State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, WT/2 PO Box 7921, Madison WI 53707-7921

Targeted Runoff Management Program (TRM) Grant Application – CY 2008 Funding

Form 8700-300 (R 1/07)

Page 1 of _

Notice: This document was drafted by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. Application is hereby made to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, Bureau of Watershed Management, for grant assistance consistent with s. 281.65, Wis. Stats., and Chapter NR 153 and NR 154, Wis. Adm. Code. Collection of this information is authorized under the authority of s. 281.65, Wis. Stats. The information contained in this form will be used for program budget analysis and project evaluation in the Targeted Runoff Management Grant Program. Personally identifiable information collected will be used for program administration and may be made available to requesters as required under Wisconsin's Open Records Law [ss. 19.31 - 19.39, Wis. Stats.]. *Unless otherwise noted, all citations refer to Wisconsin Administrative Code.*

Instructions: Complete all section	ns as a	applicable.					
Applicant Information							
Governmental Unit Applying: (name	& type)	(example: Ma	dison, Town of)				
Trempealeau County							
Name of Authorized Representative	(First, L	.ast)	Name of Governmental Co	ontact Person (First La	ast) (if different)		
David Appleyard			Same				
Title			Title				
Land Conservation Department Di	rector		Same				
Area Code + Telephone Number			Area Code + Telephone N Same	umber			
715-538-2311, Ext. 261 Area Code + Fax Number			Area Code + Fax Number				
715-538-4132			Same				
E-Mail Address			E-Mail Address				
appled@tremplocounty.com			Same				
Mailing Address - Street or Route			Mailing Address - Street or	r Route			
Courthouse, P.O. Box 67			Same				
City	State	Zip Code	City	State	Zip Code		
Whitehall	WI	54773	Same	Same	Same		
Consulting Firm Name (if applicable)	١						
Consulting Contact Person Name							
9							
Title							
THE							
Area Code + Telephone Number				DNR Use Only			
Area Code + Fax Number							
E-Mail Address			_				
Mailian Address Otrost on Douts			_				
Mailing Address - Street or Route							
		T					
City	State	Zip Code					
		Proie	ect Information				
A. Project Name							
Anderson Voluntary TRM Project							
B. Project Area Location							
County							
Trempealeau							
•							

Page	of	

Minor Civil Division Name (city, village, town, etc. – ex. Wrightstown, Village of)	Township (N)	Range (E/W)	Section	Quarter	Quarter- Quarter	Latitude (North)	Longitude (West)
						(deg-min-sec)	(deg-min-sec)
Town of Burnside	22	9W	27	NE	NWNE	44 – 21 – 38.7	91 – 27 – 24.0

Minor Civil Division Name (city, village, town, etc. – ex. Wrightstown, Village of)	Township (N)	Range (E/W)	Section	Quarter	Quarter- Quarter	Latitude (North)	Longitude (West)		
						(deg-min-sec)	(deg-min-sec)		
Town of Burnside	22	9W	27	NE	NWNE	44 – 21 – 38.7	91 – 27 – 24.0		
Method for Determining Latitude & Longitude (check one) ☐ GPS ☐ DNR WebView or Surface Water Data Viewer ☐ Other (specify):									
C. Project Summary									
The Anderson livestock fathis watershed is "High."	acility is loca	ated within	the Middle	Trempealea	au River Wa	tershed. The overa	III NPS rating for		
The Middle Trempealeau River Watershed is a closed out priority watershed project. Ed Anderson purchased this facility after the contracting phase of the project had been completed. The facility had been rated as a Category I barnyard in the watershed plan, but the prevoius owner had refused to cooperate with the priority watershed project.									
Ed Anderson recognizes that his livestock facility is a major pollution source and is anxious to correct all problems, but needs cost share assistance to do so. DNR staff have indicated a desire to issue a Notice Of Intent on this facility if County staff can secure funding.									

D.	Wate	Watershed & Waterbody (see Attachment A)								
	Wate	ershe	d Name	Watershed Code	Primary Waterbody					
	Midd	le Tr	rempealeau River	BT02	Traverse Valley Creek					
Note: If the project is in more than one watershed, submit a separate application for each watershed, unless this application is for a high-efficiency street sweeper.										
Y	es	No								
			E. Project Target							
	\boxtimes		The project will confi	trol agricultural runoff.						
		\boxtimes	2. The project will con-	trol urban runoff.						
			F. Request for Funding	for "Total Maximum Dai	y Load" Implementation					
[1. Requesting funding for eligible best management practices (BMPs) which will directly implement the pollutant-specific goals of a public comment draft (as of April 9, 2007) or an EPA-approved Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL).									
			a. If yes, provide the	e title of TMDL report this p	oroject addresses.					

Page	of
Paue	of

	Project Information (continued)								
		 Final reimbursement for eligible, TMDL implementation project costs will be requested no later than September 20, 2009. 							
		G. Request for Funding of Land Acquisition or Easements							
		Requesting funding for either land acquisition or purchase of easements as part of this application to support eligible BMPs. If yes, attach the property acquisition proposal, as defined in Attachment B , to the completed application form.							
		H. Request for Retroactive Funding for Design Costs							
	\boxtimes	Requesting reimbursement for design costs that have been or will be incurred before issuance of the grant.							
		I. Request for Funding for Force Account Work							
\boxtimes		Requesting reimbursement for technical services to be performed by governmental unit staff (force account).							
		J. Endangered and Threatened Resources, Historic Properties, and Wetlands							
		Check the appropriate box for each question based on what the governmental unit knows to occur where the project disturbs land. If you have no evidence of the items below, check "No."							
		 There are endangered or threatened resources, as identified in s. 29.604, Wis. Stats., and ch. NR 27 in the project area. 							
		2. There are archaeological sites, historical structures, burial sites, or other historic places identified in s. 44.45, Wis. Stats., in the project area.							
	\boxtimes	3. There are wetlands in the project area that are governed by water quality standard provisions of ch. NR 103.							
		K. Environmental Contamination							
	\boxtimes	The applicant is aware of environmental contamination of the soil and/or groundwater or potential for contamination in the project area.							
		L. <u>Urban Projects Only</u> : Pro-rating for Existing versus New Development							
		Project will serve existing development only. If no, provide attachments and the following:							
		Percentage of total design volume that will be generated by <u>existing</u> development. (change default % if necessary)							
		M. <u>Urban Projects Only</u> : Alternative Funding Possibility							
		This applicant requests that the DNR also submit a copy of this application to the Clean Water Fund loan program.							

