  ions), and 7 neutral. Alkaline waters buffer against acid rain. Acid rain entering the lake will have the effect of lowering the lake's pH level, bringing it closer to neutral and thus reducing the lake's acid rain buffering capacity. pH was measured using a Cole-Parmer hand held pH meter, calibrated to pH 10 and pH 7. Measurements were taken one meter below the surface and one meter above the bottoms.

Physical analysis parameters include dissolved oxygen content, temperature, conductivity, and transparency. Dissolved oxygen and temperature profiles were gathered using a Yellow Springs Instrument Model 59 meter. Measurements were recorded at one foot intervals in the deepest part of the lake. Conductivity readings were taken from samples one meter below the surface and one meter above the bottom using a Cole-Parmer hand held TDS Tester™ calibrated to 700 mohms/cm. Transparency was measured using a standard secchi disk or the shaded side of the sampling boat. Readings are an average of the depth at which the disk disappeared and reappeared.

Watershed Analysis

A watershed boundary was delineated using a United States Geological Survey (USGS) 7.5 minute topographic map and the quarter-quarter section direction of flow method. Northern Environmental Technologies, Incorporated (Northern Environmental) staff reviewed the Sheboygan River Priority Project which contains data for the Wilke Lake watershed from the Wisconsin non-point computer model (WIN). Information was compared with soils data from the Soil Conservation Service's soil survey of Manitowoc County and confirmed in the field. Field investigations were also performed to identify areas of steep slopes, non-point source pollution, and wetlands.

During January 1991 the Wilke Lake Sanitary District (WLSD) completed a septic survey questionnaire which was sent to all Wilke Lake residents to determine type, age, and distance from shore of septic systems.

Vegetation Surveys

The vegetation survey of Wilke Lake was performed using the Jensen and Lound method for surveying aquatic plants. To identify macrophyte species present, a preliminary inventory was conducted on July 29, 1992. This preliminary inventory allowed plants to be expediently classified during the actual survey which was completed during August 1992. A representative specimen was collected and placed on ice in a cooler for transportation. Each specimen was identified to specie level and mounted and preserved on herbarium paper for future reference. Various dichotomous keys and technical publications were used to classify the specimens.



With the information gathered from the preliminary inventory, the actual aquatic macrophyte survey was conducted on August 12, 13, and 14, 1992. A base map was developed with twenty transects distributed around the perimeter of Wilke Lake. The transects extended perpendicular to the shoreline a distance calculated by dividing the total shoreline length by the number of established transects. The length of each transect was either the calculated distance or near the distance from the shoreline to the maximum rooting depth determined by the following equation:

$$\text{maximum rooting depth (feet)} = 2.73 + 1.22 (\text{means secchi disk depth in feet})$$

The latitude and longitude at the intersection of the shoreline and transect were measured with a Magellan Global Position System. A Silva compass was used to determine transect bearings. The transects proceeded in the direction of the established bearing. The following measurements were recorded at the end point of each transect:

- ▲ Distance to the starting point (the shoreline)
- ▲ Latitude and longitude
- ▲ A compass bearing back to the starting point

Along each transect, a ten-foot diameter circle was randomly selected in each of the corresponding depth ranges:

<u>Depth Code</u>	<u>Actual Depth Range (ft)</u>
1	0.0 - 1.75
2	1.76 - 5.0
3	5.1 - 10.0
4	10.1 - 20.0
5	> 20.1

The circle was subdivided into four quadrants. A density rating was determined for each quadrant visually or with a modified rake. In areas where the bottom could be clearly observed (i.e., in water less than 1.75 feet), visual means were used. A dragging test was necessary to correlate visual and rake density ratings. The test was performed in shallow water to determine how much plant matter would be collected by the teeth of the rake. A rake with an extended handle was used in depths too great for visual observations. The rake was thrown into each quadrant, allowed to settle, and was slowly retrieved with a rope. A density rating, based on the following criteria, and observations regarding substrate type, were recorded along with the depth in feet.

Both wetland vegetation surveys were conducted by a Northern Environmental biologist, using the random transect and estimated percent cover methods of sampling. Transects were randomly selected and species identified along the transect with attention paid to dominant species and less common species. Unknown species were collected and identified to genus and species level when possible using Swink and Wilhelm's Plants of the Chicago Region as a standard.

