Targeted Runoff Management Program (TRM) Grant Application – CY 2008 Funding

Form 8700-300 (R 1/07)

Page 1 of _

Notice: This document was drafted by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. Application is hereby made to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, Bureau of Watershed Management, for grant assistance consistent with s. 281.65, Wis. Stats., and Chapter NR 153 and NR 154, Wis. Adm. Code. Collection of this information is authorized under the authority of s. 281.65, Wis. Stats. The information contained in this form will be used for program budget analysis and project evaluation in the Targeted Runoff Management Grant Program. Personally identifiable information collected will be used for program administration and may be made available to requesters as required under Wisconsin's Open Records Law [ss. 19.31 - 19.39, Wis. Stats.]. Unless otherwise noted, all citations refer to Wisconsin Administrative Code.

Instructions: Complete all sections as applicable.

		Applica	nt Information				
Governmental Unit Applying: (name	e & type)) (example: Madi	son, Town of)				
Lincoln, County of							
Name of Authorized Representative	(First, L	.ast)	Name of Governmental Contact Perso	n (First La	ast) (if different)		
Diane Hanson		Eric Johnson					
Title			Title				
County Conservationist			Conservation Specialist				
Area Code + Telephone Number			Area Code + Telephone Number				
715-536-0363			715-536-0363				
Area Code + Fax Number			Area Code + Fax Number				
715-536-0398			715-536-0398				
E-Mail Address			E-Mail Address				
dhanson@co.lincoln.wi.us			ejohnson@co.lincoln.wi.us				
Mailing Address - Street or Route			Mailing Address - Street or Route				
1106 E. Eighth Street		1106 E. Eighth Street	5				
City	State	Zip Code	City	State	Zip Code		
Merrill	wi	54452	Merrill	WI	54452		
Consulting Firm Name (if applicable)						
N/S							
Consulting Contact Person Name							
N/A							
Title							
N/A							
Area Code + Telephone Number			DNR Use O	nly			
N/A							
Area Code + Fax Number							
N/A							
E-Mail Address							
N/A							
Mailing Address - Street or Route							
N/A							
City	State	Zip Code					
N/A	N/A	N/A					
		Projec	t Information				

A. Project Name

Hans Breitenmoser, Jr. - Animal Waste Storage System

B. Project Area Location

County

Lincoln

TRM Grant Application – CY 2008 Funding

Form 8700-300 (R 1/07)

TRM Grant Project Name

Page ____ of __

Hans Breitenmoser, Jr. - Animal Waste Storage System

Project Information (continued)

Minor Civil Division Name (city, village, town, etc. – ex. Wrightstown, Village of)	Township (N)	Range (E/W)	Section	Quarter	Quarter- Quarter	Latitude (North)	Longitude (West)
Scott, Town of	T31N	R6E	19	NE	NE	45-09-41.28625	89-46-42.34513

Method for Determining Latitude & Longitude (check one)

GPS GPS

DNR WebView or Surface Water Data Viewer

Other (specify):

C. Project Summary

Hans Breitenmoser, Jr. owns and operates a 350 cow dairy operation located in the Devil Creek Watershed on soils with steep slopes and shallow bedrock. The dairy operation currently has an animal waste storage facility that was installed prior to the Lincoln County Animal Waste Management Ordinance.

The manure storage system is undersized for the current animal waste production and the Breitenmosers are switching from mattresses to sand bedding which has caused overflow concerns. In addition, the existing animal waste storage facility does not have a liner that meets the current standards and specifications and does not allow for the removal of sand. In addition, runoff from the existing barnyard is currently not captured or treated which is creating additional water quality concerns. Therefore, there is a need to capture the barnyard runoff to the animal waste storage facility, increase the capacity of the existing animal waste storage facility to prevent overflow and ensure that the facility meets the NRCS Technical Standards and Specification.

D. W	/atersh	ed & V	Naterbody (see Atta	chment A)		
Watershed Name		Watershed Code		Primary Waterbody		
Devil Creek Watershed			atershed	CW 28		Devil Creek
			ject is in more than o iciency street sweep		eparate applicat	tion for each watershed, unless this application
Yes	No					
		E. P	Project Target			
\boxtimes		1.	The project will con	trol agricultural runoff.		
	\boxtimes	2.	The project will con	trol urban runoff.		
		F. R	equest for Funding	for "Total Maximum Da	ily Load" Imple	ementation
	\boxtimes	1.	1 0 0	5 5		BMPs) which will directly implement the pollutant- or an EPA-approved Total Maximum Daily Load
			a. If yes, provide the	e title of TMDL report this	project address	es.
	\boxtimes	2.	Final reimbursemer September 20, 200	U /	mentation proje	ct costs will be requested no later than

