
Presque Isle Wilderness Waters Program


Aquatic Plant Management Plan – Round Lake

Prepared for:

Presque Isle Town Lakes Committee
Contact: Otto Novak
P.O. Box 37
Presque Isle, Wisconsin 54557

Prepared by:

White Water Associates, Inc.
Dean Premo, Ph.D.
429 River Lane, P.O. Box 27
Amasa, Michigan 49903



Date: May 2018

Page left intentionally blank



Presque Isle Wilderness Waters Program

Aquatic Plant Management Plan – Round Lake

This plan is a product of a WDNR Aquatic Invasive Species Control Grant (Subchapter II – Education, Prevention, and Planning Projects) awarded to:

Presque Isle Town Lakes Committee
P.O. Box 37
Presque Isle, Wisconsin 54557
Contact: Otto Novak
Phone: (715) 686-2628; E-mail: wefish2@hughes.net

Submitted to:

Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources
Attention: Kevin Gauthier, Sr., Water Resource Management Specialist
8770 Hwy J
Woodruff, WI 54568
Phone: (715) 365-5211 ext. 214; Email: Kevin.GauthierSr@wisconsin.gov

Prepared by:

White Water Associates, Inc.
Dean Premo, Ph.D., Angie Stine, B.S., Caitlin Hoenig, B.S., and Kent Premo, M.S.
429 River Lane, P.O. Box 27
Amasa, Michigan 49903
Phone: (906) 822-7889; E-mail: dean.premo@white-water-associates.com

Cite as: Premo, Dean, Angie Stine, Caitlin Hoenig and Kent Premo. 2018. Presque Isle Wilderness Waters Program: Round Lake Aquatic Plant Management Plan. White Water Associates, Inc.



Page left intentionally blank



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1. Introduction	1
Chapter 2. Study Area	4
Chapter 3. Purpose and Goal Statements	8
Chapter 4. Information and Analysis	9
Part 1. Watershed	9
Part 2. Aquatic Plant Management History	13
Part 3. Aquatic Plant Community Description	13
Part 4. Fish Community	19
Part 5. Water Quality and Trophic Status	20
Part 6. Water Use	20
Part 7. Riparian Area	20
Part 8. Wildlife	21
Part 9. Stakeholders	23
Chapter 5. Recommendations, Actions, and Objectives	24
Chapter 6. Contingency Plan for AIS	30
Appendix A – Literature Cited	
Appendix B – Tables and Figures	
Appendix C – Review of Lake Water Quality	
Appendix D – Review of EPA Littoral and Shoreline Survey	

Page left intentionally blank



CHAPTER 1

Introduction

The *Presque Isle Wilderness Waters Program* results from the efforts of the Presque Isle Town Lakes Committee, an organization that has been active since 2005. The Lakes Committee views stewardship of lakes as an ongoing endeavor that is integrated, coordinated, and administered by the Lakes Committee. This broader perspective accommodates the appropriate range of geographic scales from which to approach lake stewardship: a discrete “lake specific” focus that goes hand-in-hand with waterscape-wide awareness.

This aquatic plant management plan addresses Round Lake. Despite this specificity, it maintains the waterscape perspective crucial to effective lake stewardship. This is especially important when it comes to preventing introduction and establishment of aquatic invasive species (AIS). The closely related *Wilderness Waters Adaptive Management Plan* (Premo et al., 2015) provides additional overarching waterscape level examination that allows greater opportunity and efficiency in water resource management and education.

A systematic survey of aquatic plants using the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) “point-intercept” method was an important underpinning of this aquatic plant management plan. An analysis of the plant data along with water quality and other lake information allowed the preparation of the plan.

Aquatic plants rarely get the respect they merit, although this is slowly changing. We still call an aquatic plant bed a “weed bed.” Many aquatic plants have “weed” in their names (e.g., duckweed, pondweed, or musky weed). Likely this term was borrowed from “seaweed” and not intended as derogatory, but in today’s use, “weed” connotes an unwanted, aggressively growing plant. Such is not the case for the vast majority of aquatic plants. In fact, aquatic plants are a vital part of a lake ecosystem, recycling nutrients, providing vertical and horizontal structure, and creating habitat for animal life. Invertebrates, including crustaceans and insects, live on or within this “aquatic forest.” Fish find food and shelter within aquatic plant beds. Waterfowl eat parts of plants directly as well as feed on invertebrates associated with the plants. Muskrats eat aquatic plants and particularly love cattails and bulrushes. Otter and mink hunt invertebrates and small vertebrates within the shelter of submergent and emergent beds. In shallow water, great blue herons find fishes among the plants.

In lakes that receive an excess of nutrients (particularly from fertilizers or leaking septic tanks), plant growth can become too lush or dominated by only a few species. As these abundant plants die, their decomposition can depress dissolved oxygen levels and diminish suitability for fish. Algae can respond rapidly to nutrient influxes and create nuisance conditions. These phenomena can cause humans to view all aquatic plants in a negative light.

On another negative front, non-native plant species, transported on boats and trailers or dumped from home aquariums, private ponds and water gardens may come to dominate a water body to the exclusion of a healthy diversity of native species. Eurasian water-milfoil (*Myriophyllum spicatum*) is one of the better known examples of these so-called aquatic invasive plant species.

For most lakes, native aquatic plants are an overwhelmingly positive attribute, greatly enhancing the aesthetics of the lake and providing good opportunities for fishing, boating, swimming, snorkeling, sight-seeing, and hunting.

When it comes to aquatic plant management, it is useful to heed the mantra of the medical profession: “First, do no harm.” It is both a social and scientific convention that aquatic plant management is more effective and beneficial when a lake is considered as an entire and integrated ecosystem. Anyone involved in aquatic plant management should be aware that a permit may be required to remove, add, or control aquatic plants. In addition, anyone using Wisconsin’s lakes must comply with the “Boat Launch Law” that addresses transport of aquatic plants on boat trailers and other equipment. A good review of the laws, permits, and regulations that affect management and behavior surrounding aquatic plants can be found in the WDNR guidelines called *Aquatic Plant Management in Wisconsin*.¹

In preparing this plan, we followed guidelines in *Aquatic Plant Management in Wisconsin*. The resulting plan is an adaptive plan (Walters 1986). Simply put, it will be modified as new information becomes available. The WDNR Guidance document outlines three objectives that may influence preparation of an aquatic plant management plan:

- **Protection** - preventing the introduction of nuisance or invasive species into waters where these plants are not currently present;
- **Maintenance** - continuing the patterns of recreational use that have developed historically on and around a lake; and

¹ <http://www4.uwsp.edu/cnr/uwexlakes/ecology/APM/APMguideFull2010.pdf>

-
- **Rehabilitation** - controlling an imbalance in the aquatic plant community leading to the dominance of a few plant species, frequently associated with the introduction of invasive non-native species.

During projects with the WDNR Planning Grant Program and through past efforts, Town Lakes Committee has followed the first five steps in the seven-step plan outlined in the Guidance Document for developing an aquatic plant management plan:

1. Goal setting – Getting the effort organized, identifying problems to be addressed, and agreeing on the goals;
2. Inventory – Collecting baseline information to define the past and existing conditions;
3. Analysis – Synthesizing the information, quantifying and comparing the current conditions to desired conditions, researching opportunities and constraints, and setting directions to achieving the goals;
4. Alternatives – Listing possible management alternatives and evaluating their strengths, weaknesses and general feasibility;
5. Recommendations – Prioritizing and selecting preferred management options, setting objectives, drafting the plan;
6. Implementation – Formally adopting the plan, lining up funding, and scheduling activities for taking action to achieve the goals;
7. Monitor & Modify – Developing a mechanism for tracking activities and adjusting the plan as it evolves.

Besides this introductory chapter, this plan is organized in six Chapters. The study area is described in Chapter 2. Chapter 3 states the purpose and goals for the plan. Chapter 4 presents an inventory and analysis of information that pertain to the plan including the results of the aquatic plant survey. Chapter 5 provides recommendations that support the overall goals and establish the stewardship component of plan. Finally, Chapter 6 presents actions and objectives for implementing the plan. Four appendices complete this document. Appendix A contains literature cited, Appendix B contains tables and figures for the aquatic plant surveys, and Appendix C contains a *Review of Round Lake Water Quality*. Appendix D reviews the EPA Littoral and Shoreline Survey.


Page left intentionally blank




CHAPTER 2

Study Area

Presque Isle Township is one of the northern-most townships in Vilas County, Wisconsin. Presque Isle Township's northern border is shared with the State of Michigan. In fact some of the Presque Township lakes lie on the state border. The location of the subject of this APM Plan (Round Lake) is shown in Exhibit 1 along with other lakes in Presque Isle Township that have had point-intercept aquatic plant surveys conducted. Exhibit 2 is an aerial view of Round Lake.




“Almost an island” is the literal translation of the French phrase “Presque Isle.” Early French missionaries, perhaps disoriented by the preponderance of water in this north central Wisconsin landscape applied the name, “Presque Isle” to describe an area where the water seemed to dominate the land. The French visitors and Native Americans certainly recognized this landscape as special. Modern ecologists and recreationist share this view. The region that includes the Township of Presque Isle, Wisconsin is an ecological landscape marvelously rich in surface waters. Aerial photography reveals a concentration of lakes and streams that is unique in North America. Presque Isle Township has eighty-four lakes. The Presque Isle area could as easily be termed a “waterscape” as a “landscape.”



Descriptive parameters for Round Lake are in Exhibit 3. It is a drainage lake of about 173 acres and maximum depth of 25 feet. It has a shoreline development index very close to 1. The shoreline development index is a quantitative expression derived from the shape of the lake. It is defined as the ratio of the shoreline length to the length of the circumference of a circle of the same area as the lake. A perfectly round lake would have an index of 1. Increasing irregularity of shoreline development in the form of bays and projections of the shore is shown by numbers greater than 1. For example, fjord lakes with extremely irregularly shaped shorelines sometimes have SDI's exceeding 5. A higher shoreline development index indicates that a lake has relatively more productive littoral zone habitat.

Exhibit 3. Water Body Parameters	
Water Body Name	Round
County	Vilas
Township/Range/Section	T43N-R06E-S26,S35
Water Body Identification Code	2334900
Lake Type	Drainage
Surface Area (acres)	173
Maximum Depth (feet)	25
Maximum Length (miles)	0.8
Maximum Width (miles)	0.6
Shoreline Length (miles)	2.2
Shoreline Development Index	1.2
Total Number of Piers (2016 EPA Shoreline Survey)	3
Number of Piers / Mile of Shoreline	0.7
Total Number of Homes (2011 aerial)	3
Number of Homes / Mile of Shoreline	0.7

Round Lake has a public access site on the lake's southeast end. We observe a total of 3 piers on the shoreline of Round Lake from the 2016 EPA Shoreline Survey or about 0.7 piers per mile of shoreline. The riparian area consists of both upland and wetland areas (Exhibit 4).



CHAPTER 3

Purpose and Goal Statements

This plan approaches aquatic plant management with a healthy dose of humility. We do not always understand the causes of environmental phenomena or the effects of our actions to manage the environment. With that thought in mind, we have crafted a statement of purpose and goals for this plan:

Round Lake has a native aquatic plant community that was documented by a point-intercept aquatic plant survey. This plant community is essential to, and part of, a high quality aquatic ecosystem that benefits the human community with its recreational and aesthetic features. The purpose of this aquatic plant management plan is to maintain the aquatic plant community in its present high quality state.

Supporting this purpose, the goals of this aquatic plant management plan are:

- (1) Monitor and protect the native aquatic plant community;*
- (2) Prevent establishment of AIS and nuisance levels of native plants;*
- (3) Promote and interpret APM efforts; and*
- (4) Educate riparian owners and lake users on preventing AIS introduction, reducing nutrient inputs that potentially alter the plant community, and minimizing physical removal of native riparian and littoral zone plants.*

The purpose and goals are the foundation for the aquatic plant management plan presented in this document. They inform the objectives and actions outlined in Chapter 5 and are the principal motivation of Round Lake stewards.

Page left intentionally blank




CHAPTER 4

Information and Analysis

Our efforts in the Wilderness Waters Program have compiled information about historical and current conditions of the Round Lake ecosystem and its surrounding watershed. Of particular importance to this aquatic plant management plan are the aquatic plant surveys that were conducted using the *WDNR Protocol for Aquatic Plant Survey, Collecting, Mapping, Preserving, and Data Entry* (Hauxwell et al., 2010). The results of these comprehensive “point-intercept” surveys along with relevant components of other information are presented in this chapter under nine respective subheadings: watershed, aquatic plant management history, aquatic plant community description, fish community, water quality and trophic status, water use, riparian area, wildlife, and stakeholders.


Part 1. Watershed

The Presque Isle Township waterscape sits on a large-scale watershed divide. Some of the water drains north through the Presque Isle River system and eventually enters Lake Superior. Some of the water drains into the Wisconsin River system to the Mississippi River and to the Gulf of Mexico. In fact there are two federal hydrologic sub-basins (designated by 8-digit HUC codes) that include Presque Isle Township. The Black-Presque Isle Rivers sub-basin (HUC#04020101) drains north to Lake Superior and the Flambeau River sub-basin (HUC#0705002) drains southwesterly to the Mississippi River. The Black-Presque Isle Rivers sub-basin contains two federal hydrologic sub-watersheds within Presque Isle Township: the South Branch Presque Isle River sub-watershed (HUC#040201010303) and the Pomeroy Creek-East Branch Presque Isle River sub-watershed (HUC#040201010301). The Flambeau River sub-basin contains one sub-watershed within Presque Isle Township: the Rice Creek sub-watershed (HUC#07050020103). Exhibit 5 illustrates these watersheds and the watersheds of the water bodies subject to the Wilderness Waters Program studies. Round Lake is contained within the Rice Creek sub-watershed (Exhibit 5).