### Sediment Survey

During February 1992, Northern Environmental performed a sediment thickness assessment of Wilke Lake. The method employed involved setting a grid pattern on the ice and measuring sediment thickness at known coordinates. A fifty foot calibrated aluminum probe was lowered through holes drilled through the ice and pre-determined locations within the grid. A total of 60 sampling points were established. The top and bottom of the soft sediment were noted. The rod was manually advanced and the point where it could not be pushed further was assumed to be the original lake basin (Figure 7).

All collected data was input into Golden Software, Incorporated's Surfer-Version 4 computer modeling program. Maps depicting sediment thickness were generated and estimations on sediment volume was calculated via Surfer.

### Public Opinion Survey

During 1992, a public opinion survey was conducted by Northern Environmental. All Wilke Lake Advancement Association members were sent a questionnaire. The survey was intended to produce results which would reveal local issues regarding Wilke Lake and the surrounding environment. Thirty-five questions were asked pertaining to perceived water quality, recreational activities, access, lake use patterns, and opinions on problems facing the lake.

### Lake Management Plan

The Lake Management Plan was created by comparing public opinion with physical characteristics of the lake. A plan of the lake should set goals for preserving the natural integrity of the lakes without limiting, and even enhancing, expectations or use by the local residents. Goals were selected and ranked by weighing the cost of implementation against local support for or against a given goal.

**APPENDIX C**  
**WILKE LAKE VEGETATION SURVEYS**


## Aquatic Macrophyte Species Identified in Wilke Lake, 1992

Specie	Type	Common Name
<u>Ceratophyllum demersum</u>	2	Coontail
<u>Chara sp.</u>	2	None
<u>Lemna minor</u>	3	Duckweed
<u>Myriophyllum spp.</u>	2	Milfoil
<u>Najas flexilis</u>	2	Slender Naiad
<u>Nuphar microphyllum</u>	3	Yellow Water Lilly / Spatterdock
<u>Nymphaea odorata</u>	3	White Lilly / Fragrant Waterlily
<u>Potamogeton amplifolius</u>	2	Musky Weed, Bigleaf Pondweed
<u>Potamogeton crispus</u>	2	Curyleaf Pondweed
<u>Potamogeton pectinatus</u>	2	Sago Pondweed
<u>Scirpus spp</u>	1	Bullrush
<u>Scirpus americanus</u>	1	Bullrush
<u>Typha latifolia</u>	1	Broadleaf Cattail

NOTE:

- 1 = Emergent
- 2 = Submergent
- 3 = Floating


WLS140529.0529T6-7  
December 20, 1994



SPECIES PRESENT

LAKE SHORELINE


WILKE LAKE



HE-V	PROJECT: WLS140520	DATE: 09/24/92	<b>WILKE LAKE AQUATIC PLANT MANAGEMENT PLAN</b>
	<small>THIS DRAWING AND ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREON IS THE PROPERTY OF NORTHERN ENVIRONMENTAL INCORPORATED AND SHALL NOT BE COPIED OR USED EXCEPT FOR THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH IT IS EXPRESSLY FURNISHED. THE DRAWING AND ANY COPIES THEREOF SHALL BE RETURNED TO THE OWNER ON DEMAND.</small>		
<b>▲ Northern Environmental</b> <small>Hydrologists • Engineers • Geologists</small>			<b>DISTRIBUTION OF: Ceratophyllum demersum</b>

FIGURE


N.E. ENGINEERING 51-1424



SPECIES PRESENT


WILKE LAKE

LAKE SHORELINE



REV	PROJECT: <b>WLS140529</b>	DATE: <b>09/24/92</b>	<b>WILKE LAKE AQUATIC PLANT MANAGEMENT PLAN</b>
	<small>THIS DRAWING AND ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREON IS THE PROPERTY OF NORTHERN ENVIRONMENTAL INCORPORATED AND SHALL NOT BE COPIED OR USED EXCEPT FOR THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH IT IS EXPRESSLY FURNISHED. THE DRAWING AND ANY COPIES THEREOF SHALL BE RETURNED TO THE OWNER ON DEMAND.</small>		
<b>▲ Northern Environmental</b> <small>Hydrologists • Engineers • Geologists</small>			<b>DISTRIBUTION OF: <i>Lemna minor</i></b>