Page ____ of ____

Hans Breitenmoser, Jr. - Animal Waste Storage System

		Project Information (continued)
		G. Request for Funding of Land Acquisition or Easements
		Requesting funding for either land acquisition or purchase of easements as part of this application to support eligible BMPs. If yes, attach the property acquisition proposal, as defined in Attachment B , to the completed application form.
		H. Request for Retroactive Funding for Design Costs
	\square	Requesting reimbursement for design costs that have been or will be incurred before issuance of the grant. I. Request for Funding for Force Account Work
	\boxtimes	Requesting reimbursement for technical services to be performed by governmental unit staff (force account).
		J. Endangered and Threatened Resources, Historic Properties, and Wetlands
		Check the appropriate box for each question based on what the <u>governmental unit knows</u> to occur where the project disturbs land. If you have no evidence of the items below, check "No."
\boxtimes		 There are endangered or threatened resources, as identified in s. 29.604, Wis. Stats., and ch. NR 27 in the project area.
\boxtimes		 There are archaeological sites, historical structures, burial sites, or other historic places identified in s. 44.45, Wis. Stats., in the project area.
	\boxtimes	3. There are wetlands in the project area that are governed by water quality standard provisions of ch. NR 103.
		K. Environmental Contamination
\boxtimes		The applicant is aware of environmental contamination of the soil and/or groundwater or potential for contamination in the project area.
		L. Urban Projects Only: Pro-rating for Existing versus New Development
		Project will serve existing development only. If no, provide attachments and the following:
		100% Percentage of total design volume that will be generated by <u>existing</u> development. (change default % if necessary)
		M. Urban Projects Only: Alternative Funding Possibility
		This applicant requests that the DNR also submit a copy of this application to the Clean Water Fund loan program.

		roject Name enmoser, Jr Animal Waste Sto	orage System			
			Part I. Screening	g Req	uirements	
Yes ⊠	No □	A. Map An 8.5" x 11" topographic ma attached.	ap from USGS or th	e DNR	data/map viewers, showing the pr	oject area, is
		B. Best Management Practice (see Attachment D for addit			IR Funding Is Requested (check	all that apply)
		Practice	Wis. Adm. Code	,	Practice	Wis. Adm. Code
	\boxtimes	Manure Storage Systems	NR 154.04(3)		Riparian Buffers	NR 154.04(25)
		Manure Storage System Closure	NR 154.04(4)		Roofs	NR 154.04(26)
		Barnyard Runoff Control Systems	NR 154.04(5)		Roof Runoff Systems	NR 154.04(27)
		Access Roads & Cattle Crossings	NR 154.04(6)		Sediment Basins	NR 154.04(28)
		Animal Trails and Walkways	NR 154.04(7)		Shoreline Habitat Restoration	NR 154.04(29)
		Critical Area Stabilization	NR 154.04(10)		for Developed Areas	
		Diversions	NR 154.04(11)		Sinkhole Treatment	NR 154.04(30)
		Field Windbreaks	NR 154.04(12)		Subsurface Drains	NR 154.04(33)
		Filter Strips	NR 154.04(13)		Terrace Systems	NR 154.04(34)
		Grade Stabilization	NR 154.04(14)		Underground Outlets	NR 154.04(35)
		Heavy Use Area Protection	NR 154.04(15)	\boxtimes	Waste Transfer Systems	NR 154.04(36)
		Lake Sediment Treatment	NR 154.04(16)		Wastewater Treatment Strips	NR 154.04(37)
		Livestock Fencing	NR 154.04(17)		Water and Sediment Control	NR 154.04(38)
		Livestock Watering Facilities	NR 154.04(18)		Basins	
		Milking Center Waste Control Systems	NR 154.04(19)		Waterway Systems	NR 154.04(39)
		Prescribed Grazing	NR 154.04(22)		Well Decommissioning	NR 154.04(40)
		Relocating or Abandoning Animal Feeding Operations	NR 154.04(23)		Wetland Development or Restoration	NR 154.04(41)
		Urban BMPs: NR 154.04(42)			mbank and Shoreline Protection: I	NR 154.04(31)
		Detention Basin			Stream Crossing	
		Wetland Basin			Streambank/Shoreline Rip-rappir	D
		Filtration Practice			Streambank/Shoreline Shaping 8	
		Infiltration Practice			Streambank/Shoreline Fencing	-
		Accelerated or High-efficiency Street Sweeping System			Other Streambank/Shoreline Pro (incl. bio-engineering) - specify be	

Other (specify)

Hans Breitenmoser, Jr. - Animal Waste Storage System

Part I. Screening Requirements (continued)

	C.	Filters Note: eligible	You m	nust be able to answer "Yes" to questions 1 grant.	-5 and "Yes" (or "N/A" (I	Not Applicable) to question 6 to be	
Yes	No							
\boxtimes		1.	Proj	t will be completed within 24 months of the start of the grant period.				
\boxtimes		2.		f and contractors designated to work on this prience to implement the proposed project.	nd contractors designated to work on this project have adequate training, knowledge, and ence to implement the proposed project.			
\boxtimes		3.	Staf	for contractual services, in addition to thos	e funded by th	nis grant,	will be provided if needed.	
\square		4.	cons	management practices constructed under sistent with) agricultural and non-agricultura chment E)	•			
\square		5.		local DNR Regional Nonpoint Source Coor project:	dinator (see A	Attachme	nt C) has been contacted about	
			N	lame of the Regional Nonpoint Source	Date			
				Coordinator Contacted	Contacted		Subject of Contact	
			Tom	Blake	2/14/07	review	meeting date of March 8 th to grant applications and discuss details.	
Yes	No	N/A			4			
			6.	If this is an application to construct ponds waterway or wetland permit (chs. 30 or 28 If yes, give the docket number and date of	31, Wis. Stats			
				Docket Number	i issualice.		Date of Issuance	
							Date of issuance	

If you answered "No" to one or more of the items in question C above, stop here. The project is ineligible.