Description: Portions of 3 federal hydrologic sub-watersheds are illustrated: (1) S.Br. Presque Isle River (bounded by yellow), (2) Pomeroy Cr-E.Br. Presque Isle River (bounded by blue and yellow), and (3) Rice Cr. (bounded by green, yellow, and blue). Also shown are the smaller watersheds of individual water bodies subject to the Wilderness Waters studies (bounded by red). White dots show outlet points for the smaller watersheds.

The elevation in Presque Isle Township ranges from around 1,550 feet above sea level to 1,750 feet above sea level. A digital elevation model is provided as Exhibit 6 and shows the relative elevations for the area with orange areas of the landscape being the highest elevations and greens and blues being the lowest elevations.



The watershed (drainage basin) is all of the land and water areas that drain toward a particular river or lake. A water body is greatly influenced by its watershed. Watershed size, topography, geology, land use, soil fertility and erodibility, and vegetation are all factors that influence water quality. The Round Lake watershed is 10,180 acres. The type of land cover (for example, forest, grassland, row crops, or human development) is also an important variable in determining amounts and kinds of materials (like nutrients and sediment) that are carried off the land and into the water. Certain kinds of agriculture (tilled row crops) and urban areas (with their impervious surfaces) have a tendency to give up sediments and nutrients to runoff. In contrast, native vegetation (forests, wetlands, and grasslands), tend to slow runoff of water and nutrients, allowing the soil to absorb them. The cover types in Round Lake's watershed are present in Exhibit 7.

Exhibit 7. Cover Types and Soil Groups of the Round Lake Watershed.			
Cover Type		Acres	Percent
Agriculture		0	0
Commercial		0.9	0.01
Forest		6080	59.7
Grass/Pasture		30.3	0.3
High-density Residential		1.2	0.01
Low-density Residential		435	4.3
Water		3633.4	35.7
Total		10180.8	100.0
Soil Group	Acres	Percent	Hydrologic Soil Groups - Soils are classified by the Natural Resource Conservation Service into four Hydrologic Soil Groups* based on the soil's runoff potential. The four Hydrologic Soils Groups are A, B, C and D. Where A has the smallest runoff potential and D the greatest.
A	2820.0	28	Group A is sand, loamy sand or sandy loam types of soils. It has low runoff potential and high infiltration rates even when thoroughly wetted. They consist chiefly of deep, well to excessively drained sands or gravels and have a high rate of water transmission.
B	4413.6	43	Group B is silt loam or loam. It has a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wetted and consists chiefly or moderately deep to deep, moderately well to well drained soils with moderately fine to moderately coarse textures.
C	0	0	Group C soils are sandy clay loam. They have low infiltration rates when thoroughly wetted and consist chiefly of soils with a layer that impedes downward movement of water and soils with moderately fine to fine structure.
D	2947.2	29	Group D soils are clay loam, silty clay loam, sandy clay, silty clay or clay. This soil has the highest runoff potential. They have very low infiltration rates when thoroughly wetted and consist chiefly of clay soils with a high swelling potential, soils with a permanent high water table, soils with a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface and shallow soils over nearly impervious material.
*(USDA, Natural Resources Conservation Service, 1986)			

Forest and surface water comprise the largest components. Soil group B is most prevalent, while groups A and D are present in about equal acreages. Soil group A has a high infiltration capacity whereas D has very low infiltration capacity. The watershed to lake area ratio is 59:1. Water quality often decreases with an increasing ratio of watershed area to lake area. As the watershed to lake area increases there are more sources and amounts of runoff. In larger

watersheds, runoff water can leach more minerals and nutrients and carry them to the lake. The runoff to a lake (such as after a rainstorm or snowmelt) differs greatly among land uses. Forest cover is the most protective as it exports much less soil (through erosion) and nutrients (such as phosphorus and nitrogen) to the lake than agricultural or urban land use.

Part 2. Aquatic Plant Management History

As far as we can determine, no systematic or large-scale plant management activity has ever taken place in Round Lake. Over the years, no particular nuisance issues have demanded control action. In 2010, an aquatic plant survey was conducted on Round Lake, and again in 2016. Findings from the 2010 and 2016 surveys are discussed in the next section (Part 3).

Part 3. Aquatic Plant Community Description

Why do lakes need aquatic plants? In many ways, they are underwater forests. Aquatic plants provide vertical and horizontal structure in the lake just like the many forms and variety of trees do in a forest. Imagine how diminished a forest's biodiversity becomes in the advent of a clear-cut. Similarly, a lake's biodiversity in large part depends on a diversity of plants.

Aquatic plants are beneficial in many ways. Areas with plants produce more food for fish (insect larvae, snails, and other invertebrates). Aquatic vegetation offers fish shelter and spawning habitat. Many submerged plants provide food for waterfowl and habitat for insects on which some waterfowl feed. Aquatic plants further benefit lakes by producing oxygen and absorbing nutrients (phosphorus and nitrogen) from runoff. Aquatic plants also protect shorelines and lake bottoms by dampening wave action and stabilizing sediments.

The distribution of plants within a lake is generally limited by light availability, which is, in turn, controlled by water clarity. Aquatic biologists often estimate the depth to which rooted aquatic plants can exist as about two times the average Secchi clarity depth. For example, if the average Secchi depth is eight feet then it is fairly accurate to estimate that rooted plants might exist in water as deep as sixteen feet. At depths greater than that (in our hypothetical example), light is insufficient for rooted plants to grow. In addition to available light, the type of substrate influences the distribution of rooted aquatic plants. Plants are more likely to be found in muddy or soft sediments containing organic matter, and less likely to occur where the substrate is sand, gravel, or rock. Finally, water chemistry influences which plants are found in a body of water. Some species prefer alkaline lakes and some prefer more acidic lakes. The presence of nutrients like phosphorous and nitrogen also influence plant community composition.

As mentioned earlier, non-native invasive plant species can reach high densities and wide distribution within a lake. This diminishes the native plant community and the related habitat. At times, even a native plant species can reach nuisance levels with respect to certain kinds of human recreation. These cases may warrant some kind of plant management.

Aquatic plant surveys have been conducted on Round Lake by aquatic plant specialists in 2010 and 2016. In each of these surveys, WDNR point-intercept protocol and methodology was followed. This formal survey assesses the plant species composition on a grid of several hundred points distributed evenly over the lake. Using latitude-longitude coordinates and a handheld GPS unit, scientists navigate to the points and used a rake mounted on a pole or rope to sample plants. Plants are identified, recorded, and put into a dedicated spreadsheet for storage and data analysis. This systematic survey provides baseline data about the lake.

Because Round Lake has been surveyed twice, we are able to identify differences in the plant community that have resulted over the course of the six year interval. Changes in a lake environment might manifest as loss of species, change in species abundance or distribution, difference in the relative composition of various plant life forms (emergent, floating leaf, or submergent plants), and/or appearance of an AIS or change in its population size. Monitoring can track changes and provide valuable insight on which to base management decisions. Supporting tables and figures for the aquatic plant surveys are provided in Appendix B. Table 1 displays summary statistics for the 2016 survey and Table 2 displays summary statistics for the 2010 survey. Tables 3 and 4 provide a list of the species encountered during 2016 and 2010 surveys, including common and scientific name along with summarizing statistics.² Table 5 provides a comparison of statistics from both survey years. In the remainder of this section (Part 3) we report the findings of the 2016 point-intercept aquatic plant survey and provide a summary of the aquatic plant survey conducted in 2010.

Species richness refers to the total number of species recorded. In 2016, 28 species of aquatic plants were recorded. Of these, 24 were collected at sampling sites and the others were observed from the boat. Table 1 displays summary statistics for the survey. Table 2 provides a list of the species encountered, including common and scientific name along with summarizing statistics.³ The number of species encountered at any given sample point ranged from 0 to 6 and

² If you are interested in learning more about the plant species found in the lake, visit the University of Wisconsin Steven Point Freckmann Herbarium website at: <http://wisplants.uwsp.edu/> or obtain a copy of "Through the Looking Glass (A Field Guide to the Aquatic Plants in Wisconsin)."

³ If you are interested in learning more about the plant species found in the lake, visit the University of Wisconsin Steven Point Freckmann Herbarium website at: <http://wisplants.uwsp.edu/> or obtain a copy of "Through the Looking Glass (A Field Guide to the Aquatic Plants in Wisconsin)."

98 sample points were found to have aquatic vegetation present. The average number of species encountered at these vegetated sites was 3.23. The actual number of species encountered at each of the vegetated sites is graphically displayed on Figure 1. Plant density is estimated by a “rake fullness” metric (3 being the highest possible density). These densities (considering all species) are displayed for each sampling site on Figure 2.

The maximum depth of plant colonization is 16.5 feet (Table 1 and Figure 3). Rooted vegetation was found at 98 of the 163 sample sites with depth \leq the maximum depth of plant colonization (60% of sites). These sites are displayed as a black dot within a circle on Figure 4. This indicates that although availability of appropriate depth may limit the distribution of plants, it is not the only habitat factor involved. Substrate is another feature that influences plant distribution (e.g., soft substrate often harbors more plants than hard substrate). Figure 5 presents the substrates encountered during the aquatic plant survey (mud, sand, or rock).

Table 2 provides information about the frequency of occurrence of the plant species recorded in the lake. Several metrics are provided, including total number of sites in which each species was found and frequency of occurrence at sites \leq the maximum depth of rooted vegetation. This frequency metric is standardized as a “relative frequency” (also shown in Table 2) by dividing the frequency of occurrence for a given species by the sum of frequency of occurrence for all plants and multiplying by 100 to form a percentage. The resulting relative frequencies for all species total 100%. The relative frequencies for the plant species collected with a rake are graphically displayed in descending order on Figure 6. This display shows that *Vallisneria americana* (wild celery) had the highest relative frequency followed by *Ceratophyllum demersum* (coontail). The lowest relative frequencies are at the far right of the graph. As examples of individual species distributions, we show the occurrences of a few of the most frequently and least frequently encountered plants in Figures 8-16.

Species richness (total number of plants recorded at the lake) is a measure of species diversity, but it doesn’t tell the whole story. As an example, consider the plant communities of two hypothetical ponds each with 1,000 individual plants representing ten plant species (in other words, richness is 10). In the first pond each of the ten species populations is comprised of 100 individuals. In the second pond, Species #1 has a population of 991 individuals and each of the other nine species is represented by one individual plant. Intuitively, we would say that first pond is more diverse because there is more “even” distribution of individual species. The “Simpson Diversity Index” takes into account both richness and evenness in estimating diversity. It is based on a plant’s relative frequency in a lake. The closer the Simpson Diversity Index is to 1,

the more diverse the plant community. The Simpson Diversity Index for Round Lake aquatic plants in 2016 is 0.91 (Table 1) which indicates a highly diverse aquatic plant community.

Another measure of floristic diversity and quality is the *Floristic Quality Index* (FQI). Floristic quality is an assessment metric designed to evaluate the closeness that the flora of an area is to that of undisturbed conditions (Nichols 1999). Among other applications, it forms a standardized metric that can be used to compare the quality of different lakes (or different locations within a single lake) and monitor long-term changes in a lake's plant community (an indicator of lake health). The FQI for a lake is determined by using the average *coefficient of conservatism* times the square root of the number of native plant species present in the lake. Knowledgeable botanists have assigned to each native aquatic plant a *coefficient of conservatism* representing the probability that a plant is likely to occur in pristine environments (relatively unaltered from presettlement conditions). The coefficients range from 0 to 10, with 10 being assigned to those species most sensitive to disturbance. As more environmental disturbance occurs, the less conservative species become more prevalent.


Nichols (1999) analyzed aquatic plant community data from 554 Wisconsin Lakes to ascertain geographic (ecoregional) characteristics of the FQI metric. This is useful for considering how the Round Lake FQI (30.8) compares to other lakes and regions. The statewide medians for number of species and FQI are 13 and 22.2, respectively. Round Lake values are quite high compared to these statewide values. Nichols (1999) determined that there are four ecoregional-lake types groups in Wisconsin: (1) Northern Lakes and Forests lakes, (2) Northern Lakes and Forests flowages, (3) North Central Hardwoods and Southeastern Till Plain lakes and flowages, and (4) Driftless Area and Mississippi River Backwater lakes. Round Lake is located in the Northern Lakes and Forests lakes group. Nichols (1999) found species numbers for the Northern Lakes and Forests lakes group had a median value of 13. Round Lake data is consistent with that find. Finally, the Round Lake FQI (30.8) is higher than the median value for the Northern Lakes and Forests lakes group (24.3). These findings support the contention that the Round Lake plant community is healthy and diverse.

We observed no aquatic plants in Round Lake that would be considered a nuisance-level population density/distribution. However, a small population of purple loosestrife (*Lythrum salicaria*) was observed on a rocky peninsula on the north side of the lake. Additionally, a stand of *Phragmites* spp. was observed behind the wetland area on the northeast side of the lake. Access to these stands was not possible during the aquatic plant survey, so specimens were not

collected. For positive identification, and determination if the species is native or invasive, specimens should be collected and verified. We found no state or federally listed plant species.

In the 2010 aquatic plant survey, an average 3.46 species were observed per site; and in 2016, 3.23 species were observed per site. The maximum depth of plants was 14.0 feet in 2010 and was 16.5 feet in 2016. In 2010, the frequency of occurrence of plants at sites shallower than the maximum depth of plants was 77.5%. This percentage is higher than that found in 2016 (60.1%). In 2010, coontail (*Ceratophyllum demersum*) had the highest relative frequency followed by whorled water-milfoil (*Myriophyllum verticillatum*). In 2016, the most frequent species was wild celery (*Vallisneria americana*) followed by coontail. The Simpson Diversity Index, which takes into account both richness and evenness in estimating diversity, was 0.90 in 2010, meaning that the lake had a diverse aquatic plant community. In 2016, the SDI was slightly higher at 0.91. In 2010, the Floristic Quality Index was 29.9, which is higher than state average and the regional average. By the 2016 survey, the FQI from the 2016 survey (30.8) had increased. A comparison of 2010 and 2016 aquatic plant statistics can be viewed in Appendix B.