Page	of
------	----

		Part I. Screening	j Neqi	ullellie	
No	A. Map An 8.5" x 11" topographic m attached.	ap from USGS or th	e DNR	data/map viewers, showing the pr	oject area, is
		•		IR Funding Is Requested (check	all that apply)
	(see Attachment D for addi	tional BMP informat	tion)		
	<u>Practice</u>	Wis. Adm. Code		<u>Practice</u>	Wis. Adm. Cod
	Manure Storage Systems	NR 154.04(3)		Riparian Buffers	NR 154.04(25)
	Manure Storage System Closure	NR 154.04(4)		Roofs	NR 154.04(26)
	Barnyard Runoff Control Systems	NR 154.04(5)		Roof Runoff Systems	NR 154.04(27)
\boxtimes	Access Roads & Cattle Crossings	NR 154.04(6)		Sediment Basins	NR 154.04(28)
	Animal Trails and Walkways	NR 154.04(7)		Shoreline Habitat Restoration	NR 154.04(29)
\boxtimes	Critical Area Stabilization	NR 154.04(10)		for Developed Areas	
	Diversions	NR 154.04(11)	☐ Sinkhole Treatment		NR 154.04(30)
	Field Windbreaks	NR 154.04(12)		Subsurface Drains	NR 154.04(33
	Filter Strips	NR 154.04(13)		Terrace Systems	NR 154.04(34
\boxtimes	Grade Stabilization	NR 154.04(14)		Underground Outlets	NR 154.04(35
\boxtimes	Heavy Use Area Protection	NR 154.04(15)		Waste Transfer Systems	NR 154.04(36
	Lake Sediment Treatment	NR 154.04(16)	\boxtimes	Wastewater Treatment Strips	NR 154.04(37
\boxtimes	Livestock Fencing	NR 154.04(17)		Water and Sediment Control	NR 154.04(38
\boxtimes	Livestock Watering Facilities	NR 154.04(18)		Basins	
	Milking Center Waste Control Systems	NR 154.04(19)		Waterway Systems	NR 154.04(39
	Prescribed Grazing	NR 154.04(22)		Well Decommissioning	NR 154.04(40
	Relocating or Abandoning Animal Feeding Operations	NR 154.04(23)		Wetland Development or Restoration	NR 154.04(41)
	Urban BMPs: NR 154.04(42)			mbank and Shoreline Protection: Ides associated fencing)	NR 154.04(31)
П	Detention Basin		()	Stream Crossing	
П	Wetland Basin		П	Streambank/Shoreline Rip-rappir	na
	Filtration Practice			Streambank/Shoreline Shaping 8	•
	Infiltration Practice		\boxtimes	Streambank/Shoreline Fencing	· · · J
	Accelerated or High-efficiency Street Sweeping System			Other Streambank/Shoreline Pro (incl. bio-engineering) - specify be	

Page	of
------	----

TRM Grant Project Name **Anderson Voluntary TRM Project**

Part I. Screening Requirements (continued)

	C.		s You must be able to answer "Yes" to questions 1 e for a grant.	-5 and "Yes" (or "N/A" (I	Not Applicable) to question 6 to be		
Yes	No							
		1.	Project will be completed within 24 months of the		-			
		2.	Staff and contractors designated to work on thi experience to implement the proposed project.	s project have	adequate	e training, knowledge, and		
\boxtimes		3.	Staff or contractual services, in addition to thos	e funded by th	nis grant,	will be provided if needed.		
		4.	Best management practices constructed under consistent with) agricultural and non-agricultural Attachment E)					
		5.	The local DNR Regional Nonpoint Source Cool this project:	rdinator (see A	Attachme	nt C) has been contacted about		
			Name of the Regional Nonpoint Source Coordinator Contacted	Date Contacted		Subject of Contact		
			Cindy Koperski	02/27/06	TRM Gr objectiv	ants/DNR water quality		
Yes	No	N/A	If this is an application to construct ponds waterway or wetland permit (chs. 30 or 20 cm).	31, Wis. Stats				
			If yes, give the docket number and date of	f issuance.				
			Docket Number			Date of Issuance		
lf you	answ	ered "	No" to one or more of the items in questi	on C above	, stop he	ere. The project is ineligible.		
	D. E	ligibilit	y: Reason For Controlling Nonpoint Source P	ollution In Th	e Target	Area		
Yes	No							
\boxtimes		1.	The need for compliance with performance stan	dards establis	hed by th	e DNR in ch. NR 151.		
		2.	The existence of nonpoint-source-impaired water bodies that the DNR has identified to the U.S. EPA under 33 USC 1313 (d)(1)(A), commonly referred to as the "303(d) List."					
		3.	The existence of outstanding or exceptional resource waters, as designated by the DNR in ss. NR 102.10 and NR 102.11.					
		4.	Other water quality concerns of statewide or national significance. (Important: You may only check this box, if you are eligible to score 10 points in Part II, Question #4 "Basin Priorities" of this application.)					
	\boxtimes	5.	The existence of threats to public health.					
		6.	The existence of an animal feeding operation that has received a notice of discharge (NOD) under ch. NR 243 or a notice of intent (NOI) to issue a notice of discharge.					