Yes	D. Eli No	gibilit	y: Reason For Controlling Nonpoint Source Pollution In The Target Area
\bowtie		1.	The need for compliance with performance standards established by the DNR in ch. NR 151.
		2.	The existence of nonpoint-source-impaired water bodies that the DNR has identified to the U.S. EPA under 33 USC 1313 (d)(1)(A), commonly referred to as the "303(d) List."
		3.	The existence of outstanding or exceptional resource waters, as designated by the DNR in ss. NR 102.10 and NR 102.11.
		4.	Other water quality concerns of statewide or national significance. (<u>Important</u> : You may only check this box, if you are eligible to score 10 points in Part II, Question #4 "Basin Priorities" of this application.)
\bowtie		5.	The existence of threats to public health.
		6.	The existence of an animal feeding operation that has received a notice of discharge (NOD) under ch. NR 243 or a notice of intent (NOI) to issue a notice of discharge.

If you answered "Yes" to one or more of the items in question D above, continue to Part II. Otherwise, stop here. The project is ineligible.

TRM Grant Application – CY 2008 Funding Form 8700-300 (R 1/07)

Page ____ of ____

TRM Grant Project Name

Hans Breitenmoser, Jr. - Animal Waste Storage System

Part II. Minimum Qualifications

Question 1. Fiscal Accountability A. Timeline and Source of Staff

For each applicable milestone listed below, fill in the appropriate data:

(month/year)	
1 1 0 0 0 -	
April 2007	Lincoln County Land Conservation Staff
January 2008	Lincoln County Land Conservation Staff
Ongoing (2007-2008)	Lincoln County Land Conservation Staff
January 2008	Lincoln County Land Conservation Staff
February 2008	Lincoln County Land Conservation Staff and
-	landowner
March 2008	Tom Blake, DNR Rhinelander
April 2008	Lincoln County Land Conservation Staff,
-	landowner, contractor and DNR
July 2008	Contractors, landowner and Lincoln County
-	Land Conservation staff
September 2008	Lincoln County Land Conservation Staff and
	NRCS or DATCP engineers
October 2008	Lincoln County Land Conservation Staff and
	NRCS or DATCP engineers
N/A	¥
	Ongoing (2007-2008) January 2008 February 2008 March 2008 April 2008 July 2008 September 2008 October 2008

B. Adequate Financial Budget

Provide the following information for the project. The grant amount is capped at \$150,000.

FINANCIAL BUDGET TABLE

Α	В	С
Project Activity for Which <u>DNR Funding</u> is Requested	Estimated Total Cost (\$)	Amount from Column B Eligible for DNR Cost Sharing (\$)
Construction Components:		
Concrete Lined Animal Waste Storage Facility	341,233	341,233
Waste Transfer System	18,690	18,690
	_	
1. Construction Subtotal	\$359,923	\$359,923
2. Engineering Services (including design)	\$0	\$0
3. Storm Sewer Reroute (Urban projects only)	\$0	\$0
4. Structure Removal (Urban projects only)	\$0	\$0
5. Subtotal [add rows 1-4]	\$359,923	\$359,923
6. Property Acquisition: Fee Title & Easement	\$0	\$0
7. Grand Total [add rows 5 & 6]	\$359,923	\$359,923

Page ____ of ____

TRM Grant Project Name

Hans Breitenmoser, Jr. - Animal Waste Storage System

Part I. Screening Requirements (continued)

FUIII 6700-300 (K 1/07

TRM Grant Project Name

Page ____ of ____

Hans Breitenmoser, Jr. - Animal Waste Storage System

Part II. Minimum Qualifications (continued)

Cost-Sharing Worksheet

Eligible Costs:

Multiply the eligible costs (column C) by the percent for proration (if applicable) and the applicable cost-share rate. Enter the result in the column on the right.

		Prorate %	Cost-Share %	
8. Construction, engineering services, etc. (if other	percent, specify)	100%	70%	\$ 251,946
Costs Specific to Agricultural Projects:				
9. Land Purchase (Fee Title)	\$ 0	-	50%	\$ 0
10. Agricultural Easements	\$ 0	-	70%	\$ 0
Costs Specific to Urban Projects:		_		
11. Property Acquisition: Fee Title & Easement	\$	100%	50%	\$ 0
12. Storm Sewer Rerouting		100%	50%	\$ 0
13. Structure Removal		100%	50%	\$ 0
14. Total Eligible Costs [sum (8) through (13)]				\$ 251,946
Cap Test:				
15. Maximum State Share [Lesser of (14) or \$150,0	000]			\$ 150,000
State & Local Share:				
16. Requested State-Share Amount (Requested Gra	ant Amount)			\$ 0
17. Local-Share Amount [(7), column B less (16)]				\$ 359,923

Method(s) Used to Calculate Cost Estimates

Costs were estimated based on preliminary designs and applying average cost ranges from similar practices bid out and installed in previous years. In addition, preliminary bid estimates were received from contractors.