Exhibit 8 displays the relative frequency of plants found in 2010 and 2016. It appears that the distribution of plants was higher in 2010 than in 2016. Also noted is the absence of whorled water-milfoil, but the presence of northern water-milfoil. It's possible that in the 2010 survey, whorled water-milfoil was misidentified and was truly northern water-milfoil.



Wild Rice

Wild rice (*Zizania palustris*) was observed at 6 sites (as a visual sighting) in the 2016 Round Lake aquatic plant survey. Wild rice is an important food source for many waterfowl and animals. It also has cultural significance to the Anishinaabe (Chippewa or Ojibwa), who call it *manoomin* (GLIFWC, *Wild Rice* brochure). Because of its ecological and cultural importance, the Great Lakes Indian Fish and Wildlife Commission (GLIFWC) has systematically collected wild rice data, including: acreage, density, pounds collected by tribal and state peoples, and other useful data. GLIFWC also conducts aerial surveys of rice beds and maintains an aerial photography archive. Aerial images of Round Lake from 2006, 2009 and 2015 can be seen below.



Aerial image of Round Lake (GLIFWC, 2006).




Aerial image of Round Lake (GLIFWC, 2009).



Aerial image of Round Lake (GLIFWC, 2015).

According to the 2010 *Wisconsin Ceded Territory Manoomin Inventory*, “There is consistently a bed of rice on Round Lake in the vicinity of the Rice Creek inlet. Good beds also occur on the outlet, but these are largely confined to the creek rather than the lake proper” (David, 2010).

Exhibit 9 displays GLIFWC’s estimated acreages (via aerial survey) of wild rice in Round Lake. During each survey, estimated density was also recorded (different colors in Exhibit 9). As we can see, the densest rice stands were observed in 1999, 2000 and 2005. Overall, wild rice stands in Round Lake maintained an estimated medium-dense density, even if estimated acreages varied. No density data was available after 2007.



(Adapted from David, 1999-2015)

Part 4. Fish Community

It was beyond the scope of the current Wilderness Waters project to characterize the fish community and fish habitat of this water body. The WDNR Lake Pages website (<http://dnr.wi.gov/lakes/lakepages/>) indicates that the bottom is comprised of 50% sand, 10% gravel, 0% rock, and 40% muck and that fish species present include musky, panfish, largemouth bass, smallmouth bass, and walleye.

Part 5. Water Quality and Trophic Status

Round Lake is a 173 acre drainage lake with a maximum depth of 25 feet. Existing water quality information includes data from the WDNR SWIMS database collected in 1984 and 1985 by Northern Lakes Monitoring; Macrophytes and Bacteria data in 1999, 2000, 2003; Secchi depth data was collected from Landsat satellite in 2000, 2004, 2007, 2009 to 2012, 2014 to 2016; and data from White Water Associates Inc. collected in 2010 and 2016. The water quality information from White Water Associates is briefly summarized in this section, but more fully interpreted in Appendix C.

At times, temperature and dissolved oxygen showed stratification in Round Lake in the ice-free season. Water clarity was classified as “fair.” Water color is low. The trophic state is mesotrophic. Water quality would be classified as “fair” to “good” with respect to phosphorus concentrations. Chlorophyll *a* (a measure of the amount of algae) is low. Nitrogen, chloride, sulfate, hardness, conductivity, calcium (borderline with respect to zebra mussel suitability), magnesium, sodium, and potassium are considered low. The pH of Round Lake is alkaline. The Chinese mystery snail (2005), rusty crayfish (1998), and purple loosestrife (2016) are the only AIS to be found in Round Lake.

Part 6. Water Use

Round Lake has a public access site, and is used by riparian owners and their guests for a variety of recreational activities. The southern part of the lake is privately owned land while the rest is State of Wisconsin ownership.

Part 7. Riparian Area

Part 1 (Watershed) describes the larger riparian area context of Round Lake. The near shore riparian area can be appreciated by viewing Exhibits 2 and 4. The lake is lightly developed with a fairly intact forested riparian zone that extends for hundreds of feet back from the lake. The forest is a mixture of coniferous and deciduous trees and shrubs. Our review of 2018 aerial photography reveals 3 houses on the lake. This intact riparian area provides numerous important functions and values to the lake.

Riparian zones make up the area where aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems converge. The riparian area is a structurally diverse and naturally dynamic ecosystem (Exhibit 10). It is an area where humans put our homes, beaches, and other structures and is quite sensitive to these human-caused changes. Like the littoral zone, the riparian zone provides shelter and food sources

for wildlife, and improves water quality by retarding runoff, reducing erosion and absorbing pollutants. Trees that fall into the lake from the riparian zone contribute important habitat elements to the lake. Educating riparian owners as to the value of riparian areas is important to the maintenance of these critical areas.

In a national assessment of lakes, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) evaluated hundreds of lakes across the United States assessing water quality, recreational suitability, and ecological integrity (USEPA 2009). Important findings of that assessment included (1) poor lakeshore habitat (riparian vegetation) is the number one stressor of lake ecosystems nation-wide and (2) poor shallow water (littoral zone) habitat is the number two stressor. For the lake steward, by managing for sound lakeshores (both littoral and riparian components), we can make a difference in lake health (biological integrity). This means both development standards (e.g., NR115 and county shoreland ordinances) as well as best management practices (e.g., leave dead wood in place in the lake and refrain from or minimize removal of aquatic vegetation). White Water biologists conducted a littoral and shoreline survey at Round Lake in 2016. For results of this survey, see Appendix D.

Part 8. Wildlife

A study of wildlife was beyond the scope of the current study, but would be valuable to study and interpret in future iterations of the plan. This would be especially true of wetland and water oriented wildlife such as frogs, waterfowl, fish-eating birds, aquatic and semi-aquatic mammals, and invertebrate animals. In the future, it

Exhibit 10. Shoreline Protection and Restoration Strategy

How can healthy shorelines benefit a lake?

- Help maintain clean water and water quality
- Prevent soil erosion
- Provide wildlife with habitat and food sources

What does a healthy shoreline look like?

- Lots of native vegetation
- Varying heights of vegetation (trees, shrubs, and plants)
- Down dead trees
- Signs of wildlife

How can you maintain a healthy shoreline?

- Minimize runoff /pollution (fertilizers, pesticides, leaky septic systems)
- Protect native plants
- Discourage invasive plants
- Keep dead trees (don't 'clean up' shoreline)
- Don't mow right to water's edge
- Continue shoreland monitoring (EPA and WDNR shoreline assessments)

would be desirable to monitor indicator species of wildlife such as common loons, bald eagles, and osprey.

Round Lake currently designated as an *area of special natural resource interest* (ASNRI) and a *priority navigable water* (PNW) (WDNR 2017). A water body designated as an Area of Special Natural Resource Interest can be any of the following: WDNR trout streams; Outstanding or Exceptional Resource Waters (ORW/ERW); waters or portions of waters inhabited by endangered, threatened, special concern species or unique ecological communities; wild rice waters; waters in ecologically significant coastal wetlands along Lake Michigan and Superior; or federal or state waters designated as wild or scenic rivers (WDNR 2017). Round Lake is considered an ASNRI because it is a wild rice area and inhabits state or federally designated threatened or endangered species. The Wisconsin Natural Heritage Inventory (NHI) lists the following plants and animals as rare or sensitive species and/or communities that are considered high-quality and significant natural features. They are found in the same town/range as Round Lake (Exhibit 11).

Exhibit 11. Rare Species and Communities located near Round Lake.			
<i>Common Name</i>	<i>Scientific Name</i>	<i>State Status⁴</i>	<i>Group Name</i>
Fairy slipper	<i>Calypso bulbosa</i>	THR	Plant
Downy willow-herb	<i>Epilobium strictum</i>	SC	Plant
Smith’s melic grass	<i>Melica smithii</i>	END	Plant
Boreal rich fen		NA	Community
Ephemeral pond		NA	Community
Lake-deep, soft, seepage		NA	Community
Lake-spring		NA	Community
Northern mesic forest		NA	Community
Northern wet forest		NA	Community
Northern wet-mesic forest		NA	Community
Poor fen		NA	Community
Wild rice marsh		NA	Community
Bird rookery		NA	Other

⁴ **END**=Endangered; **THR**=Threatened; **SC**=Special Concern; **SC/P**=fully protected; **SC/N**=no laws regulating use, possession or harvesting; **SC/H**=take regulated by establishment of open/closed seasons; **SC/FL**=federally protected as endangered or threatened; **SC/M**=fully protected by federal and state laws under Migratory Bird Act.

Priority Navigable Waters meet any of these standards: navigable waterways, or portions thereof, that are considered OWR/EWR or trout streams; lakes less than 50 acres in size; tributaries and rivers connecting to inland lakes containing naturally-reproducing lake sturgeon populations; waters with self-sustaining walleye populations in ceded territories; waters with self-sustaining musky populations; or perennial tributaries to trout streams (WDNR 2017). Round Lake is considered a PNW with a self-sustaining musky population.

Also of special importance would be monitoring for the presence of aquatic invasive animal species. In 2016, White Water biologists conducted an AIS Early Detection Monitoring Survey. This survey included snorkeling at the boat landing, snorkeling at five sites around the lake, collection of three zooplankton tows, and recording other AIS observed during meandering. At the boat landing, Chinese mystery snail (*Cipangopaludina chinensis*) and purple loosestrife (*Lythrum salicaria*) were observed. At four of the five sites around the lake, Chinese mystery snail was observed. At one of the sites, live rusty crayfish (*Orconectes rusticus*) were found. The zooplankton tows were collected to analyze for spiny water flea, however, none were found. All of the AIS data collected were entered into the WDNR SWIMS database.

Part 9. Stakeholders

At this juncture in the ongoing aquatic plant management planning process, the Town Lakes Committee has represented the Round Lake stakeholders. Additional stakeholders and interested citizens are invited to participate as the plan is refined and updated in order to broaden input, build consensus, and encourage participation in stewardship. No contentious direct plant management actions (for example, harvesting or use of herbicides) are a component of the current plan. Nevertheless, we do recommend monitoring the purple loosestrife population and investigating the possibility of controlling this invasive species. Additionally, a specimen of *Phragmites* sp. should be collected to determine if the plant is of the native or invasive type. The Town Lakes Committee has conducted a township wide lake users' survey that is presented in the overarching *Wilderness Waters Adaptive Management Plan* (Premo et al. 2015).

Page left intentionally blank



CHAPTER 5

Recommendations, Actions, and Objectives

In this chapter we provide recommendations for specific objectives and associated actions to support the APM Plan’s goals stated in Chapter 3 and re-stated here for convenient reference:

- (1) Monitor and protect the native aquatic plant community;*
- (2) Prevent establishment of AIS and nuisance levels of native plants;*
- (3) Promote and interpret APM efforts; and*
- (4) Educate riparian owners and lake users on preventing AIS introduction, reducing nutrient inputs that potentially alter the plant community, and minimizing physical removal of native riparian and littoral zone plants.*

Since Round Lake is a healthy and diverse ecosystem, we could simply recommend an alternative of “no action.” In other words, Round Lake continues without any effort or intervention on part of lake stewards. Nevertheless, we consider the “no action” alternative imprudent. Many forces threaten the quality of the lake and Wilderness Waters Program and Town Lakes Committee feels a great responsibility to minimize the threats. We therefore outline in this section a set of actions and related management objectives that will actively engage lake stewards in the process of management.

The actions are presented in tabular form. Each “action” consists of a set of four statements: (1) a declarative “action” statement that specifies the action (2) a statement of the “objective” that the action serves, (3) a “monitoring” statement that specifies the party responsible for carrying out the action and maintaining data, and (4) a “status” statement that suggests a timeline/calendar and indicates status (not yet started, ongoing, or completed).

Recommended Actions for the Round Lake APM Plan

Action #1: Formally adopt the 2018 Aquatic Plant Management Plan.

Objective: To continue with long-term native plant community conservation and stewardship and to be prepared for response to AIS introductions.

Monitoring: The Lake Association and Town Lakes Committee oversee activity.

Status: Planned for 2020.

Action #2: Monitor water quality.

Objective: Continue with collection and analysis of water quality parameters to detect trends in parameters such as nutrients, chlorophyll *a*, and water clarity.

Monitoring: The Lake Association or Town Lakes Committee oversees activity.

Status: Ongoing.

Action #3: Continue to monitor the lake for aquatic invasive plant species.

Objective: To understand the lake's biotic community, provide for early detection of AIS and continue monitoring any existing populations of AIS.

Monitoring: The Lake Association or Town Lakes Committee oversees activity and maintains data.

Status: Ongoing.

Action #4: Continue to monitor the lake for aquatic invasive animal species.

Objective: To understand the lake's biotic community, provide for early detection of AIS and continue monitoring any existing populations of AIS.

Monitoring: The Lake Association or Town Lakes Committee oversees activity and maintains data.

Status: Ongoing.

Action #5: Monitor the existing populations of rusty crayfish and Chinese mystery snail in Round Lake.

Objective: Determine potential effects of these aquatic invasive animals.

Monitoring: The Lake Association or Town Lakes Committee oversees activity.

Status: Planned for 2020.

Recommended Actions for the Round Lake APM Plan

Action #6: Form an Aquatic Invasive Species Rapid Response Team and interface with the Town Lakes Committee AIS Rapid Response Coordinator.

Objective: To be prepared for AIS discovery and efficient response.

Monitoring: The Lake Association and/or Town Lakes Committee coordinate activity.

Status: Planned for 2020.

Action #7: Conduct quantitative plant survey every five years using WDNR Point-Intercept Methodology.

Objective: To watch for changes in native species diversity, floristic quality, plant abundance, and plant distribution and to check for the occurrence of non-native, invasive plant species.

Monitoring: Town Lakes Committee (Wilderness Waters Program) oversees and maintains data; copies to WDNR.