FIGURE



LAKE SHORELINE

WILKE LAKE


SPECIES PRESENT



REV	PROJECT: <b>WLS140520</b> DATE: <b>09/24/92</b>	<b>WILKE LAKE AQUATIC PLANT MANAGEMENT PLAN</b>
	THIS DRAWING AND ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREON IS THE PROPERTY OF NORTHERN ENVIRONMENTAL INCORPORATED AND SHALL NOT BE COPIED OR USED EXCEPT FOR THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH IT IS EXPRESSLY FURNISHED. THE DRAWING AND ANY COPIES THEREOF SHALL BE RETURNED TO THE OWNER ON DEMAND.	
<b>▲ Northern Environmental</b> Hydrologists • Engineers • Geologists		

G.M.B. ENGINEERING 551424

FIGURE



SPECIES PRESENT →

LAKE SHORELINE

WILKE LAKE


N



REV	PROJECT: <b>WLS140520</b>	DATE: <b>09/24/92</b>	<p align="center"><b>WILKE LAKE AQUATIC PLANT MANAGEMENT PLAN</b></p>
<p>THIS DRAWING AND ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREON IS THE PROPERTY OF NORTHERN ENVIRONMENTAL INCORPORATED AND SHALL NOT BE COPIED OR USED EXCEPT FOR THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH IT IS EXPRESSLY FURNISHED. THE DRAWING AND ANY COPIES THEREOF SHALL BE RETURNED TO THE OWNER ON DEMAND.</p>			
<p align="center"><b>▲ Northern Environmental</b> <i>Hydrologists • Engineers • Geologists</i></p>			<p align="center">DISTRIBUTION OF: <b><i>Myriophyllum</i> sp.</b></p>

**FIGURE**





REV

PROJECT: WLS140620 DATE: 09/24/92


THIS DRAWING AND ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREON IS THE PROPERTY OF NORTHERN ENVIRONMENTAL INCORPORATED AND SHALL NOT BE COPIED OR USED EXCEPT FOR THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH IT IS EXPRESSLY FURNISHED. THE DRAWING AND ANY COPIES THEREOF SHALL BE RETURNED TO THE OWNER ON DEMAND.

**▲ Northern Environmental**  
Hydrologists • Engineers • Geologists

WILKE LAKE AQUATIC PLANT  
MANAGEMENT PLAN

DISTRIBUTION OF: *Najas flexilis*

FIGURE



WILKE LAKE AQUATIC PLANT  
MANAGEMENT PLAN

DISTRIBUTION OF: *Nuphar microphyllum*

PROJECT: WLS140620      DATE: 09/24/92


THIS DRAWING AND ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREON IS THE PROPERTY OF NORTHERN ENVIRONMENTAL INCORPORATED AND SHALL NOT BE COPIED OR USED EXCEPT FOR THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH IT IS EXPRESSLY FURNISHED. THE DRAWING AND ANY COPIES THEREOF SHALL BE RETURNED TO THE OWNER ON DEMAND.

**▲ Northern Environmental**  
Hydrologists • Engineers • Geologists

SCALE IN FEET  
0      200      400

REV


FIGURE



SCALE IN FEET  
0 200 400

REV	PROJECT: <b>WLS140520</b>	DATE: <b>09/24/92</b>	<b>WILKE LAKE AQUATIC PLANT MANAGEMENT PLAN</b>
	THIS DRAWING AND ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREON IS THE PROPERTY OF NORTHERN ENVIRONMENTAL INCORPORATED AND SHALL NOT BE COPIED OR USED EXCEPT FOR THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH IT IS EXPRESSLY FURNISHED. THE DRAWING AND ANY COPIES THEREOF SHALL BE RETURNED TO THE OWNER ON DEMAND.		
<b>▲ Northern Environmental</b> <i>Hydrologists • Engineers • Geologists</i>			DISTRIBUTION OF: <b><i>Nymphaea odorata</i></b>


FIGURE



WILKE LAKE

LAKE SHORELINE

SPECIES PRESENT



REV

PROJECT: WLS140529

DATE: 09/24/92

THIS DRAWING AND ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREON IS THE PROPERTY OF NORTHERN ENVIRONMENTAL INCORPORATED AND SHALL NOT BE COPIED OR USED EXCEPT FOR THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH IT IS EXPRESSLY FURNISHED. THE DRAWING AND ANY COPIES THEREOF SHALL BE RETURNED TO THE OWNER ON DEMAND.