If you answered "Yes" to one or more of the items in question D above, continue to Part II. Otherwise, stop here. The project is ineligible.

Page	of	

TRM Grant Project Name **Anderson Voluntary TRM Project**

Part II. Minimum Qualifications

Question 1. Fiscal Accountability

A. Timeline and Source of Staff

For each applicable milestone listed below, fill in the appropriate data:

Milestone	Target Completion Date (month/year)	Source of Staff
Completion of design	04/08	Land Conservation Department (LCD)
Obtaining required permits	04/08	LCD-DNR-Zoning
Landowner contacts	Done	LCD
CSA signing	02/08	LCD-Landowner
Bidding	04/08	LCD
DNR approvals	03/08	LCD/DNR
Contract signing	02/08	LCD-Landowner
BMP construction	07/08	LCD-Contractor
Site inspection and certification	07/08	LCD
Project evaluation	12/09	LCD
Purchase street sweeper (urban only)		
Other (specify)		

B. Adequate Financial Budget

Provide the following information for the project. The grant amount is capped at \$150,000.

FINANCIAL BUDGET TABLE

A	В	С
Project Activity for Which <u>DNR Funding</u> is Requested	Estimated Total Cost (\$)	Amount from Column B Eligible for DNR Cost Sharing (\$)
Construction Components:		
Site Preperation	5,000	5,000
Concrete Slab	50,000	50,000
Concrete Walls	8,500	8,500
Sand sub-base	3,000	3,000
Wastewater Treatment Strips	5,000	5,000
Seeding	1,000	1,000
Critical Area Shaping	5,000	5,000
Access Roads	3,000	3,000
Watering System	1,000	1,000
Waterway	1,000	1,000
Fencing	2,000	2,000
1. Construction Subtotal	\$84,500	\$84,500
2. Engineering Services (including design)	\$4,225	\$4,225
3. Storm Sewer Reroute (Urban projects only)	\$0	\$0
4. Structure Removal (Urban projects only)	\$0	\$0
5. Subtotal [add rows 1-4]	\$88,725	\$88,725
6. Property Acquisition: Fee Title & Easement	\$0	\$0
7. Grand Total [add rows 5 & 6]	\$88,725	\$88,725

Form 8700-300 (R 1/07)

age	of	
aue	OI .	

TRM Grant Project Name
Anderson Voluntary TRM Project

Part II. Minimum Qualifications (continued)

Cost-Sharing Worksheet

Eligible Costs:

Multiply the eligible costs (column C) by the percent for proration (if applicable) and the applicable cost-share rate. Enter the result in the column on the right.

	Prorate %	Cost-Share %		
8. Construction, engineering services, etc. (if other percent,	specify) 100%	70%	\$	62,108
Costs Specific to Agricultural Projects:			, <u> </u>	
9. Land Purchase (Fee Title) \$0	-	50%	\$	0
10. Agricultural Easements \$ 0	-	70%	\$	0
Costs Specific to Urban Projects:				
11. Property Acquisition: Fee Title & Easement \$	100%	50%	\$	0
12. Storm Sewer Rerouting	100%	50%	\$	0
13. Structure Removal	100%	50%	\$	0
14. Total Eligible Costs [sum (8) through (13)]			\$	62,108
Cap Test:				
15. Maximum State Share [Lesser of (14) or \$150,000]			\$	62,108
State & Local Share:			, <u> </u>	
16. Requested State-Share Amount (Requested Grant Amou	ınt)		\$	62,108
17. Local-Share Amount [(7), column B less (16)]			\$	26,617

Method(s) Used to Calculate Cost Estimates

Based upon preliminary designs, LCD staff estimated quantities/units to be installed. Unit estimates are based upon recent competitive bids received for similar projects. If funded, this project shall be subject to the County's competitive bidding process and requirements.

C. Cost-Effectiveness

- 1. Tangible Benefits
 - a. Primary Benefit:

List the nonpoint source pollutants to be controlled by the project.

Nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment

b.	Seco	ondary Benefits:
	Whic	ch of the following secondary benefits will be achieved by implementing this project? (check all that
	apply	y)
	\boxtimes	Fish and wildlife habitat enhancement
	\boxtimes	Enhancements to recreation
		Public safety
	\boxtimes	Economical operation, economical maintenance and enhanced life expectancy of the BMP
	\boxtimes	Other (specify): Perpetual compliance with the Ag Performance Standards and Prohibitions.

Form 8700-300 (R 1/07)

Page	of	

TRM Grant Project Name
Anderson Voluntary TRM Project

Part II. Minimum Qualifications (continued)

2. Cost-Effectiveness

Explain why the proposed project is cost-effective considering the environmental benefit(s) and cost of the project.

The Trempealeau County Land Conservation Department must, by County policy and State Law, provide the least cost alternative to landowners that will control the NPS pollution source and be a feasible practice for the landowner to maintain. If a producer decides to install a practice that is not the least cost alternative, public cost share is limited to the public cost share amount associated with the least cost alternative. Public investment associated with controlling NPS sources of pollution is limited to the costs of initial control. Once an Ag Performance Standard is complied with, compliance is tracked and must be maintained without further public investment in perpetuity. The Trempealeau County LCD has been contracting designing and installing practices to control sources of NPS pollution for over 25 years. The practices listed in this TRM grant application are the least cost alternative given the site limitations.

Yes	NIa	2	Alternatives
res	Nο	J.	Alternatives

 \boxtimes

a. There is more than one way to achieve the benefits checked above. If no, go to part b.