Status: Anticipated in 2021.

Action #8: Update the APM plan approximately every five years or as needed to reflect new plant information from plant surveys and monitoring.

Objective: To have current information and management science included in the plan.

Monitoring: Lake Association and/or Town Lakes Committee (Wilderness Waters Program) oversees and maintains data; copies to WDNR.

Status: Ongoing.

Action #9: Develop a Citizen Lake Monitoring Network to monitor for invasive species and develop strategies including education and monitoring activities (see <http://www.uwsp.edu/cnr/uwexplakes/clmn> for additional ideas).

Objective: To create a trained volunteer corps to monitor aquatic invasive species and to educate recreational users regarding AIS.

Monitoring: The Lake Association oversees activity and reports instances of possible introductions of AIS.

Status: Anticipated to begin in 2020.

Recommended Actions for the Round Lake APM Plan

Action #10: Become familiar with and recognize the water quality and habitat values of ordinances and requirements on boating, septic, and property development.

Objective: To protect native aquatic plants, water quality, and riparian habitat.

Monitoring: Lake residents and other stakeholders.

Status: Ongoing.

Action #11: Promote adherence to, and enforcement of, the Town of Presque Isle's 200 foot no-wake ordinances (from shoreline and islands).

Objective: To minimize recreational impacts on the aquatic plant community and shoreline habitats, and promote safe boating.

Monitoring: Town Lakes Committee oversees activity and assesses effectiveness.

Status: Ongoing.

Action #12: Create an education plan for the property owners and other stakeholders that will address issues concerning aquatic and riparian plant communities.

Objective: To educate stakeholders about issues and topics that affect the lake's aquatic and riparian plant communities, including topics such as: (1) the importance of the aquatic plant community; (2) no or minimal mechanical removal of plants along the shoreline is desirable and that any plant removal should conform to Wisconsin regulations; (3) the value of a natural shoreline in protecting the aquatic plant community and lake health; (4) nutrient sources to the lake and the role excess nutrients play in degradation of the aquatic plant community; (5) the importance of reducing or eliminating use of fertilizers on lake front property; (6) the importance of minimizing transfer of AIS to the lake by having dedicated watercraft and cleaning boats that visit the lake.

Monitoring: Town Lakes Committee oversees activity and assesses effectiveness.

Status: Anticipated to begin in 2020.

Action #13: Monitor the lake watershed for purple loosestrife.

Objective: Identify purple loosestrife populations before they reach large size.

Monitoring: The Lake Association and/or Town Lakes Committee oversee activity.

Status: Anticipated in 2020.

Recommended Actions for the Round Lake APM Plan

Action #14: Assess the conditions of the shoreland and shallow water habitat by using the WDNR *Shoreland and Shallows Habitat Monitoring Protocol*.

Objective: To assess current conditions and guide stewardship actions toward maintenance of healthy status and rehabilitation of areas identified as needing assistance..

Monitoring: Town Lakes Committee oversees activity.

Status: Anticipated to begin in 2025.

Action #15: Consider conditions outlined in the *Shoreland and Shallows Habitat Monitoring Report* and implement protection or rehabilitation initiatives where appropriate.

Objective: To maintain and restore high quality conditions of the riparian and shallow water habitat.

Monitoring: Town Lakes Committee oversees activity and assesses effectiveness.

Status: Anticipated to begin in 2025 or earlier as needed.

Action #16: For those who want to consider long-term protection of special areas, individual landowners should review information on the Northwoods Land Trust, a local land conservancy that serves northern Wisconsin (northwoodslandtrust.org).

Objective: To conserve family lands (especially lake shorelands) for future generations.

Monitoring: Town Lakes Committee stays apprised of properties within the Township that are participating in conservation of lands with the Northwoods Land Trust.

Status: Ongoing.

Action #17: Identify and highlight high quality areas of littoral zone and riparian areas through review of aquatic plant and shoreland assessment data through various reports and online tools.

Objective: To (1) educate lake users on the value of these areas and the importance of good stewardship to their maintenance, (2) recognize landowners who implement good practices (e.g., large percentage of buffer area intact; three vegetative layers intact – herbaceous, shrubs, trees; areas of high native aquatic plant diversity and abundance), and (3) encourage landowners to implement good practices.

Monitoring: Town Lakes Committee and/or lake association promotes and oversees activity.

Status: Ongoing.

Recommended Actions for the Round Lake APM Plan

Action #18: Lake leaders should encourage and assist landowners to take on lake shore/shallow water improvement projects to rehabilitate areas identified through formal shoreland/shallow water assessments and/or lake user observations (sites might include areas of active erosion, channelized flow, point source pollution, imperious surfaces, and lawns) *Vilas County Land and Water Conservation* looks for partners in this endeavor and can provide planning and sponsorship of projects.

Objective: To rehabilitate specific areas of shoreland to improve natural functions and values.

Monitoring: Lake groups and lake leaders monitor and report progress to Town Lakes Committee.

Status: Ongoing.

Action #19: As part of an education program, encourage commitment from property owners to adopt practices that maintain/improve health of shoreland areas. In many cases, these are “practices” that mean less or no work (e.g., now mowing, no weed wacking, no leaf blowing, no removing large woody material).

Objective: To engage landowners in simple practices that improve/maintain health of the lake and shoreland.

Monitoring: Each landowner can monitor changes in the shoreland over time by simple means (e.g., annual mid-summer photographs or a catalog of plants and animals seen over time).

Status: Anticipated to begin in 2020.

CHAPTER 6

Contingency Plan for AIS

Unfortunately, sources of aquatic invasive plants and other AIS are numerous in Wisconsin. Some infested lakes are quite close to Presque Isle Township. There is an increasing likelihood of accidental introduction of AIS to Presque Isle Township Lakes through conveyance of life stages by boats, trailers, and other vectors. It is important for the Town Lakes Committee and other lake stewards to be prepared for the contingency of aquatic invasive plant species colonization in a Presque Isle Township water body.

For riparian owners and users of a lake ecosystem, the discovery of AIS is a tragedy that elicits an immediate desire to “fix the problem.” Although strong emotions may be evoked by such a discovery, a deliberate and systematic approach is required to appropriately and effectively address the situation. An aquatic plant management plan (one including a contingency plan for AIS) is the best tool by which the process can be navigated. In fact the APM plan is a requirement in Wisconsin for some kinds of aquatic plant management actions. One of the actions outlined in the previous chapter was to establish an Aquatic Invasive Species Rapid Response Team. This team and its coordinator are integral to the management process. It is important for this team to be multi-dimensional (or at least have quick access to the expertise that may be required). AIS invade not just a single lake, but an entire region since the new infestation is an outpost from which the AIS can more easily colonize other nearby water bodies. For this reason it is strategic for the Rapid Response Team to include representation from regional stakeholders.

Exhibit 12 provides a flowchart outlining an appropriate rapid response to the suspected discovery of an aquatic invasive plant species. The response will be most efficient if an AIS Rapid Response Team has already been established and is familiar with the contingency plan. In the remainder of this chapter we further describe the approach.

When a suspect aquatic invasive plant species is found, either the original observer or a member of the Rapid Response Team (likely the coordinator) should collect an entire plant specimen including roots, stems, and flowers (if present). The sample should be placed in a sealable bag with a small amount of water to keep it moist. Place a label in the bag written in pencil with date, time, collector’s name, lake name, location, town, and county. Attach a lake


map to the bag that has the location of the suspect AIS marked and GPS coordinates recorded (if GPS is available). The sample should be placed on ice in a cooler or in a refrigerator. Deliver the sample to the WDNR Water Resource Management Specialist (Kevin Gauthier in Woodruff) or the Vilas County AIS Coordinator (Al Wirt) as soon as possible (at least within three days). The WDNR or their botanical expert(s) will determine the species and confirm whether or not it is an aquatic invasive plant species.

If the suspect specimen is determined to be an invasive plant species, the next step is to determine the extent and density of the population since the management response will vary accordingly. The Rapid Response Team should conduct (or have its consultant conduct) a survey to define the colony's perimeter and estimate density. If less than five acres (or <5% of the lake surface area), it is designated a "Pioneer Colony." If greater than five acres (or >5% of the lake surface area) then it is designated an "Established Population." Once the infestation is characterized, "at risk" areas should also be determined and marked on a map. For example, nearby boat landing sites and areas of high boat traffic should be indicated.

When "pioneer" or "established" status has been determined, it is time to consult with the WDNR Lakes Coordinator to determine appropriate notifications and management responses to the infestation. Determining whether hand-pulling or chemical treatment will be used is an important and early decision. Necessary notifications of landowners, governmental officials, and recreationists (at boat landings) will be determined. Whether the population's perimeter needs to be marked with buoys will be decided by the WDNR. Funding sources will be identified and consultants and contractors will be contacted where necessary. The WDNR will determine if a further baseline plant survey is required (depending on type of treatment). A post treatment monitoring plan will be discussed and established to determine the efficacy of the selected treatment.


Once the Rapid Response Team is organized, one of its first tasks is to develop a list of contacts and associated contact information (phone numbers and email addresses). At a minimum, this contact list should include: the Rapid Response Coordinator, members of the Rapid Response Team, County AIS Coordinator, WDNR Lakes Management Coordinator, Lake Association Presidents (or other points of contact), local WDNR warden, local government official(s), other experts, tribal contacts, chemical treatment contractors, and consultant(s).

Exhibit 12. Aquatic Invasive Plant Species Rapid Response



Page left intentionally blank





Appendix A
Literature Cited

Page left intentionally blank



LITERATURE CITED

- David, Peter. Wildlife Biologist, GLIFWC. Annual Reports from 1999-2015. *Wild Rice (Manoomin) Abundance and Harvest in Northern Wisconsin in [1999-2015]*. Retrieved 2018. <<http://www.glifwc.org/Reports/reports.php>>
- David, Peter. Wildlife Biologist, GLIFWC. 2010. *Wisconsin Ceded Territory Manoomin Inventory*. GLIFWC Project Report 2010-1.
- Great Lakes Indian Fish and Wildlife Commission (GLIFWC). 2006. *Round Lake Vilas 2006a*. Aerial image. Retrieved 2013. <<http://maps.glifwc.org/Documents/WI/2334900/Images/#>>
- Great Lakes Indian Fish and Wildlife Commission (GLIFWC). 2009. *Round Lake Vilas 2009b*. Aerial image. Retrieved 2013. <<http://maps.glifwc.org/Documents/WI/2334900/Images/#>>
- Great Lakes Indian Fish and Wildlife Commission (GLIFWC). 2015. *Round Lake Vilas 2015*. Aerial image. Retrieved 2018. <<http://maps.glifwc.org/Documents/WI/2334900/Images/#>>
- Great Lakes Indian Fish and Wildlife Commission (GLIFWC). *Wild Rice. Ecology. Harvest. Management*. [Brochure].
- Hauxwell, J., S. Knight, K. Wagner, A. Mikulyuk, M. Nault, M. Porzky and S. Chase. 2010. *Recommended baseline monitoring of aquatic plants in Wisconsin: sampling design, field and laboratory procedures, data entry and analysis, and applications*. Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources Bureau of Science Services, PUB-SS-1068 2010. Madison, WI.
- Nichols, Stanley A. 1999. *Floristic Quality Assessment of Wisconsin Lake Plant Communities with Example Applications*. *Journal of Lake and Reservoir Management* 15(2): 133-141.
- Premo, Dean, Angie Stine, Caitlin Clarke, and Kent Premo. 2015. *Presque Isle Wilderness Waters Adaptive Management Plan*. White Water Associates, Inc. Amasa, MI.

US Department of Agriculture, Natural Resources Conservation Service. June 1986. *Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds*. Technical Release-55.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2009. *National Lakes Assessment: A Collaborative Survey of the Nation's Lakes*. EPA 841-R-09-001. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water and Office of Research and Development, Washington, D.C. Available on-line at: http://water.epa.gov/type/lakes/upload/nla_newlowres_fullrpt.pdf

Walters, C. 1986. *Objectives, constraints, and problem bounding*. In W.M. Getz, ed., *Adaptive Management of Renewable Resources*. Macmillan Publishing Company. New York, NY. p. 13+.

Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. 2017. *About Designated Waters*. Retrieved 2018. <<http://dnrmaps.wi.gov/imf/imf.jsp?site=SurfaceWaterViewer.deswaters>>

Appendix B

Aquatic Plant Survey Tables and Figures

Table of Contents

Table 1. Summary statistics for point-intercept aquatic plant survey, 2016

Table 2. Summary statistics for point-intercept aquatic plant survey, 2010

Table 3. Plant species and distribution statistics, 2016

Table 4. Plant species and distribution statistics, 2010

Table 5. Comparison of summary statistics, 2016 and 2010

Figures 1-16. Maps of aquatic plant survey, 2016

Figures 17-28. Maps of aquatic plant survey, 2010

Page left intentionally blank



Table 1. Summary statistics for the 2016 point-intercept aquatic plant surveys for Round Lake.

Summary Statistic	Value	Notes
Total number of sites on grid	310	Total number of sites on the original grid (not necessarily visited)
Total number of sites visited	299	Total number of sites where the boat stopped, even if much too deep to have plants.
Total number of sites with vegetation	98	Total number of sites where at least one plant was found
Total number of sites shallower than maximum depth of plants	163	Number of sites where depth was less than or equal to the maximum depth where plants were found. This value is used for Frequency of occurrence at sites shallower than maximum depth of plants.
Frequency of occurrence at sites shallower than maximum depth of plants	60.12	Number of times a species was seen divided by the total number of sites shallower than maximum depth of plants.
Simpson Diversity Index	0.91	A nonparametric estimator of community heterogeneity. It is based on Relative Frequency and thus is not sensitive to whether all sampled sites (including non-vegetated sites) are included. The closer the Simpson Diversity Index is to 1, the more diverse the community.
Maximum depth of plants (ft.)	16.50	The depth of the deepest site sampled at which vegetation was present.
Number of sites sampled with rake on rope	13	
Number of sites sampled with rake on pole	142	
Average number of all species per site (shallower than max depth)	1.94	
Average number of all species per site (vegetated sites only)	3.23	
Average number of native species per site (shallower than max depth)	1.94	Total number of species collected. Does not include visual sightings.
Average number of native species per site (vegetated sites only)	3.23	Total number of species collected including visual sightings.
Species Richness	24	
Species Richness (including visuals)	28	
Floristic Quality Index (FQI)	30.8	An assessment metric designed to evaluate the closeness that the flora of an area is to that of undisturbed conditions.