WILKE LAKE AQUATIC PLANT  
MANAGEMENT PLAN

**▲ Northern Environmental**  
Hydrologists • Engineers • Geologists

DISTRIBUTION OF:  
**Potamogeton amplifolius**


FIGURE

G.M.B. ENGINEERING 551424



<p>REV</p>	<p>PROJECT: WLS140629</p>	<p>DATE: 09/24/92</p>
<p>THIS DRAWING AND ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREON IS THE PROPERTY OF NORTHERN ENVIRONMENTAL INCORPORATED AND SHALL NOT BE COPIED OR USED EXCEPT FOR THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH IT IS EXPRESSLY FURNISHED. THE DRAWING AND ANY COPIES THEREOF SHALL BE RETURNED TO THE OWNER ON DEMAND.</p>		
<p><b>▲ Northern Environmental</b> Hydrologists • Engineers • Geologists</p>		
<p>WILKE LAKE AQUATIC PLANT MANAGEMENT PLAN</p>		<p>DISTRIBUTION OF: <i>Potamogeton crispus</i></p>

FIGURE



WILKE LAKE AQUATIC PLANT  
MANAGEMENT PLAN

DISTRIBUTION OF:  
*Potamogeton pectinatus*

PROJECT: WLS140620 DATE: 09/24/04


THIS DRAWING AND ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREON IS THE PROPERTY OF NORTHERN ENVIRONMENTAL INCORPORATED AND SHALL NOT BE COPIED OR USED EXCEPT FOR THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH IT IS EXPRESSLY FURNISHED. THE DRAWING AND ANY COPIES THEREOF SHALL BE RETURNED TO THE OWNER ON DEMAND.

**▲ Northern Environmental**  
Hydrologists • Engineers • Geologists

REV

SCALE IN FEET  
0 200 400

FIGURE




N

WILKE LAKE

SPECIES PRESENT


LAKE SHORELINE



REV	PROJECT: <b>WLS140520</b> DATE: <b>09/24/02</b>	<b>WILKE LAKE AQUATIC PLANT MANAGEMENT PLAN</b>
	THIS DRAWING AND ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREON IS THE PROPERTY OF NORTHERN ENVIRONMENTAL INCORPORATED AND SHALL NOT BE COPIED OR USED EXCEPT FOR THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH IT IS EXPRESSLY FURNISHED. THE DRAWING AND ANY COPIES THEREOF SHALL BE RETURNED TO THE OWNER ON DEMAND.	
<b>▲ Northern Environmental</b> Hydrologists • Engineers • Geologists		

**FIGURE**

G.M.B. ENGINEERING 55-1424




N

SPECIES PRESENT

LAKE SHORELINE

WILKE LAKE




REV	PROJECT: <b>WLS140620</b> DATE: <b>09/24/92</b>	<b>WILKE LAKE AQUATIC PLANT MANAGEMENT PLAN</b>
	<small>THIS DRAWING AND ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREON IS THE PROPERTY OF NORTHERN ENVIRONMENTAL INCORPORATED AND SHALL NOT BE COPIED OR USED EXCEPT FOR THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH IT IS EXPRESSLY FURNISHED. THE DRAWING AND ANY COPIES THEREOF SHALL BE RETURNED TO THE OWNER ON DEMAND.</small>	
<b>▲ Northern Environmental</b> <small>Hydrologists • Engineers • Geologists</small>		

FIGURE

G.M.B. ENGINEERING 551424






SPECIES PRESENT

WILKE LAKE

-- LAKE SHORELINE



REV	PROJECT: WLS140529	DATE: 09/24/92	<p>WILKE LAKE AQUATIC PLANT MANAGEMENT PLAN</p>
	<p>THIS DRAWING AND ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREON IS THE PROPERTY OF NORTHERN ENVIRONMENTAL INCORPORATED AND SHALL NOT BE COPIED OR USED EXCEPT FOR THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH IT IS EXPRESSLY FURNISHED. THE DRAWING AND ANY COPIES THEREOF SHALL BE RETURNED TO THE OWNER ON DEMAND.</p>		
<p><b>▲ Northern Environmental</b> Hydrologists • Engineers • Geologists</p>			<p>DISTRIBUTION OF: <u>Typha latifolia</u></p>