If yes, complete the following table with information for the alternative you have chosen and one or two
other alternatives. Note that the table requires information about the cost and pollutant load/potential
reductions.

	Alternatives Analysis			
	A	В	С	D
		Cost	Effectiveness	
	Alternative	Estimated Amount	Estimated % of Pollutant Load Reduction	(B ÷ C) Cost-Effectiveness
1	Barnyards (2), Fencing	\$ 84,500	80 %	105,625
2	Relocation	\$ 100,000	80 %	125,000
3		\$	%	

²⁾ If the applicant is not choosing the alternative with the lowest ratio of cost to pollutant load/potential reductions, explain why it was not chosen in terms of any of the following: feasibility, secondary benefits potential, or other mitigating factors.

Alternative 1 is the best option because it would utilize existing facilities.

b. If the answer to part 3.a. was **no**, explain why there is no other reasonable alternative to achieve the reduction in pollutant loading/potential or the secondary benefits checked above.

Page	of	
· ago		

TRM Grant Project Name	
Anderson Voluntary TRM	Project

Part II. Minimum Qualifications (continued)

Question 2. Project Evaluation Strategy

The applicant must agree to provide a description of the modeled results or changes in pollution potential in the final project report. The project evaluation strategy will be based on comparing pre- and post-project changes in modeled pollutant loading to water resources or will be based on the quantity of units managed.

Modeling & Measures of Change

Pre- and post-project evaluation measures that the applicant will use to ensure success in meeting project goals: (check all that apply)

	Agricultural Performance Standard or Prohibition	Units of Measure	Recommended Measurement Method
\triangleleft	Sheet, rill and wind erosion	Acres meeting T	RUSLE-2 or wind erosion mode
	Manure Storage Facilities: New	Number of facilities	count
	Construction/Alterations	Number of animal units	count
	Manure Storage Facilities: Closure	Number of facilities	count
	Manure Storage Facilities: Failing/Leaking Facilities	Number of facilities	count
		Number of animal units	count
]	Clean Water Diversions in WQMA	Pollutant load reduction	BARNY Model
		Number of farms with diversions	count
		Number animal units	count
	Nutrient Management on Agricultural Land	Acres planned	count
	Prohibition: Manure Storage Overflow	Number of facilities	count
		Number of animal units	count
	Prohibition: Unconfined Manure Pile in WQMA	Number of farms	count
	Prohibition: Direct Runoff From Feedlot/Stored Manure	Pollutant load reduction	BARNY Model
		Number of facilities	count
		Number of animal units	count
	Prohibition: Unlimited Livestock Access	Feet of bank protected	count
		Number of farms	count
	Other Priority for Agricultural Area		
	Buffers	Feet of bank protected	CREP formula
		Number of farms	count
	Streambank	Tons of bank erosion reduced	NRCS bank erosion formula
		Feet of bank protected	count
	Other (specify)		
	Priority for Developed Urban Area		
	20-40% Reduction in Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	Pounds TSS reduced	SLAMM, P-8
		% TSS reduction	
	Infiltration	% Pre-development stay-on volume	Recarga, SLAMM, P-8
		Cubic feet stay-on volume	
	Peak flow discharge	Change in cubic feet per second	TR-55 or equivalent
	Protective areas	Feet of bank protected	count
	Fueling & maintenance areas	Oily sheen presence	visual assessment
	Streambank	Tons of bank erosion reduced	NRCS bank erosion formula
		Feet of bank protected	count
	Other (specify)		
8	No B. Monitoring (not eligible for cost share The project evaluation strategy will position monitoring. If "Yes," check all that appropriate the strategy will be a strategy with the strategy will be a s	rovide pre- and post-project informat	ion from water resource

	Ь.	Monitoring (not eligible for cost sharing at this time)
		The project evaluation strategy will provide pre- and post-project information from water resour monitoring. If "Yes," check all that apply below.
		The project will evaluate the physical habitat, fisheries, biological, or chemical conditions.
	\boxtimes	A one-page summary of the monitoring strategy is attached.

_		
age	of	
auc	OI .	

	Part II. Minimum Qualifications (continued)						
Yes	No	C.	Additional Monitoring The applicant is willing to participate with the Department to do monitoring in the project area should funding become available.				
Ques	tion 3.	Evide	nce of Local Support				
			evel of local support that currently exists for the proposed project.				
			cultural Projects:				
Yes	No	A. 1.	Regulatory Situations If yes to <u>both</u> items (A.1.a & A.1.b) below, go to Question 4. Otherwise, continue to part A2 of this question.				
		a.	At least 75% of the total project cost is attributed to the resolution of a Notice of Discharge (NOD) or a Notice of Intent to Issue an NOD (NOI) under ch. NR 243 or non-compliance with agricultural performance standards and prohibitions under subch. II of NR 151 or a local regulation.				
		b.	At least one of the following is attached to this application form:				
			 copy of the NOI issued under NR 243, or 				
			2. copy of the NOD issue under NR 243, or				
			copy of letter signed by DNR stating that DNR will issue an NOI or NOD under NR 243 if cost sharing is provided, or				
			 copy of letter signed by DNR and the county that a notice, under s. NR 151.09 or 151.095, will be issued if necessary, or 				
		_	5. copy of letter signed by the county that the local regulation will be enforced at the project site.				
		2. a.	Non-Regulatory Situations The governmental unit has developed:				
\boxtimes		u.	 i. a detailed pollution control plan with the landowners that identifies specific best management practices (BMPs). 				
	\boxtimes		ii. general assessments of the pollution sources within the project area.				
\boxtimes		b.	The governmental unit has contacted the landowner(s)/land operator(s) about the proposed BMP installations.				
			If yes, provide details.				
			LCD staff performed a full onsite evaluation to determine current compliance with State Ag Performance Standards. The landowner has agreed to install the practices necessary to bring this livestock facility into full compliance with all of the State Ag Performance Standards if cost share funding can be secured.				
Yes	No	B.	Landowners & Partners				
_	_	1.	Level of Landowner Participation				
		a.	A majority of the affected landowners/land operators have specifically indicated that they will sign a cost- share agreement (CSA) to install the practices requested in this grant application.				
Ш	Ш	b.	A majority of the affected landowners/land operators have indicated a general interest to participate in the project.				
	\boxtimes	c.	Letters of support for the project from affected landowners/land operators are attached.				
		2. a.	Involvement of Partners Partners, in addition to the unit of government (applicant) and landowner, have committed resources (materials, equipment, staff or financial resources) towards the BMP installation, maintenance, or evaluation of the project.				
			If yes, list the project partner(s).				
			DNR - Black River Falls staff.				
		b.	Letters of support from the project partner(s) are attached.				