Table 2. Summary statistics for the 2010 point-intercept aquatic plant surveys for Round Lake.

Summary Statistic	Value	Notes
Total number of sites on grid	311	Total number of sites on the original grid (not necessarily visited)
Total number of sites visited	309	Total number of sites where the boat stopped, even if much too deep to have plants.
Total number of sites with vegetation	117	Total number of sites where at least one plant was found
Total number of sites shallower than maximum depth of plants	151	Number of sites where depth was less than or equal to the maximum depth where plants were found. This value is used for Frequency of occurrence at sites shallower than maximum depth of plants.
Frequency of occurrence at sites shallower than maximum depth of plants	77.48	Number of times a species was seen divided by the total number of sites shallower than maximum depth of plants.
Simpson Diversity Index	0.90	A nonparametric estimator of community heterogeneity. It is based on Relative Frequency and thus is not sensitive to whether all sampled sites (including non-vegetated sites) are included. The closer the Simpson Diversity Index is to 1, the more diverse the community.
Maximum depth of plants (ft.)	14.00	The depth of the deepest site sampled at which vegetation was present.
Number of sites sampled with rake on rope	0	
Number of sites sampled with rake on pole	153	
Average number of all species per site (shallower than max depth)	2.68	
Average number of all species per site (vegetated sites only)	3.46	
Average number of native species per site (shallower than max depth)	2.68	Total number of species collected. Does not include visual sightings.
Average number of native species per site (vegetated sites only)	3.46	Total number of species collected including visual sightings.
Species Richness	22	
Species Richness (including visuals)	24	
Floristic Quality Index (FQI)	29.85	An assessment metric designed to evaluate the closeness that the flora of an area is to that of undisturbed conditions.

Table 3. Plant species recorded and distribution statistics for the 2016 Round Lake aquatic plant survey.

Common name	Scientific name	Frequency of occurrence at sites less than or equal to maximum depth of plants	Frequency of occurrence within vegetated areas (%)	Relative Frequency (%)	Number of sites where species found	Number of sites where species found (including visuals)	Average Rake Fullness
Wild celery	<i>Vallisneria americana</i>	36.81	61.22	18.93	60	65	1.17
Coontail	<i>Ceratophyllum demersum</i>	26.38	43.88	13.56	43	55	1.09
Northern water-milfoil	<i>Myriophyllum sibiricum</i>	20.25	33.67	10.41	33	61	1.39
Common waterweed	<i>Elodea Canadensis</i>	15.34	25.51	7.89	25	25	1.04
Nitella	<i>Nitella</i> sp.	14.72	24.49	7.57	24	24	1.08
Slender naiad	<i>Najas flexilis</i>	11.04	18.37	5.68	18	19	1.00
Water marigold	<i>Bidens beckii</i>	7.98	13.27	4.10	13	20	1.23
Water star-grass	<i>Heteranthera dubia</i>	7.98	13.27	4.10	13	16	1.00
Fries' pondweed	<i>Potamogeton friesii</i>	7.36	12.24	3.79	12	14	1.00
Small pondweed	<i>Potamogeton pusillus</i>	6.75	11.22	3.47	11	14	1.00
White-stem pondweed	<i>Potamogeton praelongus</i>	6.13	10.20	3.15	10	20	1.20
Muskgrasses	<i>Chara</i> sp.	5.52	9.18	2.84	9	9	1.00
Fern pondweed	<i>Potamogeton robbinsii</i>	5.52	9.18	2.84	9	9	1.00
Flat-stem pondweed	<i>Potamogeton zosteriformis</i>	5.52	9.18	2.84	9	25	1.00
Clasping-leaf pondweed	<i>Potamogeton richardsonii</i>	4.91	8.16	2.52	8	22	1.00
Large-leaf pondweed	<i>Potamogeton amplifolius</i>	3.07	5.10	1.58	5	12	1.20
Berchtold's pondweed	<i>Potamogeton berchtoldii</i>	2.45	4.08	1.26	4	4	1.00
Spatterdock	<i>Nuphar variegata</i>	1.84	3.06	0.95	3	19	1.00
White water lily	<i>Nymphaea odorata</i>	1.23	2.04	0.63	2	7	1.00
Hardstem bulrush	<i>Schoenoplectus acutus</i>	1.23	2.04	0.63	2	7	1.00
Forked duckweed	<i>Lemna trisulca</i>	0.61	1.02	0.32	1	1	1.00
Variable pondweed	<i>Potamogeton gramineus</i>	0.61	1.02	0.32	1	2	1.00
Floating-leaf pondweed	<i>Potamogeton natans</i>	0.61	1.02	0.32	1	1	1.00
Common bladderwort	<i>Utricularia vulgaris</i>	0.61	1.02	0.32	1	1	1.00

Frequency of occurrence within vegetated areas (%): Number of times a species was seen in a vegetated area divided by the total number of vegetated sites.

Table 3. Continued.

Common name	Scientific name	Frequency of occurrence at sites less than or equal to maximum depth of plants	Frequency of occurrence within vegetated areas (%)	Relative Frequency (%)	Number of sites where species found	Number of sites where species found (including visuals)	Average Rake Fullness
Northern wild rice	<i>Zizania palustris</i>				Visual	6	
Creeping spikerush	<i>Eleocharis palustris</i>				Visual	1	
Large duckweed	<i>Spirodela polyrhiza</i>				Visual	1	
Broad-leaved cattail	<i>Typha latifolia</i>				Visual	1	
Pickerelweed	<i>Pontederia cordata</i>				Boat Survey		
Broadleaf arrowhead	<i>Sagittaria latifolia</i>				Boat Survey		
Sessile-fruit arrowhead	<i>Sagittaria rigida</i>				Boat Survey		
Water horsetail	<i>Equisetum fluviatile</i>				Boat Survey		
Purple loosestrife	<i>Lythrum salicaria</i>				Boat Survey		
Common reed	<i>Phragmites</i> sp.				Boat Survey		

Frequency of occurrence within vegetated areas (%): Number of times a species was seen in a vegetated area divided by the total number of vegetated sites.

Purple loosestrife (*Lythrum salicaria*) is designated a Restricted species in Wisconsin.

Table 4. Plant species recorded and distribution statistics for the 2010 Round Lake aquatic plant survey.

Common name	Scientific name	Frequency of occurrence at sites less than or equal to maximum depth of plants	Frequency of occurrence within vegetated areas (%)	Relative Frequency (%)	Number of sites where species found	Number of sites where species found (including visuals)	Average Rake Fullness
Coontail	<i>Ceratophyllum demersum</i>	43.71	55.46	16.18	66	66	1.05
Whorled watermilfoil	<i>Myriophyllum verticillatum</i>	39.07	49.58	14.46	59	59	1.34
Wild celery	<i>Vallisneria americana</i>	35.76	45.38	13.24	54	54	1.04
Common waterweed	<i>Elodea canadensis</i>	31.13	39.50	11.52	47	47	1.09
Water marigold	<i>Bidens beckii</i>	27.15	34.45	10.05	41	41	1.07
Fern pondweed	<i>Potamogeton robbinsii</i>	21.85	27.73	8.09	33	33	1.06
Fries' pondweed	<i>Potamogeton friesii</i>	12.58	15.97	4.66	19	19	1.00
Clasping-leaf pondweed	<i>Potamogeton richardsonii</i>	8.61	10.92	3.19	13	13	1.08
Flat-stem pondweed	<i>Potamogeton zosteriformis</i>	8.61	10.92	3.19	13	13	1.00
Slender naiad	<i>Najas flexilis</i>	7.28	9.24	2.70	11	11	1.00
Large-leaf pondweed	<i>Potamogeton amplifolius</i>	7.28	9.24	2.70	11	11	1.00
Forked duckweed	<i>Lemna trisulca</i>	4.64	5.88	1.72	7	7	1.00
Hardstem bulrush	<i>Schoenoplectus acutus</i>	3.97	5.04	1.47	6	11	1.00
Northern wild rice	<i>Zizania palustris</i>	3.97	5.04	1.47	6	8	1.17
Water star-grass	<i>Heteranthera dubia</i>	3.31	4.20	1.23	5	5	1.00
White water lily	<i>Nymphaea odorata</i>	3.31	4.20	1.23	5	20	1.20
Small pondweed	<i>Potamogeton pusillus</i>	2.65	3.36	0.98	4	4	1.00
White-stem pondweed	<i>Potamogeton praelongus</i>	1.99	2.52	0.74	3	3	1.00
Leafy pondweed	<i>Potamogeton foliosus</i>	1.32	1.68	0.49	2	2	1.00
Muskgrass	<i>Chara</i> sp.	0.66	0.84	0.25	1	1	1.00
Nitella	<i>Nitella</i> sp.	0.66	0.84	0.25	1	1	1.00
Floating-leaf pondweed	<i>Potamogeton natans</i>	0.66	0.84	0.25	1	3	1.00
Pickerelweed	<i>Pontederia cordata</i>				Visual	3	
Cattail	<i>Typha</i> sp.				Visual	2	
Small duckweed	<i>Lemna minor</i>				Boat Survey		
Native reed	<i>Phragmites americanus</i>				Boat Survey		
Broad-leaved cattail	<i>Typha latifolia</i>				Boat Survey		

Frequency of occurrence within vegetated areas (%): Number of times a species was seen in a vegetated area divided by the total number of vegetated sites.

Table 5. Comparison of summary statistics for 2010 and 2016 point-intercept aquatic plant surveys in Round Lake.

Summary Statistic	2010	2016
Total number of sites on grid	311	310
Total number of sites visited	309	299
Total number of sites with vegetation	117	98
Total number of sites shallower than maximum depth of plants	151	163
Frequency of occurrence at sites shallower than maximum depth of plants	77.5	60.1
Simpson Diversity Index	0.90	0.91
Maximum depth of plants (ft.)	14.0	16.5
Number of sites sampled with rake on rope	0	13
Number of sites sampled with rake on pole	153	142
Average number of all species per site (shallower than max depth)	2.68	1.94
Average number of all species per site (vegetated sites only)	3.46	3.23
Average number of native species per site (shallower than max depth)	2.68	1.94
Average number of native species per site (vegetated sites only)	3.46	3.23
Species Richness	22	24
Species Richness (including visuals)	24	28
Floristic Quality Index (FQI)	29.9	30.8

Figure 2. Rake fullness ratings for Round Lake sample sites (2016).



**Rake fullness
(all species)**

- 1 (Yellow circle)
- 2 (Orange circle)
- 3 (Red circle)




Figure 3. Maximum Depth of Plant Colonization in Round Lake.




Figure 4. Round Lake sampling sites less than or equal to maximum depth of rooted vegetation (2016).



- Site less than or equal to maximum depth of plant colonization (MDC).
- Plant find(s) at site less than or equal to MDC.



Figure 5. Round Lake substrate encountered at point-intercept plant sampling sites (2016).



Substrate

- Muck
- Rock
- Sand




Figure 6. Round Lake aquatic plant occurrences for 2016 point-intercept survey data.




Figure 7. Round Lake point-intercept plant sampling sites with emergent and floating aquatic plants (2016).




Figure 8. Distribution of plant species, Round Lake (2016).

Vallisneria americana,
Wild celery

- 1 (Rake fullness)
- 2
- 3
- ▼ Visual
- × Not found
- × Unsampled (depth)
- × Non-navigable




Figure 9. Distribution of plant species, Round Lake (2016).

Ceratophyllum demersum, Coontail

- 1 (Rake fullness)
- 2
- 3
- ▼ Visual
- ✕ Not found
- ✕ Unsampld (depth)
- ✕ Non-navigable




Figure 10. Distribution of plant species, Round Lake (2016).

Myriophyllum sibiricum,
Northern water-milfoil

● 1 (Rake fullness)

● 2

● 3

▼ Visual

✕ Not found

✕ Unsampled (depth)

✕ Non-navigable




Figure 11. Distribution of plant species, Round Lake (2016).

Elodea canadensis,
Common waterweed

● 1 (Rake fullness)

● 2

● 3

▼ Visual

✗ Not found

✗ Unsampled (depth)

✗ Non-navigable




Figure 12. Distribution of plant species, Round Lake (2016).




Figure 13. Distribution of plant species, Round Lake (2016).

Lemna trisulca,
Forked duckweed

● 1 (Rake fullness)

● 2

● 3

▼ Visual

✗ Not found

✗ Unsampled (depth)

✗ Non-navigable




Figure 14. Distribution of plant species, Round Lake (2016).

Potamogeton gramineus,
Variable pondweed

● 1 (Rake fullness)

● 2

● 3

▼ Visual

✕ Not found

✕ Unsampled (depth)

✕ Non-navigable




Figure 15. Distribution of plant species, Round Lake (2016).

Potamogeton natans,
Floating-leaf pondweed

● 1 (Rake fullness)

● 2

● 3

▼ Visual

✕ Not found

✕ Unsampled (depth)

✕ Non-navigable




Figure 16. Distribution of plant species, Round Lake (2016).

Utricularia vulgaris,
Common bladderwort

● 1 (Rake fullness)

● 2

● 3

▼ Visual

✕ Not found

✕ Unsampled (depth)

✕ Non-navigable




Figure 17. Number of plant species recorded at Round Lake sample sites (2010).




Figure 18. Rake fullness ratings for Round Lake sample sites (2010).




Figure 19. Maximum Depth of Plant Colonization in Round Lake.