FIGURE

G.M.B. ENGINEERING 551424

**PRELIMINARY VEGETATION SURVEY  
WILKE LAKE OUTLET WETLAND**

**November 29, 1994**

Agrostis alba - Redtop grass<sup>1</sup>  
Asclepias incarnate - Marsh milkweed  
Aster lateriflorus - Calico aster  
Carex stricta - Hummock sedge  
Carex spp. - Sedges  
Cornus stolonifera - Red-osier dogwood  
Eupatorium maculatum - Joe-pye weed  
Helenium autumnale - Sneezeweed  
Juncus sp. - Rush  
Lemna minor - Lesser duckweed  
Phalaris arundinacea - Reed canary grass<sup>1</sup>  
Poa pratensis - Kentucky bluegrass  
Populus deltoides - Cottonwood  
Potamogeton sp. - Pondweed  
Rumex crispus - Curly dock<sup>1</sup>  
Rumex obtusifolius - Great water dock  
Salix sp. - Willow  
Scirpus atrovirens - Green bulrush  
Solanum dulcamara - Deadly nightshade<sup>1</sup>  
Solidago gigantea - Giant goldenrod  
Solidago graminifolia - Grassleaf goldenrod  
Urtica dioica - Stinging nettle<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Alien, non-native, plant species

Total number of species: 22 +

Total number of alien species: 5 (23%)

**PRELIMINARY VEGETATION SURVEY  
WILKE LAKE CEDAR-TAMARACK SWAMP (WDNR OWNED)**

**November 29, 1994**

Asclepias incarnata - Marsh milkweed  
Aster lateriflorus - Calico aster  
Betula alleghaniensis - Yellow birch  
Betula papyrifera - White birch  
Bidens sp. - Beggars ticks  
Calamagrostis canadensis - Canada bluejoint grass  
Carex lacustris - Lake sedge  
Carex stricta - Hummock sedge  
Carex trichocarpa - Hairy-fruited sedge  
Carex spp. - Sedges  
Cornus amomum - Silky dogwood  
Cornus stolonifera - Red-osier dogwood  
Dryopteris spinulosa - Spinulose woodfern  
Epilobium coloratum - Willow herb  
Equisetum arvense - Common horsetail  
Eupatorium maculatum - Joe-pye weed  
Eupatorium perfoliatum - Boneset<sup>1</sup>  
Fragaria virginiana - Wild strawberry  
Glyceria striata - Fowl manna grass  
Larix laricina - Tamarack  
Muhlenbergia glomerata - Wild timothy<sup>1</sup>  
Onoclea sensibilis - Sensitive fern  
Oxypolis rigidor - Cowbane  
Phalaris arundinacea - Reed canary grass<sup>2</sup>  
Populus deltoides - Cottonwood  
Populus tremuloides - Quaking aspen  
Rubus strigosus - Red raspberry  
Salix sp. - Willow  
Sambucus canadensis - Elderberry

# **▲ Northern Environmental<sup>SM</sup>**

*Hydrologists • Engineers • Geologists*

Scirpus acutus - Hardstem bulrush<sup>1</sup>

Scirpus atrovirens - Green bulrush

Scutellaria lateriflora - Side-flower skullcap

Solanum duleamara - Deadly nightshade<sup>2</sup>

Solidago gigantea - Giant goldenrod

Sphagnum sp. - Moss

Spirea alba - Meadowsweet

Thuja occidentalis - White cedar

Ulmus americana - American elm

Vitis riparia - River bank grape

<sup>1</sup> Ground-water indicator species

<sup>2</sup> Alien, non-native, plant species

Total number of plant species: 39 +

Total number of alien species: 2 (5%)

**APPENDIX D**

**WILKE LAKE PUBLIC OPINION SURVEY**

**QUESTION 1a:**

The problem of most concern to the Wilke Lake Advancement Association members is the nuisance of aquatic vegetation and the problems created by the plants. The degradation of lake water quality was the second most common concern.

**QUESTION 1b:**

A majority (52%) of respondents purchased their property on Wilke Lake to appreciate peace and tranquility. Motorized boating was the second most popular answer.

**QUESTION 2:**

Forty-two percent of the survey respondents said they chose property on Wilke Lake because of the distance from their home (42%). The cost of the property made it the second most appealing reason.

