State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources-WT/3 101 S. Webster St. Madison, WI 53707

dnr.wi.gov

Final Report

Targeted Runoff Management Grant Program and Urban Nonpoint Source and Storm Water Management Grant Program

Form 3400-189 (R 6/08)

Page 1

Notice: This final report is authorized by ss. 281.65 and 281.66, Wis. Stats., and chs. NR 153 and NR 155, Wis. Adm. Code. Personally identifiable information collected will be used for program administration and may be made available to requesters as required under Wisconsin's Open Records Law [ss. 19.31-19.39, Wis. Stats.].

Instructions: Your grant agreement requires you to submit a Final Report 60 days after the end date listed in the grant agreement. This Final Report form must be used in conjunction with the "FINAL REPORT INSTRUCTIONS." The instructions detail how to complete and submit the report to DNR. The DNR prefers that Final Reports be submitted in electronic format. If, however, printed copies of Final Reports are

submitted, please submit three (3) complete originals to your regional N	ionpoint Coordinator.
1. Grant Type Please check one.	
Targeted Runoff Management Grant – Agricultural	Targeted Runoff Management Grant – Urban
Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant – Construction	Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant Planning
2. Grantee & Project Information	
Project Name	Grant Number
City of Appleton, Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan	USP-LF04-44201-07C
Governmental Unit Name	Primary Watershed Name and Watershed Code
City of Appleton	Lower Fox/Appleton LF04, Duck LF05, Apple and Ashwaubenon Creeks LF02, Plum and Kankapot LF03, Lake Winnebago/North and West UF01, Wolf River/New London and Bear Creek WR12
Nearest Water Body Name	Nearest Water Body Identification Code (WBIC) (if applicable)
DNR Water Management Unit (River System) Name	s. 303 (d) Listed Waterbody? 🛛 Yes 🔲 No.
Lower Fox River	
What pollutant(s) were addressed by the project (e.g., nitrogen, phosphorus	s, sediment, thermal control, etc.)?
sediment, phosphorus, grease and oils, chloride	
For each project site leasting provide the followings (attack additional shoot	- //

For each project site location provide the following: (attach additional sheets if necessary)

	Location:	A	В	С	D	E
	Division Name nship, Village, etc.)	City of Appleton				
PLSS	Town	20, 21, 22N				
	Range	17E, 18E				
	Section	1, 2, 4-8, 11-15, 22- 28, 30, 31, 33-36				
	Quarter	varies				
	Quarter-Quarter	varies				
seconds N	egrees, minutes, orth of Equator; use Surface Water Data VDV)	44deg 15 min 44sec				
	(degrees, minutes, of Prime Meridian, VDV)	88deg 24min 22sec				

Property Owner(s)	Name	Paula Vandehey, Director of Public Works		
	Mailing address	100 N. Appleton Street, Appleton, WI 54911		
Site address (Not mailing address)		entire city limits		

3. Summary of Results

A. Performance Standards and Prohibitions and Other Water Resources Management Priorities

For grants issued in calendar year 2006 or later, complete Tables A and B (following) consistent with the entries on your grant application.

TABLE A. PERFORMANCE STANDARDS AND PROHIBITIONS (per ch. NR 151, Wis, Adm. Code, effective October 1, 2002)

Performance Standard or Prohibition	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Sheet, rill and wind erosion	Acres meeting T		
Manure Storage Facilities: New Construction/Alterations	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Manure Storage Facilities: Closure	Number of facilities		
Manure Storage Facilities: Failing/Leaking Facilities	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Clean Water Diversions in WQMA	Pollutant load reduction		
	Number of farms with diversions		
	Number animal units		
Nutrient Management on Agricultural Land	Acres planned		
Prohibition: Manure Storage Overflow	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Prohibition: Unconfined Manure Pile in WQMA	Number of farms		
Prohibition: Direct Runoff From Feedlot/Stored Manure	Pollutant load reduction		
	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Prohibition: Unlimited Livestock Access	Feet of bank protected		
	Number of farms		
Jrban: 20-40% Reduction in Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	Pounds TSS reduced	0	NA
	% TSS reduction	0	NA

TABLE B. OTHER WATER RESOURCES MANAGEMENT PRIORITIES

I. Agricultural Areas	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Buffers	Feet of bank protected		
	Number of farms		
Streambank	Tons of bank erosion reduced		
	Feet of bank protected		
Other (specify)			
II. Developed Urban Areas	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Urban: 20-40% Reduction in TSS	Pounds TSS reduced		
	% TSS reduction		
Infiltration	% Pre-development stay-on volume		
	Cubic feet stay-on volume		
Peak flow discharge	Change in cubic feet per second		
Protective areas	Feet of bank protected		
Fueling & maintenance areas	Oily sheen presence		
Streambank	Tons of bank erosion reduced		
	Feet of bank protected		
Other (specify)			
III. Planning	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used

de anne de de de men content de mente de la content de	Municipalities planned for		
	Acres planned for		
along the many depart of the condition and the state of	Municipalities planned for	1	Count
	Acres planned for		
Other (specify)			

B. Project Results Narrative

1a. The City of Appleton Pollution Prevention Program developed under this grant addressed Sections 2.6.1 through 2.6.5 and 2.6.7 though 2.6.9 of the WPDES Phase II permit. Section 2.6.6, Stormwater Management Plans for individual municipal facilities, was completed under a separate grant contract. The plan incorporates the activities of eight different city departments and divisions.

