Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources Bureau of Watershed Management (WT/3) 101 S. Webster Street PO Box 7921 Madison, WI 53707-7921 dnr.wi.gov

## **Final Report**

Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Construction & Targeted Runoff Management Small-scale Urban TMDL Grant Program

Form 3400-189U (R 11/18)

Page 1 of 2

**NOTICE:** This document is required under s. 281.65 & s. 281.66, Wis. Stats., and chs. NR 153, 154, and 155. Wis. Adm. Code. A final project report must be submitted as part of the final reimbursement request. Personally identifiable information contained in this form will be used for determining reimbursement eligibility in the Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water and Targeted Runoff Management Grant Programs and will not be used for any other purpose.

**INSTRUCTIONS:** Send the completed, electronic copy of this form and all attachments to the Department of Natural Resources (DNR) Region Nonpoint Source Coordinator. Please read all instructions prior to completion.

Grant Type	a state of the second	
Urban Nonpoint Source Construction	O TRM Small-scale Urban TMDL	
Grant Information		
Grantee - Governmental Unit Name		Grant Number
Garners Creek Storm Water Utility		USC44901Y17
Project Name	white to edition and the contraction of a particular	an and the second s
Buchanan Road Stream Restoration		
Project Contact Name	Phone Number	E-mail Address
Racquel Shampo-Giese	(920) 788-7740	gieser@combinedlocks.org

Site 1 - Location & Watershed	Information	Ad	ditional sites ma	y be adde	d to the pr	oject by cli	cking on th	e [+Loc] button
Site Name		Latitude Longitude				ude		
Buchanan Road Stream Restoration			44.2536 -88.3063					
County	12-Digit HUC		12-Digit Waters	shed Nan	ne			
Outagamie	040302040205		Garners Cree	k-Fox R	iver			· ·
Nearest Receiving Waterbody		I	Primary Waterl	oody add	ressed by	/ project	= 2	
Garners Creek	- A		Garners Cree	k				
Site 1 - BMP & Load Reduction	n Information							n the [+] button
Deat Management Durati		Surface Are	a Drainage th Area Served		l Reduct	ion Achi P	eved N	Total Cost (BMP +
Best Management Practi	ce(s) installed	of shoreline (	ft) (acres)			(lbs/yr)		Àncillary Activities)
Streambank or Shoreline Prote	ection [NR 154.04(3		1,425	84	34	41		\$254,163
Model(s)/Methods Used to Cald	ulate Load Reductio	n (check all	that apply)					
STEPL SLAMM P8	🔀 NRCS Bank Erosic	n Formula	Other (spec	ify)	4		<u>.</u>	
Site 1 - Required Attachments			Additional BMI	Ps for this	site may b	e added b	y clicking o	n the [+] button
Required Attachments - Check th	e boxes below if the re	equired inform	nation for the s	ite is atta	ched:			
Photos of site, pre-and post-	BMP implementation.		🔀 Load reduc	tion mod	eling doc	uments.		
Aerial photo map of site with	BMPs labeled.		Operation a	& mainter	nance pla	n for eac	h BMP.	
Documentation showing that	one of the following is	true (select t	he true statem	ent):				
The application owns th	e property.							
O The applicant has contr	ol of the property throu	lgh an easen	nent.					
<ul> <li>The applicant has contr</li> </ul>	ol of the property throu	igh a constru	ction and mair	itenance	agreeme	nt.		
Water quality monitoring resu								
Site 1 - Information			Additional B	MPs for th	is site may	be added	by clicking	on the [+] button
Narrative space will expand to fit.		1				1	20	
The project included restoring								
adjacent to the creek. Restoration activities included a slight realignment of the creek to restore the steep slope failures,								
re-grading of channel banks, rip-rap bank protection, a root wad revetment, LUNKER structures, log vanes and a brush								
mattress. The original design included installing a by-pass culvert to divert creek flows around the work area. However,								
the contractor was able to complete the project using by-pass pumping rather than installing the temporary culvert. This								
minimized the overall limits o	of land disturbance a	nd wetland	impacts. Ove	erall, the	project	went sm	oothly ar	nd was an
overall success.								
DNR may use this site as a success story to meet state and federal reporting needs.								

Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources Bureau of Watershed Management (WT/3) 101 S. Webster Street PO Box 7921 Madison, WI 53707-7921 dnr.wi.gov

## Final Report Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Construction & Targeted Runoff Management Small-scale Urban TMDL Grant Program

Form 3400-189U (R 11/18)

Page 2 of 2

Additional Project		
Narrative space will expand to fit		
Grantee Certification		
	y) must authorize and date the final report form and submit it	electronically to the
I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the project is correct and true.	s complete and the information contained in this final report a	nd attachments is
Name of Authorized Government Official	Title of Authorized Government Official	Date
Racquel Shampo-Giese	Administrator/Clerk/Treasurer	02/27/2019
For DNR Use Only		
Received complete reports with all attachments	Practices implemented were consistent with the gran	t agreement
Comments about this project: Completed on-site inspection on	7/24/18.	he Hone Kond S Cause Depende
Name of Region Nonpoint Source Coordinator Eric Evensen	Date 4/1	19
Send the Final Report and attachments to the Commu Grant Coordinator. Keep a printed copy for the Region	inity Financial Assistance Grants Manager and to the Runoff n file.	Management

## **EXISTING CONDITION - SEDIMENT LOADINGS**

NRCS Streambank Erosion Estimator Direct Volume Method										
Location	Eroding Bank Length (ft)	Vertical Height of Bank (ft)	Horizontal Length of Bank (ft)	Eroding Bank Height <sup>1</sup> (ft)	Area of Eroding Streambank (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Lateral Recession Rate <sup>2</sup> (ft/yr)	Estimated Volume Eroded Annually (ft <sup>3</sup> )	Soil Texture	Volume - Weight Conversion (Ibs/ft <sup>3)</sup>	Estimated Soil Loss (tons/yr)
Area 1	300	8.0	8.0	11.3	3,394	0.30	1,018.2	Clay	65	33.1
Area 2	70	8.0	8.0	11.3	792	0.30	237.6	Clay	65	7.7
Total Estimated Annual Streambank Erosion Soil Loss (Tons):								40.8		
<sup>4</sup> Total Estimated Annual Streambank Erosion TP Loss (lbs):							49.0			

PROPOSED CONDIT

NRCS Streambank Erosion Estimator Direct Volume Method										
Location	Eroding Bank Length (ft)	Vertical Height of Bank (ft)	Horizontal Length of Bank (ft)	Eroding Bank Height <sup>1</sup> (ft)	Area of Eroding Streambank (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Lateral Recession Rate <sup>2</sup> (ft/yr)	Estimated Volume Eroded Annually (ft <sup>3</sup> )	Soil Texture	Volume - Weight Conversion (Ibs/ft <sup>3)</sup>	Estimated Soil Loss (tons/yr)
Area 1	300	8.0	16.0	17.9	5,367	0.03	161.0	Clay	65	5.2
Area 2	70	8.0	16.0	17.9	1,252	0.03	37.6	Clay	65	1.2
Total Estimated Annual Streambank Erosion Soil Loss (Tons):								6.5		
<sup>4</sup> Total Estimated Annual Streambank Erosion TP Loss (lbs):							7.7			

<sup>1</sup> User to input the vertical height and horizontal length based on topographic survey

<sup>2</sup> User to select appropriate Lateral Recession Rate using Table 1

Lateral

<sup>3</sup> User to select appropriate Volume - Weight Conversion using Table 2

<sup>4</sup> Assumed 1.2 lbs TP / ton of soil loss (Franklin & Marshall College, Sediment & Nutrient Loads form Stream Corridor Erosion along Breached Millponds)

Table 1	

Recession Rate (ft/yr)	Category	Description
0.01 - 0.05	Slight	Some bare bank but active erosion not readily apparent. Some rills but no vegetative overhang. No exposed tree roots.
0.06 - 0.2	Moderate	Bank is predominantly bare with some rills and vegetative overhang. Some exposed tree roots but no slumps or slips
0.3 - 0.5	Severe	Bank is bare with rills and severe vegetative overhang. Many exposed tree roots and some fallen trees and slumps or slips. Some changes in cultural features such as fence corners missiong and realignment of roads or trails. Channel cross section becomes U-shaped as opposed to V-shaped.
0.5+	Very Severe	Bank is bare with gullies and severe vegetative overhang. Many fallen trees, drains and culverts eroding out and changes in cultural features as above. Massive slips or washouts

common. Channel cross section is U-shaped and stream course may be meandering.

Table 2				
Soil Texture	Volume- Weight (pcf)			
Clay	60-70			
Silt	75-90			
Sand	90-110			
Gravel	110-120			
Loam	80-100			
Sandy Loam	90-110			
Gravelly Loam	110-120			

Total Reduction of Soil Loss (Tons): 34.4

Total Reduction of Soil Loss (%): 84.2%



## **PROPOSED CONDITION - SEDIMENT LOADINGS**

Total Reduction of TP Loss (lbs):41.2Total Reduction of TP Loss (%):84.2%



