**QUESTION 3:**

The average amount of lake frontage based upon the 24 surveys received was 84 feet.

**QUESTION 4:**

Thirty-nine percent of the respondents reported their lake frontage is stabilized by rock. Thirty-nine percent reported a masonry retaining wall.

**QUESTION 5:**

Survey respondents have a total of 63 watercraft and six rafts. Thirty-nine percent of these watercraft are motorboats over 25 horsepower.

**QUESTION 6:**

Of the most common structures which exist on each property, seventeen respondents said they had both a detached garage/storage shed and a summer cottage.

**QUESTION 7:**

Appreciating peace and tranquility is considered by fifty-two of the participants to be the most popular use of the lake property. Lake entertaining and motorized boating were the second most popular uses (26%).

**QUESTION 8:**

Over half (53%) of the respondents again reaffirmed that appreciation of peace and tranquility is the most important use.

**QUESTION 9:**

Fifty-seven percent of the survey respondents suggested they use their lake property on weekends. Thirty percent use their lake property at various times throughout spring/summer/fall.

**QUESTION 10:**

Water clarity does not appear to be a problem based upon this survey. Seventy-six percent of the survey responses stated Wilke Lake is clear. Some people stated the clarity at any given time is dependent upon the volume of boat traffic.

**QUESTION 11:**

Twelve people, or fifty percent consider water quality of Wilke Lake to be good. Thirty percent believe the water quality to be fair.

**QUESTION 12:**

The statement which best describes the peace and tranquility of Wilke Lake was "moderate disturbances" (83%). The remaining responses were heavy or overused. A number of the people surveyed commented on the overuse on weekends.

**QUESTION 13:**

Forty-two percent of the lake property owners which responded to the survey stated that the shoreline of Wilke Lake is lightly developed. Thirty-eight percent considered it moderately developed.

**QUESTION 14:**

Regarding boat traffic on Wilke Lake, forty-six percent perceived usage as moderate and forty-two percent suggested the lake received heavy usage.

**QUESTION 15:**

Seventy-five percent of the respondents believe little boating conflict occurs on the lake at any given point in time.

**QUESTION 16:**

Sixty-five percent indicated heavy aquatic plant growth within Wilke Lake has a negative impact upon the recreational and aesthetic values. Twenty-six percent suggest moderate plant infestations.

**QUESTION 17:**

Thirty-three percent of the survey respondents were not familiar with the zoning regulations while another thirty-three percent rank the shoreline zoning as good. Thirty-three percent rate current sanitary ordinances as good and fifty percent of the responders stated boating regulations were good.

**QUESTION 18:**

Eight-eight percent rate the current public access to Wilke Lake as adequate. Twenty percent believe the access is excessive.

**QUESTION 19:**

Survey respondents perceive the most evident point-source of pollution to Wilke Lake is a farm located just off the south end of the lake.

**QUESTION 20:**

The top choice (36%) for a public access (if a new access were installed) would be a boat landing with ramps.

**QUESTION 21:**

Respondents believe the water quality has considerably degraded (35%), and twenty-six percent indicate it has improved.

**QUESTION 22:**

Important actions which lake residents feel need to be taken, in their order of preference:

- 1) Develop a long-term lake management plan.
- 2) Stock fish
- 3) Strengthen the Wilke Lake Advancement Association

**QUESTION 23:**

Fifty percent of the respondents feel the Wilke Lake Advancement Association and/or the Sanitary District are responsible for managing the lake. Thirty percent of the people surveyed feel the state government is responsible.

**QUESTION 24:**

Forty-two percent of the surveys indicated the Wilke Lake Advancement Association and the Sanitary District are responsible for financially managing the lake. Seven people, or thirty percent expect the local governments to fund the management activities of Wilke Lake.



**QUESTIONS 30 THROUGH 33:**

The average number of people per household that would use the lake on a given day was eleven. Projected for over 100 residences would amount to 1100 people on any given day during the peak lake-use periods. A majority of the respondents have said that they've never attended a Wilke Lake Advancement Association meeting nor have served as an officer for the Advancement Association.

**QUESTIONS 34 and 35:**

Approximately half of the participants in this survey strongly felt that the Wilke Lake Advancement Association is doing a fine job, but a policy should be mandated to control use, to downgrade boat sizes and limit horsepower on the lake.