C. Cost-Effectiveness

- 1. Tangible Benefits
 - a. Primary Benefit:

List the nonpoint source pollutants to be controlled by the project.

This project will prevent the delivery of animal waste to surface water and ground water.

b. Secondary Benefits:

Which of the following secondary benefits will be achieved by implementing this project? (check all that apply)

- Fish and wildlife habitat enhancement
- Enhancements to recreation
- Public safety

 \boxtimes

- Economical operation, economical maintenance and enhanced life expectancy of the BMP
 - Other (specify): Full capture of animal waste generated on the farm to allow for better utilization of nutrients to be spread on the cropland according to a Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan.

Hans Breitenmoser, Jr. - Animal Waste Storage System

Page ____ of __

Part II. Minimum Qualifications (continued)

2. Cost-Effectiveness

Explain why the proposed project is cost-effective considering the environmental benefit(s) and cost of the project.

The project will provide total containment of animal waste that is generated into a long term animal waste storage facility that is designed and installed to meet all applicable standards and specifications. All wastes will be applied according to a Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan. Based on the shallow bedrock, steep slopes and proximity to an unnamed tributary to Devil Creek, long term storage and proper application of the waste will improve and protect the surface water and groundwater resources.

- Yes No 3. Alternatives
 - a. There is more than one way to achieve the benefits checked above. If no, go to part b.
 - 1) If **yes**, complete the following table with information for the alternative you have chosen and one or two other alternatives. Note that the table requires information about the cost and pollutant load/potential reductions.

	Alternatives Analysis						
	A	В	С	D			
		Cost	Effectiveness				
	Alternative	Estimated Amount	Estimated % of Pollutant Load Reduction	(B ÷ C) Cost-Effectiveness			
1		\$	%				
2		\$	%				
3		\$	%				

2) If the applicant is not choosing the alternative with the lowest ratio of cost to pollutant load/potential reductions, explain why it was not chosen in terms of any of the following: feasibility, secondary benefits potential, or other mitigating factors.

b. If the answer to part 3.a. was **no**, explain why there is no other reasonable alternative to achieve the reduction in pollutant loading/potential or the secondary benefits checked above.

The existing storage facility was not built in compliance to the NRCS standards and specifications. In order to comply with standards and specifications, provide for adequate long term storage, and improve and protect the ground water and surface water; the current animal waste storage facility needs to be repaired to meet the current standards and specifications. The animal waste storage facility needs to be expanded to provide adequate storage capacity for current animal units and lined to meet NRCS standards and specifications.

 \boxtimes

Page ____ of ____

TRM Grant Project Name Hans Breitenmoser, Jr. - Animal Waste Storage System

Part II. Minimum Qualifications (continued)

Question 2. Project Evaluation Strategy

The applicant must agree to provide a description of the modeled results or changes in pollution potential in the final project report. The project evaluation strategy will be based on comparing pre- and post-project changes in modeled pollutant loading to water resources or will be based on the quantity of units managed.

Modeling & Measures of Change Α.

Pre- and post-project evaluation measures that the applicant will use to ensure success in meeting project goals: (check all that apply)

	Agricultural Performance Standard or Prohibition	Units of Measure	Recommended Measurement Method	
]	Sheet, rill and wind erosion	Acres meeting T	RUSLE-2 or wind erosion mode	
1	Manure Storage Facilities: New	Number of facilities	count	
	Construction/Alterations	Number of animal units	count	
	Manure Storage Facilities: Closure	Number of facilities	count	
	Manure Storage Facilities: Failing/Leaking Facilities	Number of facilities	count	
		Number of animal units	count	
	Clean Water Diversions in WQMA	Pollutant load reduction	BARNY Model	
		Number of farms with diversions	count	
		Number animal units	count	
	Nutrient Management on Agricultural Land	Acres planned	count	
	Prohibition: Manure Storage Overflow	Number of facilities	count	
		Number of animal units	count	
	Prohibition: Unconfined Manure Pile in WQMA	Number of farms	count	
	Prohibition: Direct Runoff From Feedlot/Stored Manure	Pollutant load reduction	BARNY Model	
		Number of facilities	count	
		Number of animal units	count	
	Prohibition: Unlimited Livestock Access	Feet of bank protected	count	
		Number of farms	count	
	Other Priority for Agricultural Area	•	•	
	Buffers	Feet of bank protected	CREP formula	
		Number of farms	count	
	Streambank	Tons of bank erosion reduced	NRCS bank erosion formula	
		Feet of bank protected	count	
	Other (specify)			
	Priority for Developed Urban Area			
	20-40% Reduction in Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	Pounds TSS reduced	SLAMM, P-8	
		% TSS reduction]	
	Infiltration	% Pre-development stay-on volume	Recarga, SLAMM, P-8	
		Cubic feet stay-on volume		
	Peak flow discharge	Change in cubic feet per second	TR-55 or equivalent	
	Protective areas	Feet of bank protected	count	
	Fueling & maintenance areas	Oily sheen presence	visual assessment	
	Streambank	Tons of bank erosion reduced	NRCS bank erosion formula	
		Feet of bank protected	count	
	Other (specify)			