Figure 20. Round Lake sampling sites less than or equal to maximum depth of rooted vegetation (2010).




Figure 21. Round Lake substrate encountered at point-intercept plant sampling sites (2010).




Figure 22. Round Lake aquatic plant occurrences for 2010 point-intercept survey data.






Figure 23. Distribution of plant species, Round Lake (2010)




Ceratophyllum demersum (Coontail)

Figure 24. Distribution of plant species, Round Lake (2010)



Myriophyllum verticillatum (Whorled water-milfoil)

Figure 25. Distribution of plant species, Round Lake (2010)




Vallisneria americana (Wild celery)

Figure 26. Distribution of plant species, Round Lake (2010)




Chara sp. (Muskgrasses)

Figure 27. Distribution of plant species, Round Lake (2010)



Nitella sp.

Figure 28. Distribution of plant species, Round Lake (2010)



Potamogeton natans (Floating-leaf pondweed)



Appendix C
Review of Round Lake Water Quality

Page left intentionally blank



Appendix C

Review of Lake Water Quality

Table of Contents

Introduction.....	1
Temperature	1
Dissolved Oxygen.....	2
Water Clarity.....	2
Turbidity	3
Water Color.....	3
Water Level.....	4
User Perceptions	4
Chlorophyll <i>a</i>	4
Phosphorus.....	5
Trophic State.....	6
Nitrogen	7
Chloride	8
Sulfate	8
Conductivity.....	8
pH.....	9
Alkalinity	9
Hardness.....	10
Calcium and Magnesium Hardness	10
Sodium and Potassium.....	11
Dissolved Organic Carbon.....	12
Silica	12
Aluminum	12
Iron.....	12
Manganese	12
Sediment	13
Total Suspended Solids.....	13
Aquatic Invasive Species	13
Resources	14

Page left intentionally blank



Review of Round Lake Water Quality


Prepared by Angie Stine, B.S., and Caitlin Clarke, B.S., White Water Associates, Inc.

Introduction

Round Lake is a 173 acre drainage lake with a maximum depth of 25 feet. The WBIC is 2334900. For the purpose of this review, we took data from the WDNR SWIMS database collected in 1984 and 1985 by Northern Lakes Monitoring; Macrophytes as Bacteria data in 1999, 2000, 2003; Secchi depth data was collected from Landsat satellite in 2000, 2004, 2007, 2009 to 2012, 2014 to 2016 (WDNR 2018); and data from White Water Associates Inc. collected in 2010 and 2016. Aquatic Invasive Species (AIS) were monitored in August, 2003, in December, 2005, July, 2010, August, 2013, and July 2016. A loon watch was conducted in April, 2008, 2009, and 2010.


Temperature

Measuring the temperature of a lake at different depths will determine the influence it has on the physical, biological, and chemical aspects of the lake. Lake water temperature influences the rate of decomposition, nutrient recycling, lake stratification, and dissolved oxygen (D.O.) concentration. Temperature can also affect the distribution of fish species throughout a lake. Figure 1 indicates that the lake stratified between 3 and 5 meters in July and August. Figure 2 shows the lake in October, 1985 had no stratification, which is normal at that time of year.



Dissolved Oxygen

The dissolved oxygen content of lake water is vital in determining presence of fish species and other aquatic organisms. Dissolved oxygen also has a strong influence on the chemical and physical conditions of a lake. The amount of dissolved oxygen is dependent on the water temperature, atmospheric pressure, and biological activity. Oxygen levels are increased by aquatic plant photosynthesis, but reduced by respiration of plants, decomposer organisms, fish, and invertebrates. The amount of dissolved oxygen available in a lake, particularly in the deeper parts of a lake, is critical to overall health. Figure 2 shows 1984, 2010 and 2016 oxygen levels were near zero at 5 meters. In October, 1985, dissolved oxygen levels ranged from 8.1 mg/L at 1 meter to 7 mg/L at 9 meters deep.




Water Clarity

Water clarity has two main components: turbidity (suspended materials such as algae and silt) and true color (materials dissolved in the water) (Shaw et al., 2004). Water clarity gives an indication of the overall water quality in a lake. Water clarity is typically measured using a Secchi disk (black and white disk) that is lowered into the water column on a tether. In simple terms, the depth at which the disk is no longer visible is recorded as the Secchi depth.

All years Secchi depths were taken from Landsat satellite (WDNR, March 2018) except in 1985, Secchi depths were taken by Northern Lake Monitoring, and in 2010 and 2016 White Water Associates took the Secchi depth. Figure 3 indicates that the Secchi depths have varied over the years. In 2016 the mean Secchi disk reading was 6.9 feet (min of 5.2 and max of 9 feet) which classifies Round Lake as “fair” with respect to water clarity (Table 1).

Table 1. Water clarity index (Shaw et al., 2004).

Water clarity	Secchi depth (ft.)
Very poor	3
Poor	5
Fair	7
Good	10
Very good	20
Excellent	32



Turbidity

Turbidity is another measure of water clarity, but is caused by suspended particulate matter rather than dissolved organic compounds (Shaw et al., 2004). Particles suspended in the water dissipate light and reduce the depth at which the light can penetrate. This affects the depth at which plants can grow. Turbidity also affects the aesthetic quality of water. Water that runs off the watershed into a lake can increase turbidity by introducing suspended materials. Turbidity caused by algae is the most common reason for low Secchi readings (Shaw et al., 2004). In terms of biological health of a lake ecosystem, measurements less than 10 Nephelometric Turbidity Units (NTU) represent healthy conditions for fish and other organisms. No turbidity data was collected for Round Lake, and future water quality sampling should include measurements of this parameter.

Water Color

Color of lake water is related to the type and amount of dissolved organic chemicals. Its main significance is aesthetics, although it may also influence light penetration and in turn affect aquatic plant and algal growth. Many lakes have naturally occurring color compounds from decomposition of plant material in the watershed (Shaw et al., 2004). Units of color are determined from the platinum-cobalt scale and are therefore recorded as Pt-Co units. Shaw states that a water color between 0 and 40 Pt-Co units is low.

Round Lake had two color samples completed. On October 3, 1985, the color level was 50 Pt-Co and on August 18, 2003, the level was 15 Pt-Co. Wisconsin Natural Lakes mean for color is 35 units and for Northeastern Wisconsin Lakes it is 46 units.

Water Level


When volunteers collect Secchi depth readings, they also record their perceptions of the lake level as “high,” “normal,” or “low.” Lake level data was not collected for Round Lake.

User Perceptions

When Secchi depth readings are collected, the volunteers record their perceptions of the water, based on the physical appearance and the recreational suitability. These perceptions can be compared to water quality parameters to see how the lake user would experience the lake at that time. When interpreting the transparency data, we see that when the Secchi depth decreases, the rating of the lake’s physical appearance also decreases. These perceptions were not recorded for Round Lake, so future sampling should include recording of this parameter.

Chlorophyll *a*

Chlorophyll *a* is the photosynthetic pigment that makes plants and algae green. Chlorophyll *a* in lake water is therefore an indicator of the amount of algae. Chlorophyll *a* concentrations greater than 10 µg/L are perceived as a mild algae bloom, while concentrations greater than 20 µg/L are perceived as a nuisance. Chlorophyll *a* was monitored in Round Lake three times (Figure 4). Figure 4 indicates the chlorophyll *a* levels are low at the times sampled in Round Lake.



Phosphorus

In more than 80% of Wisconsin's lakes, phosphorus is the key nutrient affecting the amount of algae and plant growth. If phosphorus levels are high, excessive aquatic plant growth can occur.

Phosphorus originates from a variety of sources, many of which are related to human activities. Major sources include human and animal wastes, soil erosion, detergents, septic systems and runoff from farmland or lawns (Shaw et al., 2004). Phosphorus provokes complex reactions in lakes. An analysis of phosphorus often includes both soluble reactive phosphorus and total phosphorus. Soluble reactive phosphorus dissolves in the water and directly influences plant growth (Shaw et al., 2004). Its concentration varies in most lakes over short periods of time as plants take it up and release it. Total phosphorus is considered a better indicator of a lake's nutrient status than soluble reactive phosphorus because its levels remain more stable (Shaw et al., 2004). Total phosphorus includes soluble phosphorus and the phosphorus in plant and animal fragments suspended in lake water. Ideally, soluble reactive phosphorus concentrations should be 10 µg/L or less at spring turnover to prevent summer algae blooms (Shaw et al., 2004). A concentration of total phosphorus below 20 µg/L for lakes should be maintained to prevent nuisance algal blooms (Shaw et al., 2004). Round Lake's total phosphorus levels were below nuisance levels in 2003 and 2010 (Figure 5). In 1985, the total phosphorus exceeded 20 µg/L. Figure 6 classifies Round Lake as "fair" in 1985, and "good" in 2003 and 2010, with respect to phosphorus.






Figure 6. Total phosphorus concentrations for Wisconsin’s natural lakes and impoundments (Shaw et al., 2004).



Trophic State

Trophic state is another indicator of water quality (Carlson, 1977). Lakes can be divided into three categories based on trophic state – oligotrophic, mesotrophic, and eutrophic. These categories reflect a lake’s nutrient and clarity levels (Shaw et al., 2004).

Figure 7 illustrates the Trophic State Index for Round Lake, using chlorophyll *a* and total phosphorus. The TSI shows Round Lake to be “mesotrophic” (Table 2).



30-40	Oligotrophic: clear, deep water; possible oxygen depletion in lower depths; few aquatic plants or algal blooms; low in nutrients; large game fish usual fishery
40-50	Mesotrophic: moderately clear water; mixed fishery, esp. panfish; moderate aquatic plant growth and occasional algal blooms; may have low oxygen levels near bottom in summer
50-60	Mildly Eutrophic: decreased water clarity; anoxic near bottom; may have heavy algal bloom and plant growth; high in nutrients; shallow eutrophic lakes may have winterkill of fish; rough fish common
60-70	Eutrophic: dominated by blue-green algae; algae scums common; prolific aquatic plant growth; high nutrient levels; rough fish common; susceptible to oxygen depletion and winter fishkill
70-80	Hypereutrophic: heavy algal blooms through most of summer; dense aquatic plant growth; poor water clarity; high nutrient levels

(WDNR 2018a)

Researchers use various methods to calculate the trophic state of lakes. Common characteristics used to determine the state are: total phosphorus (important for algae growth), chlorophyll *a* (a measure of algae present), and Secchi disk readings (an indicator of water clarity) (Shaw et al., 2004) (Table 3).

Table 3. Trophic classification of Wisconsin Lakes based on chlorophyll *a*, water clarity measurements, and total phosphorus values (Shaw et al., 2004).

Trophic class	Total phosphorus µg/L	Chlorophyll <i>a</i> µg/L	Secchi Disk (ft.)
Oligotrophic	3	2	12
	10	5	8
Mesotrophic	18	8	6
	27	10	6
Eutrophic	30	11	5
	50	15	4

Nitrogen

Nitrogen is second only to phosphorus as an important nutrient for aquatic plant and algae growth (Shaw et al., 2004). Human activities on the landscape greatly influence the amount of nitrogen in a lake. Nitrogen may come from lawn fertilizer, septic systems near the lake, or from agricultural activities in the watershed. Nitrogen may enter a lake from surface runoff or groundwater sources.

Nitrogen exists in lakes in several forms. Round Lake was analyzed for total Kjeldahl Nitrogen on October 3, 1985 (0.7 mg/L), and August 18, 2003 (0.3 mg/L); for nitrate-nitrite on October 3, 1985 (0.02 mg/L), August 18, 2003 (<0.034 mg/L) and on July 6, 2010 when it was not detected; for ammonia on October 3, 1985 (0.11 mg/L); and for inorganic nitrogen on August 17, 1999 (<0.034 mg/L). Nitrogen is a major component of all organic (plant and animal) matter. Decomposing organic matter releases ammonia, which is converted to nitrate if oxygen is present (Shaw et al., 2004). All inorganic forms of nitrogen can be used by aquatic plants and algae (Shaw et al., 2004). If inorganic forms of nitrogen exceed 0.3 mg/L in spring, there is sufficient nitrogen to support summer algae blooms (Shaw et al., 2004). Elevated concentrations of ammonium, nitrate, and nitrite, derived from human activities, can

stimulate or enhance the development, maintenance and proliferation of primary producers (phytoplankton, benthic algae, macrophytes), contributing to the widespread phenomenon of the cultural eutrophication of aquatic ecosystems (Camargo et al., 2007). The nutrient enrichment can cause important ecological effects on aquatic communities, since the overproduction of organic matter, and its subsequent decomposition, usually lead to low dissolved oxygen concentrations in bottom waters, and sediments of eutrophic and hypereutrophic aquatic ecosystems with low turnover rates (Camargo et al., 2007).

Chloride


The presence of chloride (Cl^-) where it does not occur naturally indicates possible water pollution (Shaw et al., 2004). Chloride does not affect plant and algae growth and is not toxic to aquatic organisms at most of the levels found in Wisconsin (Shaw et al., 2004). Round Lake was analyzed for chloride on October 3, 1985, with a value of 0.9 mg/L. Chloride concentrations in Round Lake are well below the generalized distribution gradient of chloride found in surface waters in Wisconsin.

Sulfate

Sulfate in lake water is primarily related to the types of minerals found in the watershed, and to acid rain (Shaw et al., 2004). Sulfate concentrations are noted to be less than 10 mg/L in Vilas County (Lillie and Mason, 1983). The sample taken in October, 1985 indicates that sulfate concentrations in Round Lake are low (3.8 mg/L).


