State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources-WT/3 101 S. Webster St. Madison, WI 53707

dnr.wi.gov

Final Report

Targeted Runoff Management Grant Program and Urban Nonpoint Source and Storm Water Management Grant Program

Form 3400-189 (R 6/08)

Page 1

Notice: This final report is authorized by ss. 281.65 and 281.66, Wis. Stats., and chs. NR 153 and NR 155, Wis. Adm. Code. Personally identifiable information collected will be used for program administration and may be made available to requesters as required under Wisconsin's Open Records Law [ss. 19.31-19.39, Wis. Stats.].

Instructions: Your grant agreement requires you to submit a Final Report 60 days after the end date listed in the grant agreement. This Final Report form must be used in conjunction with the "FINAL REPORT INSTRUCTIONS." The instructions detail how to complete and submit the report to DNR. The DNR prefers that Final Reports be submitted in electronic format. If, however, printed copies of Final Reports are submitted, please submit three (3) complete originals to your regional Nonpoint Coordinator.

submitted, please submit three (3) complete originals to your regional Nonpoint Coordinator.					
1. Grant Type Please check one.					
☐ Targeted Runoff Management Grant – Agricultural	☐ Targeted Runoff Management Grant – Urban				
Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant – Construction	Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant Planning				
2. Grantee & Project Information					
Project Name	Grant Number				
Stony Creek/Jeff Uecker Farm	TRC-TK05-15000-07A				
Governmental Unit Name	Primary Watershed Name and Watershed Code				
Door County Soil and Water Conservation Department	Stony Creek/TK-05				
Nearest Water Body Name	Nearest Water Body Identification Code (WBIC) (if applicable)				
DNR Water Management Unit (River System) Name	s. 303 (d) Listed Waterbody? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No.				
Twin Door-Kewaunee					
What pollutant(s) were addressed by the project (e.g., nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment, thermal control, etc.)?					
Bacteria, Nitrates, Phosphorus and Sediment					
For each project site location provide the following: (attach additional sheets if necessary)					

	Location:	A	В	С	D	E
	l Division Name nship, Village, etc.)	Town of Forestville				
PLSS	Town	26N				
	Range	25E				
	Section	16				
	Quarter	SE				
	Quarter-Quarter	SE				
seconds N	legrees, minutes, lorth of Equator; use Surface Water Data VDV)	44°, 43', 13"				
	(degrees, minutes, V of Prime Meridian, VDV)	87°, 27', 33"				
Property Owner(s)	Name	Jeff Uecker				
	Mailing address	1011 County O				
		Forestville, WI 54213				

Page 2

Site address (Not mailing address)			

3. Summary of Results

A. Performance Standards and Prohibitions and Other Water Resources Management Priorities
For grants issued in calendar year 2006 or later, complete Tables A and B (following) consistent with the entries on your grant application.

TABLE A. PERFORMANCE STANDARDS AND PROHIBITIONS (per ch. NR 151, Wis. Adm. Code, effective October 1, 2002)

Performance Standard or Prohibition	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Sheet, rill and wind erosion	Acres meeting T	685	RUSLE 2
Manure Storage Facilities: New Construction/Alterations	Number of facilities	1	Count
	Number of animal units	75	Count
Manure Storage Facilities: Closure	Number of facilities		
Manure Storage Facilities: Failing/Leaking Facilities	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Clean Water Diversions in WQMA	Pollutant load reduction	27	BARNY
	Number of farms with diversions	1	Count
	Number animal units	75	Count
Nutrient Management on Agricultural Land	Acres planned	685	Count
Prohibition: Manure Storage Overflow	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Prohibition: Unconfined Manure Pile in WQMA	Number of farms		
Prohibition: Direct Runoff From Feedlot/Stored Manure	Pollutant load reduction	27	BARNY
	Number of facilities	1	Count
	Number of animal units	75	Count
Prohibition: Unlimited Livestock Access	Feet of bank protected		
	Number of farms		
Urban: 20-40% Reduction in Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	Pounds TSS reduced		
	% TSS reduction		

TABLE B. OTHER WATER RESOURCES MANAGEMENT PRIORITIES

I. Agricultural Areas	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Buffers	Feet of bank protected		
	Number of farms		
Streambank	Tons of bank erosion reduced		
	Feet of bank protected		
Other (specify)			
II. Developed Urban Areas	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Urban: 20-40% Reduction in TSS	Pounds TSS reduced		
	% TSS reduction		
Infiltration	% Pre-development stay-on volume		
	Cubic feet stay-on volume		
Peak flow discharge	Change in cubic feet per second		
Protective areas	Feet of bank protected		
Fueling & maintenance areas	Oily sheen presence		
Streambank	Tons of bank erosion reduced		
	Feet of bank protected		
Other (specify)			
III. Planning	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Quantify how implementation of the planning project	Municipalities planned for		
decreased storm water impacts on state waters (i.e., storm water plan, I & E plan, etc.)	Acres planned for		
Document/track progress made in implementing the	Municipalities planned for		
planning product (i.e., ordinance, utility district evaluation/formation, storm water management plan information & education, etc.)	Acres planned for		-