 \boxtimes

- **Monitoring** (not eligible for cost sharing at this time)
 - The project evaluation strategy will provide pre- and post-project information from water resource monitoring. If "Yes," check all that apply below.
- The project will evaluate the physical habitat, fisheries, biological, or chemical conditions.
- A one-page summary of the monitoring strategy is attached.

Form 8700-300 (R 1/07)

Page ____ of _

TRM Grant Project Name Hans Breitenmoser, Jr. - Animal Waste Storage System

Part II. Minimum Qualifications (continued) C. Yes No Additional Monitoring \boxtimes The applicant is willing to participate with the Department to do monitoring in the project area should funding become available. **Question 3. Evidence of Local Support** The level of local support that currently exists for the proposed project. **Agricultural Projects:** Yes No Government Α. 1. <u>Regulatory Situations</u> If yes to <u>both</u> items (A.1.a & A.1.b) below, go to Question 4. Otherwise, continue to part A2 of this question. \boxtimes At least 75% of the total project cost is attributed to the resolution of a Notice of Discharge (NOD) or a a. Notice of Intent to Issue an NOD (NOI) under ch. NR 243 or non-compliance with agricultural performance standards and prohibitions under subch. II of NR 151 or a local regulation. \boxtimes At least one of the following is attached to this application form: b. 1. copy of the NOI issued under NR 243, or 2. copy of the NOD issue under NR 243, or copy of letter signed by DNR stating that DNR will issue an NOI or NOD under NR 243 if cost 3. sharing is provided, or copy of letter signed by DNR and the county that a notice, under s. NR 151.09 or 151.095, will be 4. issued if necessary, or copy of letter signed by the county that the local regulation will be enforced at the project site. 5. 2. **Non-Regulatory Situations** a. The governmental unit has developed: \square a detailed pollution control plan with the landowners that identifies specific best management i. practices (BMPs). ii. general assessments of the pollution sources within the project area. \square The governmental unit has contacted the landowner(s)/land operator(s) about the proposed BMP b. installations. If yes, provide details.

Yes	No	В.	Landowners & Partners				
		1.	Level of Landowner Participation				
\boxtimes		a.	A majority of the affected landowners/land operators have specifically indicated that they will sign a cost- share agreement (CSA) to install the practices requested in this grant application.				
\boxtimes		b.	A majority of the affected landowners/land operators have indicated a general interest to participate in the project.				
\boxtimes		c.	Letters of support for the project from affected landowners/land operators are attached.				
		2.	Involvement of Partners				
\boxtimes		a.	Partners, in addition to the unit of government (applicant) and landowner, have committed resources (materials, equipment, staff or financial resources) towards the BMP installation, maintenance, or evaluation of the project.				
			If yes, list the project partner(s).				
			Natural Resource Conservation Service				

b. Letters of support from the project partner(s) are attached.

 \square

TRM Grant Project Name Hans Breitenmoser, Jr.