age	of	
aue	OI .	

			Part II. Minimum Qualifications (continued)			
	Urban Projects:					
Yes	No	Α.	Government			
		1.	The local-share funds for the construction/installation expenses:			
		a.	are already included specifically in an adopted budget.			
		b.	will be included in a <u>proposed</u> budget.			
		The governmental unit has already conducted public information activities within the project area for practice.				
			If yes, provide details on the opportunity for public reaction the governmental unit provided and indicate the general public support or non-support for the project that was indicated.			
		_				
Yes	No	B. 1.	Landowners The governmental unit:			
П	П	a.	already owns, or holds an easement for, the land on which the project is to be installed.			
		b.	is submitting with the application a list of landowners, occupants, or tenants that occupy the property and information indicating each party's willingness to sell or ease the necessary parcel.			
		Evidence of citizen (non-governmental) support for the project (such as letters from the neighborhood association, a civic group or an environmental organization voicing support) is attached.				
Ques	Question 4. Basin Priorities (check one, A-H)					
	A.	Clean Water Act s. 303(d) List of Impaired Waters				
		Project with water quality goals directly dealing with a waterbody (lake or stream) on the latest Clean Water Act (CWA) s. 303(d) List of impaired waters, where the cause of the water quality impairment is nonpoint source pollution, <u>and</u> will reduce the type of nonpoint source pollutants for which the water is listed.				
	B.	Outstanding and Exceptional Resource Waters				
			Vaterbody is included in s. NR 102.10 (Outstanding Resource Waters) and/or s. NR 102.11 (Exceptional Resource Waters).			
\boxtimes	C.		Rankings			
		Project is located in a large-scale watershed, a small-scale watershed, lake watershed, or other area ranked high or medium on the NPS Rankings List, where the goals of the project are directly associated with the reason for the ranking on the NPS Rankings List.				
	D.	Ame	ndment of the NPS Rankings List Using State of the Basin Reports			
		Project is located within a watershed ranked low or not ranked on the NPS Rankings List, but information in a DNR State of the Basin report indicates a need to amend the NPS Rankings List because the stream, stream segment, or lake is being affected by nonpoint sources of pollution.				
	E.	Ame	ndment of the NPS Rankings List Using Other Data Sources			
		e	Project is located within a watershed ranked low or not ranked on the NPS Rankings List, but adequate data exists to request a ranking of high or medium for a waterbody that that is being affected by nonpoint sources of pollution.			
	F.	Sour	ces of Information for Areas Not Included in State of the Basin Reports			
		L	For some border waters, there is no State of the Basin report (i.e., along the Mississippi River or the Great Lakes). For these situations, another governmental document, accepted by the Regional NPS Coordinator, can be used to classify the resource as having a significant nonpoint source pollution impairment.			
	G.		ernmental Notices			
_			The applicant has checked "Yes" to both parts of Part II, Question 3, A.1.			
11	Н.	Not l	ncluded in Other Categories Above			

Page of _	_
-----------	---

TRM Grant Project Name **Anderson Voluntary TRM Project**

Part III. Competitive Elements

Question 5. Water Quality Needs

Manitowoc River

	rne w	valer quality category which best identifies the	water quality go	bals for the project <u>directly deals</u> with. (check one)			
	Note:	For border waters where a State of the Basi to the Regional Nonpoint Source Coordinate		not exist, another governmental document acceptable identify the water quality need.			
	Surfa	rface Water Considerations					
	A.	303(d) Listed Waterbody A waterbody (lake or stream) on the latest Clean Water Act (CWA) s. 303(d) List of impaired waters, when the cause of the water quality impairment is nonpoint source pollution, and will reduce the type of nonpoint source pollutants for which the water is listed.					
	B.	Not Fully Meeting Uses A waterbody (lake or stream) identified meeting designated uses due to nonpo		of the Basin report as not meeting or partially is not on the 303(d) List.			
	C.	Threatened Waterbody A waterbody (lake or stream) viewed a report.	s "threatened" b	y nonpoint sources in a DNR State of the Basin			
	D.	Outstanding or Exceptional Resource Wa Prevention of degradation due to nonpo- quality, recreationally significant waters	oint sources of c	utstanding or exceptional resource waters or high ng waters listed as "threatened."			
	E.	Surface Water Quality Prevention surface water quality degrading high quality, recreationally significant was		npoint sources. Waters in this category are neither rened" waters.			
	Grou	ndwater Considerations*					
	F.	Exceeds Groundwater Enforcement Stand Groundwater within the project area wh contaminants that exceed groundwater	nere representat	ive information indicates there are levels for NPS andards.			
	G.	Groundwater Quality The project area is within a geological area defined in s. NR 151.015(18) as susceptible to groundwater contamination. See Attachment G.					
	H.	Exceeds Groundwater Preventive Action Groundwater within the project area wh contaminants that exceed groundwater *Work with the regional DNR drinking water and g	nere representat preventive action				
Bonu	s Poin	ts:					
Yes	No						
	\boxtimes	Water quality goals relate to the control of no	onpoint source c	ontaminants in public drinking water supplies.			
	1. a.	If yes, and the source of drinking water affect One wellhead	ted by the projec	ct area is groundwater, the project protects:			
ш	ű.	OR					
	b.	More than one wellhead					
	2.	If yes, and the source of drinking water affect assessment area (drainage area) in which the	ted by the project	ct area is <u>surface water</u> , check the source water ted:			
		Pike River & Creek		Twin Rivers			
		Root River		Kewaunee & Ahnapee Rivers			
		Oak Creek		Menominee River			
		Milwaukee River		Fish Creek			
		Sauk Creek		St. Louis & Nemadji Rivers			
		Sheboygan & Onion Rivers	П	Lake Winnebago			