The plan addresses the following practices and pollutants:

- * long term maintenance of city owned structural stormwater facilities targeting sediment and phosphorus
- * routine street sweeping and associated material disposal targeting sediment and phosphorus
- * appropriate use of road salt and de-icers targeting chloride
- * management of leaves and grass clippings targeting phosphorus
- * proper use of lawn and garden fertilizers targeting phosphorus
- * education of municipal employees targeting sediment, phosphorus and chloride
- * source water protection targeting sediment, phosphorus, and chloride
- 1.b. This section does not apply to NPS planning projects.
- 2. Information and education activites under this grant project were extensive. During project startup, meetings were held to discuss current pratices by different departments. City staff learned of each others practices and needs. This will allow future discussions on sharing of labor and equipment to improve practices and efficiencies throughout the city. Discussions with each individual department were held to review and refine the plan. Elected officials reviewed the plan at the Utilities Committee on September 9 and approved it on September 23. The plan was approved by the Common Council on October 1, 2008. Training on the overall Pollution Prevention plan by the consultant was held with the staff of the Department of Public Works Operations Division on October 7, 2008. Similar training by the consultant was held with the Parks and Forestry staff of the Parks and Recreation Department on October 13, 2008. On December 4, 2008, the consultant provided specific training for snow and ice control and the use of chlorides to the Department of Public Works Operations Division (approximately 55 people). Discussions with the Fire and Facilities Departments on smaller scale activites during the plan development will continue and will hopefully improve practices for grass and yard waste and fertilizer use.
- 3. The City of Appleton continues to enforce our Erosion Control Ordinance that became effective January 1. 1999. New computerized tracking has been put in place in 2008 to make reporting enforcement activities in the WPDES Annual Report easier for staff. The City of Appleton also continues to enforce our Post-Construction Stormwater Ordinance that became effective January 1, 2004, by hiring a qualified consultant (Earth Tech) to review plans submitted under the ordinance, working with the City of Appleton Attorney to record maintenance agreements, and keeping a log to monitor recording of agreements and as-built and certification submittals.

4. Satisfaction of Notice Re-	quirements (if a	pplicable)					
If cost sharing for this project was offered under a formal notice to achieve compliance with performance standards or prohibitions, provide information for each notice in the table below.							
		Notice Information		Notice	Satisfa	action Information	
				Satisf	ied?		
Notice Type	Issue Date	From (Name)	To (Name)	Yes	No	Date Letter Sent	
5. Summary of Project Challenges							

One of the challenges encountered during the project was the reluctance of staff to communicate freely in front of management and other staff. Another challenge was starting the project so far ahead of its due date. That, coupled with the consultant's serious illness, caused the project to be set aside for several months while recovery, the Annual Report and the first four plans of the Phase II permit were completed. The consultant also underestimated the time needed to work with so many city departments. Much of the review and refinement of the draft program document was completed by city staff.

- A. The City of Appleton would not have done anything different in developing this plan update. Although this plan could have been developed without a consultant, the consultant added credibility to the project and the training, and was a neutral facilitator to open communication between staff within and between departments. In the end it was the correct choice.
- B. City of Appleton staff has no recommendations concerning the Runoff Management Program.

6. Additional Information about the Project (optional)					
7. Final Product(s) All Projects					
A. Construction Projects A.1. Checking here indicates that a printed copy of project plans an Coordinator.	d specifications was sent	to your DNR Regional Nonpoint Source			
A.2. Checking here indicates that photo-documentation of the projection	ct's construction is attache	ed.			
B. Planning Projects					
B.1. Checking here indicates that a printed copy of the planning prod Nonpoint Source Coordinator.	duct (e.g., plans, ordinanc	es, analyses) was sent to your DNR Regional			
B.2. Checking here indicates that the Regional Nonpoint Source Coo	ordinator has approved th	e final Planning Product(s).			
B.3. Checking here indicates that your governmental unit has adopte	ed the final Planning Prod	uct(s).			
Name of Planning Document(s)	Date(s) effective	Date Submitted to NPS Coordinator			
City of Appleton Pollution Prevention Program	October 1, 2008	August 29, 2008.			
8. Grantee Certification:					
Checking here certifies that, to the best of your knowledge, the inform	nation contained in this re	port is correct and true.			
Type or print Name and Title of Authorized Representative certifying here.					
Paula Vandehey, Director of Public Works					
Signature of Authorized Representative		Date			
9. FOR DEPARTMENTAL USE ONLY					
9. FOR DEPARTMENTAL USE ONLY REGIONAL NONPOINT COORDINATOR Please complete the following:					
Checking here indicates that you received either planning or construction plans and specifications from the project sponsor, as appropriate. Attach a copy of the approval.					
Checking here indicates that you approved the final construction. Attach a copy of the final construction approval.					
Checking here indicates that you have approved the final Planning Product(s).					
Check here if two (2) signed, original copies of the Final Report and attachments have been sent to Runoff Management Section Grants Coordinator. Note: Regional Nonpoint Source Coordinator may retain one (1) copy of the signed, original Final Report.					
Type or print Name of Regional Nonpoint Coordinator					

Final Report Targeted Runoff Management and Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant	Programs
Form 3400-189 (R 6/08)	Page 5
Signature of Regional Nonpoint Coordinator	Date