Page ____ of ____

		Hans Breitenmoser, Jr Animal Waste Storage System							
	Part II. Minimum Qualifications (continued)								
		Urban Projects:							
Yes	No	A. Government							
	_	1. The local-share funds for the construction/installation expenses:							
		a. are already included specifically in an <u>adopted</u> budget.							
		b. will be included in a <u>proposed</u> budget.							
		2. The governmental unit has already conducted public information activities within the project area for this practice.							
		If yes, provide details on the opportunity for public reaction the governmental unit provided and indicate th general public support or non-support for the project that was indicated.	1e						
Yes	No	 B. Landowners 1. The governmental unit: 							
		a. already owns, or holds an easement for, the land on which the project is to be installed.							
		b. is submitting with the application a list of landowners, occupants, or tenants that occupy the property and							
		information indicating each party's willingness to sell or ease the necessary parcel.							
		2. Evidence of citizen (non-governmental) support for the project (such as letters from the neighborhood association, a civic group or an environmental organization voicing support) is attached.							
Ques	tion 4.	Basin Priorities (check one, A-H)							
	Α.	Clean Water Act s. 303(d) List of Impaired Waters							
		Project with water quality goals directly dealing with a waterbody (lake or stream) on the latest Clean Water Act (CWA) s. 303(d) List of impaired waters, where the cause of the water quality impairment is nonpoint source pollution, <u>and</u> will reduce the type of nonpoint source pollutants for which the water is listed.							
	В.	Outstanding and Exceptional Resource Waters							
		Waterbody is included in s. NR 102.10 (Outstanding Resource Waters) and/or s. NR 102.11 (Exceptional Resource Waters).							
\boxtimes	C.	NPS Rankings							
		Project is located in a large-scale watershed, a small-scale watershed, lake watershed, or other area ranked high or medium on the NPS Rankings List, where the goals of the project are directly associated with the reason for the ranking on the NPS Rankings List.							
	D.	Amendment of the NPS Rankings List Using State of the Basin Reports							
		Project is located within a watershed ranked low or not ranked on the NPS Rankings List, but information in a DNR State of the Basin report indicates a need to amend the NPS Rankings List because the stream, stream segment, or lake is being affected by nonpoint sources of pollution.							
	Ε.	Amendment of the NPS Rankings List Using Other Data Sources							
		Project is located within a watershed ranked low or not ranked on the NPS Rankings List, but adequate data exists to request a ranking of high or medium for a waterbody that that is being affected by nonpoint sources of pollution.							
	F.	Sources of Information for Areas Not Included in State of the Basin Reports							
	For some border waters, there is no State of the Basin report (i.e., along the Mississippi River or the Great Lakes). For these situations, another governmental document, accepted by the Regional NPS Coordinator,								
	G.	Lakes). For these situations, another governmental document, accepted by the Regional NPS Coordinator,							
	G. H.	Lakes). For these situations, another governmental document, accepted by the Regional NPS Coordinator, can be used to classify the resource as having a significant nonpoint source pollution impairment.							

Hans Breitenmoser, Jr. - Animal Waste Storage System

Part III. Competitive Elements

Question 5. Water Quality Needs

The water quality category which best identifies the water quality goals for the project directly deals with: (check one)

Note: For border waters where a State of the Basin Report does not exist, another governmental document acceptable to the Regional Nonpoint Source Coordinator may be used to identify the water quality need.

Surface Water Considerations

\square Α. 303(d) Listed Waterbody

A waterbody (lake or stream) on the latest Clean Water Act (CWA) s. 303(d) List of impaired waters, where the cause of the water quality impairment is nonpoint source pollution, and will reduce the type of nonpoint source pollutants for which the water is listed.

Not Fully Meeting Uses \square В.

A waterbody (lake or stream) identified in a DNR State of the Basin report as not meeting or partially meeting designated uses due to nonpoint sources, but is not on the 303(d) List.

C. **Threatened Waterbody**

A waterbody (lake or stream) viewed as "threatened" by nonpoint sources in a DNR State of the Basin report.

D. **Outstanding or Exceptional Resource Waters**

Prevention of degradation due to nonpoint sources of outstanding or exceptional resource waters or high quality, recreationally significant waters, but not including waters listed as "threatened."

Surface Water Quality Ε.

Prevention surface water quality degradation due to nonpoint sources. Waters in this category are neither high quality, recreationally significant waters nor "threatened" waters.

Groundwater Considerations*

Exceeds Groundwater Enforcement Standard F. Groundwater within the project area where representative information indicates there are levels for NPS contaminants that exceed groundwater enforcement standards. G. Groundwater Quality The project area is within a geological area defined in s. NR 151.015(18) as susceptible to groundwater contamination. See Attachment G. н. **Exceeds Groundwater Preventive Action Limit** Groundwater within the project area where representative information indicates there are levels for NPS contaminants that exceed groundwater preventive action limits. *Work with the regional DNR drinking water and groundwater specialist or the county extension office. **Bonus Points:** Yes No \boxtimes П Water quality goals relate to the control of nonpoint source contaminants in public drinking water supplies. 1. If yes, and the source of drinking water affected by the project area is groundwater, the project protects: \square One wellhead a. OR b. More than one wellhead 2. If yes, and the source of drinking water affected by the project area is surface water, check the source water assessment area (drainage area) in which the project is located: **Pike River & Creek** Twin Rivers П Root River Kewaunee & Ahnapee Rivers Oak Creek Menominee River Milwaukee River Fish Creek

- Sauk Creek
- Sheboygan & Onion Rivers
- Manitowoc River

- St. Louis & Nemadji Rivers
- Lake Winnebago

Page ____ of ___

Hans Breitenmoser, Jr. - Animal Waste Storage System

Part III. Competitive Elements (continued)