TRM Grant Project Name
Anderson Voluntary TRM Project

Part III. Competitive Elements (continued)

Ques	tion 6.	Exte	nt of Pollutant Control
Yes	No	A.	NR 151 Agricultural Performance Standards & Prohibitions
			The proposed project addresses at least one of the NR 151 agricultural performance standards and prohibitions. Indicate the performance standard(s) or prohibition(s) that is the focus of this project. (check all that apply)
		\boxtimes	a. Sheet, rill, and wind erosion. (NR 151.02)
			b. Manure storage facilities: new/significant alterations. (NR 151.05(2))
			c. Manure storage facilities: closure. (NR 151.05(3))
			d. Manure storage facilities: existing failing/leaking. (NR 151.05(4))
		\boxtimes	e. Clean water diversions. (NR 151.06)
		\boxtimes	f. Nutrient management. (NR 151.07)
			g. Prohibition: Prevention of overflow from manure storage facilities. (NR 151.08(2))
			h. Prohibition: Prevention of unconfined manure piles in water quality management areas (within 300 ft. of a stream, 1000 ft. of a lake, or areas where the groundwater is susceptible to contamination). (NR 151.08(3))
			 i. Prohibition: Prevention of direct runoff from a feedlot or stored manure into waters of the state. (NR 151.08(4))
			j. Prohibition: Prevention of unlimited livestock access to waters of the state where high concentrations of animals prevent the maintenance of adequate sod cover or self-sustaining vegetation. (NR 151.08(5))
Yes	No	В.	Other Water Resources Management Priority
	\boxtimes		The proposed project addresses a water resources management priority other than an NR 151 agricultural performance standard or prohibition.
			If yes, describe the priority and how the project addresses this priority.
V	NI-		Planuina Pata 6 Causa Tamatina
Yes	No	C.	Planning Data & Source Targeting The applicant has quantitative planning information that ranks pollution sources from highest to lowest in
Ш	Ш		severity <u>and</u> the proposed project will manage a pollution source contained in the top 50% of the ranked list.
			If yes, provide:
			a. Description of planning data
			b. Name of document(s)
			c. Date(s) published
			d. Pertinent page numbers
			u. Tertinent page numbers
			e. A copy of non-state document(s) is available:
			At this website: http://
			Attached to this application form.

			TRM Grant Application Form 8700-300 (R 1/07)	n – CY 2008 Funding Page of
			TRM Grant Project Name Anderson Voluntary TRN	1 Project
		Part III. Comp	etitive Elements (continu	red)
		Contact this person:	lame:	Phone:
Quest	tion 7.	Consistency with Resource Management	nt Plans	
Yes	No			
\boxtimes		The project implements a water quality re-	commendation from a locally	approved resource management plan.
	Summarize the water quality recommendation. Cite the name and date(s) of publication of the document. Trempealeau County Soil Erosion Control Plan-1984 Trempealeau County Animal Waste Management Plan-1986 Middle Trempealeau River Priority Watershed Plan-1992 Lake Marinuka Inland Lake Rehabilitation Plans 1980's and 1990's Trempealeau County Land and Water Resource Management Plan-1999/Revised 2006 Trempealeau County Division of Land Management Plan-2000/Revised 2005 All of these documents recognize that nutrients and sediments are NPS pollutants that keep the County surface waters from reaching full potential. These plans articulate activities that the County shall engagin to improve/protect the County's surface water resources by identifying sources of these pollutants and			
		providing the technical and financial re	oca. coo nococcar y to cont	
Quest	tion 8.	Use of Additional Funding		
Yes	No			
\boxtimes		A. The state share is below the \$150,000	cap.	

This is an application from the City of Racine for a project that is necessary for the city to comply with state storm water permitting requirements.

B. Funding requested is below the maximum allowable cost-share rate.

Yes

Question 9. City of Racine

No

 \boxtimes

Form 8700-300 (R 1/07)

Page	of

TRM Grant Project Name
Anderson Voluntary TRM Project

Part IV. Eligibility for Multipliers

Completion of this part of the application is optional. However, an applicant can increase the final project score by qualifying for a project multiplier.

Agricultural Projects (select all that are in place as of the application submittal date)

- A. Local Implementation Program (factor 0.1) (check all that apply)
 - Check activities listed below that are part of the local program to implement agricultural performance standards and prohibitions contained in ch. NR 151. Check all activities that apply. An activity may be checked "Yes" if <u>either</u> of the following is true:
 - The activity is currently assigned to the applicant, or another local unit of government, in an approved Land and Water Resources Management Plan (LWRMP), an updated LWRMP work plan or an inter-governmental agreement with the Department of Natural Resources. List the document and page number where the activity is addressed.
 - The activity is not currently assigned in one of these documents, but the applicant describes, in the space provided below, who will conduct the activity.