Conductivity

Conductivity is a measure of the ability of water to conduct an electric current. Conductivity is reported in micromhos per centimeter ($\mu\text{mhos/cm}$) and is directly related to the total dissolved inorganic chemicals in the water. Usually, values are approximately two times the water hardness, unless the water is receiving high concentrations of human-induced contaminants (Shaw et al., 2004). Conductivity in Round Lake was around 120 $\mu\text{mhos/cm}$ in 1985, but remained around 117 $\mu\text{mhos/cm}$ in 1999 and 2003 (Figure 8).



pH

The acidity level of a lake's water regulates the solubility of many minerals. A pH level of 7 is considered neutral. The pH level in Wisconsin lakes ranges from 4.5 in acid, bog lakes to 8.4 in hard water, marl lakes (Shaw et al., 2004). Natural rainfall in Wisconsin averages a pH of 5.6. Some minerals become available under low pH (especially aluminum, zinc, and mercury) and can inhibit fish reproduction and/or survival. Mercury and aluminum are not only toxic to many kinds of wildlife, but also to humans (especially those that eat tainted fish). The pH scale is logarithmic, so every 1.0 unit change in pH increases the acidity tenfold. Water with a pH of 6 is 10 times more acidic than water with pH of 7. A lake's pH level is important for the release of potentially harmful substances and affects plant growth, fish reproduction and survival. A lake with neutral or slightly alkaline pH is a good lake for fish and plant survival. Figure 9 indicates that Round Lake is alkaline (pH higher than 7).



Alkalinity

Alkalinity levels in a lake are affected by the soil minerals, bedrock type in the watershed, and frequency of contact between lake water and these materials (Shaw et al., 2004). Alkalinity is important in a lake to buffer the effects of acidification from the atmosphere. Acid rain has long been a problem with lakes that have low alkalinity levels and high potential sources of acid deposition. Alkalinity on Round Lake was sampled on August 8, 2003, with a value of 55 mg/L CaCO_3 . Based on this value, Round Lake is not sensitive to acid rain, although new samples should be collected (Table 4).

<i>Sensitivity to acid rain</i>	<i>Alkalinity value (mg/L or ppm CaCO₃)</i>
High	0-2
Moderate	2-10
Low	10-25
Non-sensitive	>25



Hardness

Hardness levels in a lake are affected by the soil minerals, bedrock type in the watershed, and frequency of contact between lake water and these materials (Shaw et al., 2004). One method of evaluating hardness is to test for calcium carbonate (CaCO₃). Round Lake was analyzed for total hardness on in October, 1985 and had a value of 58.9 mg/L CaCO₃. The surface water of Round Lake can be categorized as “soft water” (Table 5).

Soft water	0-60
Moderately hard water	61-120
Hard water	121-180
Very hard water	>180

Calcium and Magnesium Hardness

The carbonate system provides acid buffering through two alkaline compounds: bicarbonate and carbonate. These compounds are usually found with two hardness ions: calcium and magnesium (Shaw et al., 2004). Calcium is the most abundant cation found in Wisconsin lakes. Its abundance is related to the presence of calcium-bearing minerals in the lake watershed (Shaw et al., 2004). Aquatic organisms such as native mussels use calcium in their shells. The aquatic invasive zebra mussel tends to need calcium levels greater than 20 mg/L to maintain shell growth. Round Lake’s calcium levels were near the threshold necessary for zebra mussels, which indicate they could flourish (Figure 10). Figure 11 shows that magnesium levels in Round Lake remained near 4 mg/L.



Sodium and Potassium

Sodium and potassium are possible indicators of human pollution in a lake, since naturally occurring levels of these ions in soils and water are very low. Sodium is often associated with chloride and gets into lakes from road salting, fertilizations, and human and animal waste (Shaw et al., 2004). Potassium is the key component of commonly-used potash fertilizer, and is abundant in animal waste. Both of these elements are held by soils to a greater extent than is chloride or nitrate; therefore, they are not as useful as indicators of pollution impacts (Shaw et al., 2004). Although not normally toxic themselves, they provide

a strong indication of possible contamination by more damaging compounds (Shaw et al., 2004). Sodium (2 mg/L) and potassium (1 mg/L) were tested on October 3, 1985.

Dissolved Organic Carbon

Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC) is a food supplement, supporting growth of microorganisms, and plays an important role in global carbon cycle through the microbial loop (Kirchman et al., 1991). In general, organic carbon compounds are a result of decomposition processes from dead organic matter such as plants. When water contacts highly organic soils, these components can drain into rivers and lakes as DOC. DOC is also extremely important in the transport of metals in aquatic systems. Metals form extremely strong complexes with DOC, enhancing metal solubility while also reducing metal bioavailability. Baseflow concentrations of DOC in undisturbed watersheds generally range from 1 to 20 mg/L carbon. Because DOC was not tested for Round Lake, future water quality sampling should include measurement of this parameter.

Silica

The earth's crust is abundant with silicates or other compounds of silicon. The water in lakes dissolves the silica and pH can be a key factor in regulating the amount of silica that is dissolved. Silica concentrations are usually within the range of 5 to 25 mg/L. Generally lakes that are fed by groundwater have higher levels of silica. The silica level in Round Lake was not collected, and should be included in the next sampling of water quality.

Aluminum

Aluminum occurs naturally in soils and sediments. In low pH (acidic) environments aluminum solubility increases greatly. With a low pH and increased aluminum values, fish health can become impaired. This can have impacts on the entire food web. Aluminum also plays an important role in phosphorus cycling in lakes. When aluminum precipitates with phosphorus in lake sediments, the phosphorus will not dissolve back into the water column as readily. On October 3, 1985 aluminum was 25 µg/L.

Iron

Iron also forms sediment particles that bind with and store phosphorus when dissolved oxygen is present. When oxygen concentration gets low (for example, in winter or in the deep water near sediments) the iron and phosphorus dissolve in water. This phosphorus is available for algal blooms. Because iron levels are not known for Round Lake, future water sampling should include measurement of this parameter.

Manganese

Manganese is a mineral that occurs naturally in rocks and soil. In lakes, manganese is usually in particulate form. When the dissolved oxygen levels decrease, manganese can convert from an insoluble form to soluble ions. A manganese concentration of 0.05 mg/L can cause color and staining problems.

Because manganese levels are not known for Round Lake, future water sampling should include measurement of this parameter.

Sediment

Lake bottom sediments are sometimes analyzed for chemical constituents that they contain. This is especially true for potentially toxic metals such as mercury, chromium, selenium, and others. Lake sediments also tend to record past events as particulates settle down and become part of the sediment.

Biological clues for the historic conditions in the lake can be gleaned from sediment samples. Examples include analysis of pollen or diatoms that might help understand past climate or trophic states in the lake. Sediment data was not collected for Round Lake, and future sampling should include this parameter.

Total Suspended Solids

Total suspended solids are all particles suspended in lake water. Silt, plankton, and wastes are examples of these solids and can come from runoff of agricultural land, erosion, and can be produced by bottom-feeding fish. As the suspended solid levels increase, they absorb heat from sunlight which can increase the water temperature. They can also block the sunlight that plants need for photosynthesis. These events can in turn affect the amount of dissolved oxygen in the lake. Lakes with total suspended solids levels less than 20 mg/L are considered “clear,” while levels between 40 and 80 mg/L are “cloudy.” Total suspended solids have not been tested in Round Lake. Future water quality sampling should include this parameter.

Aquatic Invasive Species

Eurasian water milfoil, curly-leaf pondweed, and purple loosestrife were monitored in Round Lake on August 1, 2003, and there were no populations found.

On December 31, 2005, an aquatic invasive species incident report was filed on the finding of a Chinese mystery snail. In December, 1998, a report was filed for the presence of rusty crayfish.

On July 7, 2010, AIS monitoring was conducted in search of the spiny water flea, fish hook water flea, and zebra mussel veligers. It was concluded that there weren't any in the population found in Round Lake. The University of Wisconsin-Madison's Aquatic Invasive Species Smart Prevention program classifies Round Lake as “Borderline Suitable” for zebra mussels, based on calcium and conductivity levels found in the lake (UW-Madison).

On August 1, 2013, AIS monitoring was conducted in search of various aquatic invasives including spiny water fleas and zebra mussel veligers. There was report of the Chinese mystery snail along with the rusty crayfish being found.

On July 28, 2016, AIS monitoring was conducted in search of various aquatic invasive species. The Chinese mystery snail, rusty crayfish and also purple loosestrife was found.

Resources

Camargo, Julio A., Álvaro Alonso (Lead Author); Raphael D. Sagarin (Topic Editor). 02 April 2007.

Inorganic nitrogen pollution in aquatic ecosystems: causes and consequences. In: Encyclopedia of Earth. Eds. Cutler J. Cleveland (Washington, D.C.: Environmental Information Coalition, National Council for Science and the Environment). Retrieved January 24, 2012.

<http://www.eoearth.org/article/Inorganic_nitrogen_pollution_in_aquatic_ecosystems:_causes_and_consequences>

Carlson, R.E. 1977. *A Trophic State Index for Lakes*. *Limnology and Oceanography*. 22: 361-369.

Kirchman, David L.; Suzuki, Yoshimi, Garside, Christopher, Ducklow, Hugh W. (15). 1991. *High turnover rates of dissolved organic carbon during a spring phytoplankton bloom*. *Nature* 352 (6336): 612–614. Doi:10.1038/352612a0. Retrieved 2012.

<<http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v352/n6336/abs/352612a0.html>>

Lillie, R. A. and J. W. Mason. 1983. *Limnological Characteristics of Wisconsin Lakes*. Wis. Dept. of Natural Resources Tech. Bull. Page 138. Madison, WI.

Shaw, B. Mechenich, C, and Klessig, L. 2004. *Understanding Lake Data (G3582)*. Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System. Madison, WI.

University of Wisconsin-Madison, Center for Limnology, Vander Zanden Lab. 2018. *Aquatic Invasive Species Smart Prevention*. Retrieved 2018. <<http://www.aissmartprevention.wisc.edu/>>

Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. March 2018. *Remote Sensing – Satellite Paths*. Retrieved 2018. <<http://dnr.wi.gov/lakes/CLMN/remotesensing/paths.aspx>>

Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. March 2018a. *Surface Water Integrated Monitoring Systems (SWIMS) Database*. Retrieved 2018. <<http://dnr.wi.gov/topic/surfacewater/swims/>>



Appendix D
Round Lake EPA Littoral and Shoreline Survey

Page left intentionally blank



Appendix D


Round Lake EPA Littoral and Shoreline Survey

Introduction

Round Lake's littoral and shoreline zones were assessed in 2016 by White Water field biologists using the US Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) National Lakes Assessment (NLA) protocol and the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR) Supplemental Lakeshore Assessment protocol. The intention of the National Lakes Assessment (NLA) project was to provide a comprehensive assessment for lakes, ponds, and reservoirs across the United States (USEPA, 2009). This assessment at Round Lake will stand as a baseline against which future changes can be measured and can be used to compare Round Lake with other lakes measured using the same protocols.

Methods

Ten physical habitat (P-Hab) stations were spaced equidistantly around the lake (Figure 1 and 2). At each site, biologists recorded information about the littoral zone bottom substrate, littoral zone aquatic macrophytes (plants), littoral zone fish cover, riparian zone canopy, understory and ground cover, shoreline substrates, human influences, classification of fish habitat, bank features, any invasive species observed (terrestrial or aquatic), land cover, human development and the number of piers between sites.



At each P-Hab site, biologists collected macroinvertebrates for later identification. A fecal indicator sample was collected at one site to be analyzed for levels of *E. coli*.




Figure 2. Dimensions and layout of a P-Hab station.

Results

The average depth of the ten stations was 3.9 feet (the range was from 1.5 to 10 feet). No surface film was observed at any of the ten stations.

Table 1 contains the littoral zone bottom substrate data collected from the ten Round Lake sampling stations. Bedrock was not observed as a bottom substrate at any station. Boulders were present at two of the ten stations. Cobble was present at three stations. Gravel was present at three stations. Sand was present at six stations. Silt, clay and muck were encountered at nine stations. Woody debris was present at all ten stations. The color of the sediment appeared brown at all ten stations. No odor was detected at any station.

Station	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
Bedrock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Boulders	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Cobble	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	3	0
Gravel	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0
Sand	4	3	0	4	1	0	2	3	0	0
Silt, Clay, Muck	1	1	4	1	3	4	3	3	0	4
Woody Debris	2	1	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	1
Color	Brown	Brown	Brown	Brown	Brown	Brown	Brown	Brown	Brown	Brown
Odor	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None

Bedrock (>4000mm); Boulders (250-4000mm); Cobble (64-250mm); Gravel (2-64mm); Sand (0.02-2mm); Silt, Clay, or Muck (<0.06mm, not gritty). 0=Absent (0%); 1=Sparse (<10%); 2=Moderate (10-40%); 3=Heavy (40-75%); 4=Very Heavy (>75%)

Table 2 presents the observations made on aquatic macrophytes in the littoral zone. Submergent macrophytes were observed at eight of ten stations. Emergent macrophytes were observed at nine stations as heavy and very heavy coverage. Seven of the ten stations had floating macrophytes present. Total macrophyte cover had heavy (two stations), and very heavy (seven stations) coverage. Macrophytes extended lakeward at nine of the ten stations.

Table 2. USEPA Habitat Characterization – Littoral Zone Aquatic Macrophytes.										
Station	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
Submergent	1	1	3	2	3	0	1	2	0	3
Emergent	3	4	3	3	3	4	4	3	0	4
Floating	1	0	3	1	0	2	2	1	0	3
Total Aquatic Macrophyte Cover	3	4	4	4	3	4	4	4	0	4
Do macrophytes extend lakeward from plot?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
0=Absent (0%); 1=Sparse (<10%); 2=Moderate (10-40%); 3=Heavy (40-75%); 4=Very Heavy (>75%)										

Littoral zone fish cover observations are presented in Table 3. Aquatic and/or inundated herbaceous vegetation was observed at nine stations, having coverage's of heavy (one station) and very heavy (eight stations). Woody debris and snags greater than 0.3 meters in diameter were observed at two stations and had sparse coverage. Woody brush/woody debris less than 0.3 meters in diameter was found at all ten stations and had sparse (six stations) and moderate (four stations) coverage. Inundated live trees (greater than 0.3 meters in diameter) were observed at one station with sparse coverage. Overhanging vegetation within one meter of the surface was observed at five stations. Ledges or sharp drop-offs and boulders were not observed at any stations. Boulders were observed at one station with moderate coverage. Finally, human structures (such as docks, landings, etc.) were not observed at any of the ten stations.