Ques	tion 6	. Exte	nt of Pollutant Control						
Yes	No	Α.	NR 151 Agricultural Performance Standards & Prohibitions						
			The proposed project addresses at least one of the NR 151 agricultural performance standards and prohibitions. Indicate the performance standard(s) or prohibition(s) that is the focus of this project. (check al that apply)						
			a. Sheet, rill, and wind erosion. (NR 151.02)						
		\boxtimes	b. Manure storage facilities: new/significant alterations. (NR 151.05(2))						
			c. Manure storage facilities: closure. (NR 151.05(3))						
		\boxtimes	d. Manure storage facilities: existing failing/leaking. (NR 151.05(4))						
			e. Clean water diversions. (NR 151.06)						
		\boxtimes	f. Nutrient management. (NR 151.07)						
		\boxtimes	g. Prohibition: Prevention of overflow from manure storage facilities. (NR 151.08(2))						
			h. Prohibition: Prevention of unconfined manure piles in water quality management areas (within 300 ft. of stream, 1000 ft. of a lake, or areas where the groundwater is susceptible to contamination). (NR 151.08(3)						
		i. Prohibition: Prevention of direct runoff from a feedlot or stored manure into waters of the state 151.08(4))							
			j. Prohibition: Prevention of unlimited livestock access to waters of the state where high concentrations of animals prevent the maintenance of adequate sod cover or self-sustaining vegetation. (NR 151.08(5))						
Yes	No	в.	Other Water Resources Management Priority						
\boxtimes			The proposed project addresses a water resources management priority other than an NR 151 agricultural performance standard or prohibition.						
			If yes, describe the priority and how the project addresses this priority.						
			This project addresses all aspects of the Lincoln County Animal Waste Management Ordinance.						

Yes	No	C.	Plar	anning Data & Source Targeting					
	\boxtimes		seve	e applicant has quantitative planning information that ranks pollution sources from highest to lowest in verity <u>and</u> the proposed project will manage a pollution source contained in the top 50% of the ranked list.					
			a.	Description of planning da	Description of planning data				
			b.	Name of document(s)	Name of document(s)				
			C.	Date(s) published	Date(s) published				
			d.	Pertinent page numbers					
			e.	A copy of non-state docur	ment(s) is available:				
				At this website:					
				Attached to this application	on form.				
				Contact this person: Name: Phone:					

TRM Grant Project Name Hans Breitenmoser, Jr. - Animal Waste Storage System

	Part III. Competitive Elements (continued)						
Ques	Question 7. Consistency with Resource Management Plans						
Yes	No						
\boxtimes		The project implements a water quality recommendation from a locally approved resource management plan.					
		Summarize the water quality recommendation. Cite the name and date(s) of publication of the document.					
		The installation of this project will advance the goals and activities outlined in the Lincoln County Land and Water Resource Management Plan (August, 2005). Specifically, this project will facilitate the implementation of the agricultural performance standards, implementation and compliance with the Lincoln County Animal Waste Management Ordinance, and nutrient management standards.					

Quest	Question 8. Use of Additional Funding						
Yes	No						
	\boxtimes	A. The state share is below the \$150,000 cap.					
	\boxtimes	B. Funding requested is below the maximum allowable cost-share rate.					
Question 9. City of Racine							
Yes	No						
	\boxtimes	This is an application from the City of Racine for a project that is necessary for the city to comply with state storm					

water permitting requirements.

Page ____ of ____

Form 8700-300 (R 1/07)

TRM Grant Project Name

Hans Breitenmoser, Jr. - Animal Waste Storage System

Part IV. Eligibility for Multipliers

Completion of this part of the application is optional. However, an applicant can increase the final project score by qualifying for a project multiplier.

Agricultural Projects (select all that are in place as of the application submittal date)

Local Implementation Program (factor 0.1) (check all that apply) Α. Check activities listed below that are part of the local program to implement agricultural performance standards and prohibitions contained in ch. NR 151. Check all activities that apply. An activity may be checked "Yes" if either of the following is true:

- The activity is currently assigned to the applicant, or another local unit of government, in an approved Land and • Water Resources Management Plan (LWRMP), an updated LWRMP work plan or an inter-governmental agreement with the Department of Natural Resources. List the document and page number where the activity is addressed.
- The activity is not currently assigned in one of these documents, but the applicant describes, in the space provided below, who will conduct the activity.

Yes	No			Document	Page Number
\boxtimes		1.	Inform and educate landowners/operators about performance standards and prohibitions.	LWRM Plan - 2005-2010	17-20. 22- 23
\boxtimes		2.	Conduct compliance status surveys, including on-site visits, for croplands and livestock facilities and convey compliance status to landowners/operators.	LWRM Plan - 2005-2010	17-20. 22- 23
\boxtimes		3.	Discuss with landowners/operators the best management practices needed to achieve compliance with performance standards and prohibitions.	LWRM Plan - 2005-2010	17-20. 22- 23
		4.	Seek financial assistance for landowners/operators to achieve compliance with performance standards & prohibitions.	LWRM Plan - 2005-2010	17-20. 22- 23
\boxtimes		5.	Develop cost-share agreements with landowners/operators and provide them with technical assistance to achieve compliance with performance standards & prohibitions.	LWRM Plan - 2005-2010	17-20. 22- 23
		6.	Track compliance status of croplands and livestock facilities and provide compliance status information to the Department of Natural Resources upon request. This includes notifying DNR when a landowner/operator does not comply with a notice issued under NR 151.09 or NR 151.095.	LWRM Plan - 2005-2010	17-20. 22- 23
\boxtimes		7.	Provide assistance to the Department of Natural Resources to issue notices under NR 151.09 and NR 151.095.	LWRM Plan - 2005-2010	17-20. 22- 23
		8.	In situations where local regulations do not require compliance with a performance standard or prohibition, refer cases of non-compliance to the local district attorney or the Department of Natural Resources.	LWRM Plan - 2005-2010	17-20. 22- 23

Agreement (IGA) with DNR, list the activity and identify who will carry it out.