Yes	No			Document	Page Number
\boxtimes		1.	Inform and educate landowners/operators about performance standards and prohibitions.	Land & Water Resource Management (LWRM) Plan	26, 31
				Division of Land Management Plan (DLM)	23,24,31,32
				Inter-Governmental Agreement (IGA) with DNR	5, 6
		2.	Conduct compliance status surveys, including on-site visits, for croplands and livestock facilities and convey compliance	Livestock Facilities Ordinance	9,10,14
			status to landowners/operators.	DLM Plan LWRM Plan	10,20,21,26
				IGA with DNR	28 – 31 6 – 12
		3.	Discuss with landowners/operators the best management practices needed to achieve compliance with performance standards and prohibitions.	Livestock Facilities Ordinance DLM Plan	9 9,10,20,21, 25,26
				LWRM Plan	28 – 31
				IGA with DNR	11
\boxtimes		4.	Seek financial assistance for landowners/operators to achieve compliance with performance standards &	LWRM Plan DLM Plan	17,20,22,25 26
			prohibitions.	IGA with DNR	26 12 – 14
		5.	Develop cost-share agreements with landowners/operators and provide them with technical assistance to achieve compliance with performance standards & prohibitions.	IGA with DNR 5 Priority Watershed Plans, LWRM Plan, Livestock Facilities Ordinance, AG160 Soil Erosion Control Plan, AG165 Animal Waste Management Plan, DLM Plan	15 – 17 Very Many
		6.	Track compliance status of croplands and livestock facilities and provide compliance status information to the Department of Natural Resources upon request. This includes notifying DNR when a landowner/operator does not comply with a	LWRM Plan DLM Plan	28 - 31 9,10,20,21, 22,26
			notice issued under NR 151.09 or NR 151.095.	IGA with DNR	18, 19
		7.	Provide assistance to the Department of Natural Resources to issue notices under NR 151.09 and NR 151.095.	LWRM Plan IGA with DNR LCC/LCD Policy	27 14

Form 8700-300 (R 1/07)

⊃age	of	
------	----	--

	TRM Grant Project Name Anderson Voluntary TRM Project
Part IV. Eligibilit	y for Multipliers (continued)

Part IV. Eligibility for Multipliers (continued)					
\boxtimes		8.	In situations where local regulations do not require compliance with a performance standard or prohibition, refer	Livestock Facilities Ordinance	15
			cases of non-compliance to the local district attorney or the Department of Natural Resources.	IGA with DNR	14

If an item checked above is not covered by a LWRMP, an updated LWRMP work plan or an Inter-Governmental Agreement (IGA) with DNR, list the activity and identify who will carry it out.

The Trempealeau County LCD shall provide assistance to DNR to issue Notices under NR151.09 and NR151.095 in those rare instances where enforcement of the existing County regulatory authority is insufficient to address a specific situation. The LCD staff person that shall assist the DNR with the "T" and 590 Standards is Greg Leonard. The LCD staff person that shall assist DNR with animal waste prohibition standards is Carla Doelle. These assignments and others are clearly identified within the County Division of Land Management Plan and the IGA between Trempealeau County and the DNR.

If all items (1-8) above are checked "Yes," go on to part B. Otherwise, stop here.

Form 8700-300 (R 1/07)

Page	of	

TRM Grant Project Name **Anderson Voluntary TRM Project**

Part IV. Eligibility for Multipliers (continued)

B. Local Enforcement Program – Scope of Local Regulations (factor 0.15) (check all that apply)

The ten agricultural performance standards and prohibitions included in chapter NR 151 are listed below. For each of these performance standards and prohibitions, determine if a local regulation currently exists. If a local regulation exists, check the appropriate column based on whether the local regulation provides "full coverage" or "partial coverage" of the state standard. Definitions and examples of full coverage and partial coverage are provided in the Instructions.

<u>Title(s) of ordinance(s)</u> for which credit is taken in this section: **Feedlot Performance Ordinance** Copies of ordinances for which credit is taken in this section are: Found at this website (provide http://www.tremplocounty.com/landmanagement most direct web page URL): \boxtimes Attached to this application form. \boxtimes Already submitted with another application. Full Partial <u>Coverage</u> Coverage Agricultural Performance Standards & Prohibitions Wis. Adm. Code M Sheet, rill and wind erosion NR 151.02 П 1. Manure Storage Facilities: New/Significant Alterations X 2. NR 151.05(2) П \boxtimes Manure Storage Facilities: Closure П 3. NR 151.05(3) X Manure Storage Facilities: Existing Failing/Leaking 4. NR 151.05(4) \boxtimes 5. Clean Water Diversions NR 151.06 \boxtimes 6. **Nutrient Management** NR 151.07 M 7. Prohibition: Manure Storage Overflow NR 151.08(2) X 8. Prohibition: Unconfined Manure Pile NR 151.08(3) \boxtimes 9. Prohibition: Direct Runoff From Feedlot/Stored Manure NR 151,08(4) X 10. Prohibition: Unlimited Livestock Access NR 151.08(5) Urban Projects (select all that are in place as of the application submittal date) Title(s) of ordinance(s) for which credit is taken in this section: Copies of ordinances for which credit is taken in this section are: Found at this website (provide http:// most direct web page URL): П Attached to this application form. Already submitted with another application. Yes No A. Local Implementation Program (factor .1) Implement a construction site erosion control ordinance consistent with the performance standards and 1. applicability requirements of s. NR 151.11. 2. Implement a pollution prevention information and education program targeted at residents, including property owners. Implement nutrient management for municipally owned properties where nutrients are applied to at least 3. five acres. (You may check "Yes" if this item does not apply.) П 4. Track, evaluate and report to DNR the status of erosion control and storm water permit activity. If all items (1-4) above are checked "Yes," go on to part B. Otherwise, stop here. No В. Local Enforcement Program (factor .15) Yes 1. There is a storm water management ordinance in effect for new development and re-development in the project area.