Table 3. USEPA Habitat Characterization – Littoral Zone Fish Cover.										
Station	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
Aquatic & Inundated Herbaceous Cover	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	0	4
Woody Debris/Snags >0.3 m dia.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
Woody Brush/ Woody Debris <0.3 m dia.	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	1
Inundated Live Trees >0.3 m dia.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Overhanging veg. w/in 1 m of surface	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	1	2	1
Ledges or Sharp Drop-offs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Boulders	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Human Structures (docks, landings, etc.)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0=Absent (0%); 1=Sparse (<10%); 2=Moderate (10-40%); 3=Heavy (40-75%); 4=Very Heavy (>75%)										

Table 4 shows observations made at the riparian zone canopy (>5 meters high), understory (0.5 to 5 meters), and ground cover (<0.5 meters). Mixed (conifer and deciduous) canopy type was observed at seven of the ten stations and deciduous canopy type was observed at one station. The coverage of big trees (>0.3 meters diameter) was observed at eight stations with sparse (four stations), moderate (three stations) and heavy (one station). The coverage of small trees (<0.3 meters diameter) was sparse (three stations), moderate (three stations), and heavy (three stations). In the understory, mixed coverage type (six stations), deciduous type (one station), and Coniferous (one station) were observed. Coverage of understory woody shrubs and saplings was sparse (two stations), moderate (one station), heavy (four stations) and very heavy (three stations). Understory tall herbs, grasses, and forbs were present at seven stations with sparse (four stations) and moderate (three stations) coverage. Ground cover of woody shrubs and saplings were observed at eight stations with coverages of sparse (seven stations) and moderate (one station). Groundcover herbs, grasses, and forbs were observed at all ten stations with sparse (one station), moderate (five stations) and heavy (four stations) coverage. Standing water or inundated vegetation was observed at six stations with sparse (three stations), moderate (two stations), and heavy (one station) coverage. Barren, bare dirt or buildings were observed at two stations having sparse and moderate coverage.

Table 4. USEPA Habitat Characterization – Riparian Zone.										
Station	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
CANOPY (>5 m high)										
Type	Dec	Mix	Dec	Mix	Mix	None	Mix	Mix	Mix	Mix
Big Trees (Trunk >0.3 m dia.	2	1	0	1	1	0	1	2	3	2
Small Trees (Trunk <0.3 m dia.	1	2	2	3	3	0	3	2	1	1
UNDERSTORY (0.5 to 5 m high)										
Type	Mix	Mix	Mix	Mix	Mix	Dec	Mix	Con	Mix	Mix
Woody Shrubs and Saplings	4	3	3	4	3	4	3	2	1	1
Tall Herbs, Grasses, Forbes	2	2	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	1
GROUND COVER (<0.5 m high)										
Woody Shrubs and Saplings	1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	2	1
Herbs, Grasses and Forbes	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	1	2
Standing Water/ Inundated Veg.	2	2	1	1	0	3	1	0	0	0
Barren, Bare Dirt, or Buildings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1
0=Absent (0%); 1=Sparse (<10%); 2=Moderate (10-40%); 3=Heavy (40-75%); 4=Very Heavy (>75%); Mix = Mixed conifer and deciduous; Dec = Deciduous										

Table 5 presents observations recorded on the riparian shoreline substrate zone. Bedrock was not observed at any of the ten stations. Boulders were moderate in coverage at one station. Cobble substrate was observed at three stations with coverages of moderate (two stations) and heavy (one station). Gravel substrate was observed at three stations and was moderate in coverage. Sand substrate was observed at six stations and was moderate (one station), heavy (three stations), and very heavy (two stations). Silt, clay, or muck substrate was observed at eight stations and had sparse (three stations), moderate (one station), and very heavy (three stations) coverage. Woody debris was observed at all ten stations with sparse (seven stations) and moderate (three stations) coverage. Vegetation or other was observed at nine stations with very heavy coverage.

Station	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
Bedrock	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Boulders	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
Cobble	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	0
Gravel	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0
Sand	4	3	0	4	2	0	3	3	0	0
Silt, Clay, Muck	1	0	4	1	4	4	1	2	0	4
Woody Debris	1	1	1	2	2	1	1	1	2	1
Vegetation or other	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	0	4

0=Absent (0%); 1=Sparse (<10%); 2=Moderate (10-40%); 3=Heavy (40-75%); 4=Very Heavy (>75%)

Observations of human influence in the riparian zone are shown in Table 6. Human influence was low. Buildings were observed outside the plot at one station. Park facilities/manmade beach were located outside the plot at one station. Docks or boats were observed outside the plot at two stations. Roads or railroads were observed outside the plot at one station. All other human influences (commercial development, walls, dykes, revetments, landfill/trash, row crops, pasture/range/hayfield, orchards, and lawns) were not observed at any of the ten stations.

Station	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
Buildings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	P	0	0
Commercial	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Park Facilities/ manmade beach	0	0	0	0	0	0	P	0	0	0
Docks/Boats	0	0	0	0	0	0	P	P	0	0
Walls, dykes, revetments	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Landfill/Trash	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Roads or Railroad	0	0	0	0	0	0	P	0	0	0
Row crops	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pasture/Range/Hayfield	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Orchard	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lawn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

0 = Not Present; P = Present outside plot; C = Present within plot

Table 7 reports the observations made on littoral fish macrohabitat classification. Human disturbance was not observed. Cover class was patchy (one station), continuous (eight stations), and no/little (one station). Cover type was recorded as woody and vegetated at nine stations and boulder/woody at one station. Substrate was sand/gravel at four stations, mud/muck at four stations, and cobble/boulder at two stations.

Station	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
Human Disturbance	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Cover Class	Patchy	Cont	Cont	Cont	Cont	Cont	Cont	Cont	No/Lit	Cont
Cover Type	Woody Veg	Woody Veg	Woody Veg	Woody Veg	Woody Veg	Woody Veg	Woody Veg	Woody Veg	Boulder Woody	Woody Veg
Dominant Substrate	S/G	C/B	M/M	S/G	M/M	M/M	S/G	S/G	C/B	M/M

Mod = Moderate; Cont = Continuous Cover; Art = Artificial; No/Lit = No or Little Cover; Bould = Boulder; Veg = Vegetation; M/M = Mud/Muck; C/B = Cobble/Boulder; S/G = Sand/Gravel

Plot bank features are presented in Table 8. Bank angle was considered flat at seven stations, gradual at two stations, steep at one station. The vertical height from waterline to the high water mark was 0.05 or 0.06 meters. The horizontal distance from waterline to the high water mark was considered zero at all sites except Site G had 0.05 meters.

Station	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
Angle	Flat	Flat	Flat	Flat	Grad	Flat	Flat	Grad	Steep	Flat
Vertical Height (m) to HWM	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06
Horizontal Distance (m) to HWM	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00

HWM = High Water Mark; Flat = <5 °; Grad = Gradual (5-30 °); Steep (30-75 °); NV= Near Vertical/undercut (>75°)

Table 9 displays the invasive plant and invertebrate species found in Round Lake. Chinese mystery snail was present at five stations.

Table 9. USEPA Habitat Characterization – Invasive Plant and Invertebrate Species.										
Station	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
Target Invasive Species in Littoral Plot	CMS	CMS	None	CMS	CMS	None	None	None	None	CMS
Target Invasive Species in Shore-line/Riparian Plot	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Target Invasive Species include: Zebra or Quagga Mussel, Eurasian Water-milfoil, Hydrilla, Curly Pondweed, African Waterweed, Brazilian Waterweed, European Water Chestnut, Water Hyacinth, Parrot Feather, Yellow Floating Heart, Giant Salvinia, Purple Loosestrife, Knotweed (Giant or Japanese), Hairy Willow Herb, Flowering Rush, Other Banded Mystery Snail (BMS) and Chinese Mystery Snail (CMS)										

The WDNR Supplemental Methodology data are presented in Tables 10 and 11. Table 10 shows seventy-two pieces of small woody material (>5cm diameter) were counted. Sixty pieces of large woody material were found at nine stations. None of the five target invasive species (Japanese stiltgrass, reed canary grass, Phragmites, cattails, or yellow iris) were observed.

Table 10. WDNR Supplemental Methodology– Wood and Invasive Plant Species.										
Station	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
Wood: >5cm diameter	10	12	2	10	5	2	3	9	14	5
Wood: >10cm diameter	18	5	0	6	7	2	0	12	7	3
Invasive: Japanese stiltgrass	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Invasive: Reed canary grass	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Invasive: Phragmites	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Invasive: Cattails	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Invasive: Yellow Iris	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Chinese mystery snail presences see Table 9.										

Table 11 tabulates that riprap (one station) were found in Round Lake. Seawalls and artificial beaches were not present on the study plots. Lawns were observed in the riparian plot at two sites and the upland plot at four stations. Residences were observed in the upland plot of one station. Commercial buildings were not observed. Structures were observed in the upland plot of one station. There were no boat lifts, swim rafts, or docks observed at any of the stations. The WDNR protocol called for counting piers between each of the ten stations. Three piers were counted between stations on the perimeter of Round Lake.

Table 11. WDNR Supplemental Methodology– Land cover, Human Development, and Piers.										
(1 number given for riparian plot; if 2 numbers, 1 st for riparian plot & 2 nd for upland plot)										
Station	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
LANDCOVER Key: 0 (0-1%), 1 (>1-10%), 2 (>10-40%), 3 (>40-75%), 4 (>75%)										
Seawall	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Rip Rap	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Artificial beach	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Lawn	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Pavement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
HUMAN DEVELOPMENT										
Residences	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0/1	0	0
Commercial buildings	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Structures (sheds/boat houses)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0/1	0	0
Boat lifts	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Swim rafts	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Docks	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NUMBER OF PIERS BETWEEN STATIONS										
From:	A-B	B-C	C-D	D-E	E-F	F-G	G-H	H-I	I-J	J-A
Count	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0

The USEPA protocol called for a composite sample of aquatic benthic macroinvertebrates, combining net sweeps from each station into one sample. Table 12 provides the identified invertebrate taxa and counts of individuals by taxa for the composite sample. A total of twenty-eight taxa and 968 individual organisms were identified.

Table 12. Composite Benthic Macroinvertebrate Sample from Round Lake.			
Taxon	Count	Taxon	Count
Nematomorpha	1	Hemiptera (aquatic bugs): Corixidae (6) and Gerridae (4)	10
Annelida: Hirudinea (3), Oligochaeta (30)	33	Trichoptera (caddisflies): Helicopsychidae (1), Hydroptilidae (4), Leptoceridae (6), and Polycentropodidae (1)	12
Crustacea: Amphipoda (292), Isopoda (67)	359	Coleoptera (aquatic beetles): Dytiscidae (5 larvae), Elmidae (1), Gyrinidae (3 larvae), and Psephenidae (1 adult)	10
Arachnoidea: Hydracarina	42	Diptera (true flies): Ceratopogonidae (8), Chaoboridae (2), and Chironomidae (162)	172
Ephemeroptera (mayflies): Baetidae (24), Caenidae (45), and Ephemerellidae (1)	70	Mollusca: Gastropoda: Acella haldemani (3), Ancyliidae (3), Bithyniidae (43), Physidae (45), Planorbidae (49), and Plueroцерidae (2)	145
Anisoptera (dragonflies): Aeshnidae (2), and Libellulidae (1)	3	Mollusca: Pelecypoda: Sphaeriidae	10
Zygoptera (damselflies): Coenagrionidae	1	Total Taxa	868

Finally, the USEPA protocol called for a fecal indicator sample at the final sampling station (Station J). The collected sample was analyzed for *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*). The *E. coli* analysis resulted in “no detection” of CFU (Colony Forming Units) per 100 milliliters of sample. To place this value in context, the USEPA recommends a water quality advisory (for swimming) when a level of the indicator bacterium *E. coli* exceeds a limit is 235 CFU per 100 milliliters of water.

Table 13 indicates the coordinates of Stations A-J. A photo was taken at each of the ten stations. The station photos are displayed below.

<i>Station</i>	<i>Latitude</i>	<i>Longitude</i>
A	46.1703727	-89.7162677
B	46.1732997	-89.7152737
C	46.1751547	-89.7121478
D	46.1761127	-89.7084839
E	46.1748187	-89.7043251
F	46.1718177	-89.7039801
G	46.1689887	-89.705958
H	46.1678987	-89.7098859
I	46.1686697	-89.7142037
J	46.1682607	-89.7177636

Station A – Round Lake

Photograph taken 6/16/2016, White Water Associates, Inc.



Station B – Round Lake

Photograph taken 6/16/2016, White Water Associates, Inc.



Station C – Round Lake

Photograph taken 6/16/2016, White Water Associates, Inc.



Station D – Round Lake

Photograph taken 6/16/2016, White Water Associates, Inc.



Station E – Round Lake

Photograph taken 6/16/2016, White Water Associates, Inc.



Station F – Round Lake

Photograph taken 6/16/2016, White Water Associates, Inc.



Station G – Round Lake

Photograph taken 6/16/2016, White Water Associates, Inc.



Station H – Round Lake

Photograph taken 6/16/2016, White Water Associates, Inc.



Station I – Round Lake

Photograph taken 6/16/2016, White Water Associates, Inc.



Station J – Round Lake

Photograph taken 6/16/2016, White Water Associates, Inc.



Literature Cited

United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). 2009. *National Lakes Assessment: A Collaborative Survey of the Nation's lakes*. EPA 841-R-09-001. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Water and Office of Research and Development, Washington, D.C. Retrieved 2016. <water.epa.gov/type/lakes/upload/nla_newlowres_fullrpt.pdf>