If all items (1-8) above are checked "Yes," go on to part B. Otherwise, stop here.

Page ____ of ____

Dago

TRM Grant Project Name Hans Breitenmoser, Jr Animal Waste Storage System									
				Part IV.	Eligibility for	Multipliers (cont	inued)		
B.	B. Local Enforcement Program – Scope of Local Regulations (factor 0.15) (check all that apply) The ten agricultural performance standards and prohibitions included in chapter NR 151 are listed below. For each of these performance standards and prohibitions, determine if a local regulation currently exists. If a local regulation exists, check the appropriate column based on whether the local regulation provides "full coverage" or "partial coverage" of the state standard. Definitions and examples of full coverage and partial coverage are provided in the Instructions. Title(s) of ordinance(s) for which credit is taken in this section:								
					in this section.				
	es of o Foun	rdinano nd at thi	brage Ordinar ces for which c is website (pro web page URI	redit is taken in wide http://	this section are:				
\square	Attac	ched to ady sub	this application		n.				
<u>Cove</u> [[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[[<u>Cov</u> [[[[rtial erage 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Sheet, rill and Manure Stora Manure Stora Clean Water I Nutrient Mana Prohibition: M Prohibition: U Prohibition: Di	wind erosion ge Facilities: New ge Facilities: Clos ge Facilities: Exist Diversions gement anure Storage Ov nconfined Manure	ing Failing/Leaking erflow Pile Feedlot/Stored Mar	ons	Wis. Adm. Code NR 151.02 NR 151.05(2) NR 151.05(3) NR 151.05(4) NR 151.06 NR 151.07 NR 151.08(2) NR 151.08(3) NR 151.08(4) NR 151.08(5)	
Urba	n Proj	ects (s	elect all that a	re in place as o	f the application s	ubmittal date)			
Title(s) of oi	rdinanc	e(s) for which	credit is taken i	in this section:				
	Foun most Attac	nd at this direct ched to	is website (pro web page URI this applicatio	ovide http:// L):	this section are: n.				
Yes	No □	A. 1.	Implement a			ol ordinance consis	stent with the perfo	rmance standards and	
		2.	Implement a	a pollution pre		on and education	program targeted	at residents, including	
		 property owners. 3. Implement nutrient management for municipally owned properties where nutrients are applied to at least five acres. (You may check "Yes" if this item does <u>not</u> apply.) 							
		4.	-				and storm water perm	nit activity.	
			If all ite	ems (1-4) abov	e are checked "	res," go on to part)	B. Otherwise, stop	here.	
Yes	No	в.	Local Enfor	cement Progra	am (factor .15)				
		1.	There is a s project area.		nagement ordina	nce in effect for ne	w development and	re-development in the	
		2.	The local reg	gulation require	s a written storm	water plan.			

	TRM Grant Project Name Hans Breitenmoser, Jr Animal Waste Storage System									
	Part IV. Eligibility for Multipliers (continued)									
				If items B.1. and B.2. are checked "Yes," go on to part B.3. Ot	herwise, stop here.					
		3.	curr	eck the box next to any of the listed non-agricultural performance s ently in place that requires compliance with that performance stand if the minimum applicability requirements of NR 151.12 are met.) (dard. (An item may be checked "Yes"					
Yes	No			Non-Agricultural Performance Standards	Wis. Adm. Code					
			a.	Reduce total suspended solids per	NR 151.12(5)(a)					
			b.	Reduce peak flow discharge per	NR 151.12(5)(b)					
			с.	Achieve infiltration per	NR 151.12(5)(c)					
			d.	Protect riparian areas per	NR 151.12(5)(d)					
			e.	Manage fueling and vehicle maintenance areas per	NR 151.12(5)(e)					
				Optional Additional Information						

Carefully review the answers to all of the questions above. Is there additional information that will add to the understanding of this project? If so, describe here.

Applicant Certification

An Authorized Representative must sign and date the application form prior to submittal to the DNR. All four copies must include original signatures of the Authorized Representative.

certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the information contained in this application and attachments is correct and true.							
Signature of Authorized Representative	Date Signed						
		-					
Diane Hanson County Conservationist	[name and title]						
Telephone Number 715-536-0363	Fax Number 715-536-0	0398					
E-Mail Address dhanson@co.lincoln.wi.us							

To be considered for funding, provide the following for each application submitted:

- One copy of the completed application form (DNR Form 8700-300 (R 1/07)) with original signature in blue ink
- Three additional copies of the completed, signed application form
- One electronic copy of the completed application form on CD or diskette

All application materials must be postmarked by midnight April 16, 2007.

Mailing Address 1106 E. Eighth Street Merrill WI 54452

Send to: Department of Natural Resources Attn: Kathy Thompson, WT/2 P.O. Box 7921 Madison, WI 53707-7921