The local regulation requires a written storm water plan.

Form 8700-300 (R 1/07)

Page _	of

TRM Grant Project Name	
Anderson Voluntary TRM	Project

Part IV. Eligibility for Multipliers (continued)

If items B.1. and B.2. are checked "Yes," go on to part B.3. Otherwise, stop here.

		3.	Check the box next to any of the listed non-agricultural performance standards if there is a local regulatio currently in place that requires compliance with that performance standard. (An item may be checked "Yes only if the minimum applicability requirements of NR 151.12 are met.) (check all that apply)	
Yes	No		Non-Agricultural Performance Standards	Wis. Adm. Code
			a. Reduce total suspended solids per	NR 151.12(5)(a)
			b. Reduce peak flow discharge per	NR 151.12(5)(b)
			c. Achieve infiltration per	NR 151.12(5)(c)
			d. Protect riparian areas per	NR 151.12(5)(d)
			e. Manage fueling and vehicle maintenance areas per	NR 151.12(5)(e)

Optional Additional Information

Carefully review the answers to all of the questions above. Is there additional information that will add to the understanding of this project? If so, describe here.

Trempealeau County requires Full Compliance with the Agricultural Performance Standards and Prohibitions contained within Subchapter II of NR151 through the County's Livestock Facilities Performance Standards Ordinance and Animal Waste Management Ordinance. Because Trempealeau County, in all instances, requires full compliance with all of the Agricultural Performance Standards and Prohibitions contained within Subchapter II of NR151 it is the position of Trempealeau County that the County should be awarded a multiplier of 1.25 for Part IV of the TRM Grant application. Trempealeau County provides the following rational for its position:

- The Department of Natural Resources and Trempealeau County agree that agricultural producers are required to comply with all Agricultural Performance Standards and Prohibitions contained within Subchapter II of NR151. However, this requirement, for "existing" facilities, is contingent upon offers of sufficient cost share.
- Counties are required to detail an NR151 implementation strategy in their County Land and Water Resource
 Management Plans. This strategy is required to include a definition of "Priority Farms" to identify upon which
 farms the county intends to focus its implementation efforts. The County Land and Water Resource
 Management Plan identifies "Priority Farms" as those farms that are required to comply with all Agricultural
 Performance Standards and Prohibitions as a condition of being a permitted agricultural facility (refer to page
 26 of the attached County Land and Water Resource Management Plan).
- Trempealeau County, through its Division of Land Management Plan and Land and Water Resource Management Plan, has detailed an implementation strategy that focuses on utilizing the County's regulatory authority to require full compliance with all NR151 Agricultural Performance Standards and Prohibitions contained within Subchapter II of NR151 on those agricultural facilities that are "new" and upon "existing" facilities that are "expanding" (refer to pages 24-28 of the attached Division of Land Management Plan and Chapter 5 of the Land and Water Resource Management Plan).
- The Trempealeau County Livestock Facilities Performance Standards Ordinance requires that all "new" or the "expansion" of "existing" facilities comply with all Agricultural Performance Standards and Prohibitions contained within Subchapter II of NR151 (refer to 15.03(1), 15.04(1)(c), 15.04(2)(r), 15.06(1)(a-g), 15.07(1)(a-e) and 15.11 of the Trempealeau County Livestock Facilities Performance Standards Ordinance). The Livestock Facilities Permit Checklist requires that permit applicants verify their current compliance status with all of the Agricultural Performance Standards and Prohibitions contained within Subchapter II of NR151 (refer to attached Trempealeau County Livestock Facility Permit Application).
- Trempealeau County requires that all agricultural producers seeking financial or technical assistance from the Land Conservation Department cooperate in an on site full farm evaluation to determine current compliance with all Agricultural Performance Standards and Prohibitions on all parcels owned and operated by the producer. The onsite full farm compliance evaluations determine current compliance with all Agricultural Performance Standards and Prohibitions (refer to the attached Trempealeau County Minimum Resource Management Standards Checklist).
- Trempealeau County approved, signed and forwarded to DNR an Inter-Governmental Agreement (IGA) that clarifies the roles and responsibilities of the County and DNR in the implementation of NR151. This IGA has been reviewed by DNR and shall be signed by DNR in April, 2007.

Form 8700-300 (R 1/07)

Page o	f
--------	---

TRM Grant Project Name
Anderson Voluntary TRM Project

Part IV. Eligibility for Multipliers (continued)

Applicant Certification

An Authorized Representative must sign and date the application form prior to submittal to the DNR. All four copies must include original signatures of the Authorized Representative.

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the information contained in this application and attachments is correct and true.

Signature of Authorized Representative

Date Signed

David Appleyard Land Conservation Department Director Telephone Number 715-538-2311, Ext. 261

[name and title]

1 Fax Number **715-538-4132**

E-Mail Address appled@tremplocounty.com

Mailing Address Courthouse, P.O. Box 67 Whitehall WI 54773

To be considered for funding, provide the following for each application submitted:

- One copy of the completed application form (DNR Form 8700-300 (R 1/07)) with original signature in blue ink
- Three additional copies of the completed, signed application form
- One electronic copy of the completed application form on CD or diskette

All application materials must be postmarked by midnight April 16, 2007.

Send to: Department of Natural Resources Attn: Kathy Thompson, WT/2 P.O. Box 7921 Madison, WI 53707-7921