Final Report Targeted Form 3400-189 (R 6/08)	Runoff Manage	ment and Urban Nonpo	int Source & Storm Wate	er Management Gra	nt Programs		Page 3
Other (specify)							
B. Project Results Narrative Mr. Uecker was sent a No barnyard that drained to severe leakage at the inte disrepair and did not fun manure into a manure sp intercepted by the sinkho compliance.	otification of No a collection ba erface between ction to divert reader. All wat	sin that outleted to th the barnyard and col clean water from the l er leaving the barnya	e road ditch. After cons lection basin. Roof gut parnyard area. The barn rd traveled via the road	struction was starte ters on the roofs so nyard also consiste ditch to several si	ed, it was dis urrounding t ed of a push- nkholes dow	scovere the bar off ram nslope	ed that there was nyard were also in np to load solid e. Water not
Mr. Uecker and the SWCI period. Survey work was barnyard should be seale	completed and	l several design optic	ns were assembled. Th	e final verdict was	that the col	out the lection	entire grant basin and
In October of 2008, Mr. Ud After several discussions sharable. Mr. Uecker was made clear that although issues.	ecker decided between Mr. l	to address the water Jecker and the SWCD h this information and	quality at this site by m , it was determined that I made the decision to	aking minimal repa t the options that h move ahead withou	nirs and cha e was propo it accepting	sing w cost-s	ould not be cost- haring. It was
Construction was comple collection basin was retro basin to capture all runof pumped and properly fiel continue to clean solid m Gutters were repaired and Mr. Uecker is actively in with the SWCD and is up	ofitted to be wa f. The barnyard d spread accor anure from the d now function pplementing an	ater-tight. The hole in d and the basin are no rding to an approved b barnyard and apply properly to divert cle a approved nutrient m	the basin that outleted by intended to hold all l nutrient management p t in approved areas in o an water from the barn	to the road ditch w liquid generated an lan. The push-off r compliance with hi yard area.	as sealed to ad will be sto amp will ren s nutrient m	create ored the nain an anager	e a liquid-tight ere until they are id Mr. Uecker will ment plan. Roof
4. Satisfaction of Notice Re				ar dilkakan njilo.			Property of the Salestaning
If cost sharing for this proje for each notice in the table		under a formal notice to	achieve compliance with	n performance stand	ards or prohi	bitions,	provide information
		Notice Information			Notice	Satisf	faction Information
Notice Type	Issue Date	From (Nan		To (Name)	Satist Yes	ied? No	Date Letter Sent
Local Regulation	6/5/07	Door County SWCD		Jeff Uecker		NO	12/9/08
Local Negalation	0/3/07	Bool County CVIOL		Jen Jeokei			12.0,00
						ΙШ	
	1				1		
5. Summary of Project Cha							Do that would have
The biggest challenge as been necessary to create management styles to ha	ssociated with a long term st ndle the waste	orage would have red in a completely diffe	uired both a greater fin ent manner.	ancial commitmen	t and a com	mitmer	nt to change
The biggest challenge as been necessary to create	ssociated with a long term st ndle the waste htforward, but	orage would have red in a completely differ the challenge was uti	uired both a greater fin ent manner. mately the landowners	ancial commitmen	t and a com	mitmer rge-sca	nt to change
The biggest challenge as been necessary to create management styles to ha The designs were straig beef operation when he is 6. Additional Information at	ssociated with a long term st ndle the waste htforward, but s nearing retire out the Project	orage would have rec in a completely differ the challenge was ut ment, with no childre (optional)	uired both a greater fin ent manner. mately the landowners n currently showing an	ancial commitmen comfort level with interest in continu	t and a come making a la ling the ope	mitmer rge-sca ration.	nt to change
The biggest challenge as been necessary to create management styles to ha The designs were straig beef operation when he is	ssociated with a long term st ndle the waste htforward, but s nearing retire bout the Project ith the success ter quality issu	orage would have red in a completely differ the challenge was utionent, with no childre (optional) of this project, spec- les in this sensitive a	uired both a greater fin ent manner. mately the landowners n currently showing an fically with the ability to ea. Ultimately the decis	comfort level with interest in continu	t and a community making a lating the operations and imposes the control of the c	rge-scaration. e a dea	at to change for his addine for the project has proven
The biggest challenge at been necessary to create management styles to hat The designs were straig beef operation when he is 6. Additional Information at The SWCD is pleased will and owner to address was	ssociated with a long term st ndle the waste htforward, but s nearing retire pout the Project ith the success ter quality issu of the public's	orage would have red in a completely differ the challenge was utionent, with no childre (optional) of this project, spec- les in this sensitive a	uired both a greater fin ent manner. mately the landowners n currently showing an fically with the ability to ea. Ultimately the decis	comfort level with interest in continu	t and a community making a lating the operations and imposes the control of the c	rge-scaration. e a dea	at to change for his addine for the project has proven
The biggest challenge as been necessary to create management styles to ha The designs were straig beef operation when he is 6. Additional Information at The SWCD is pleased will landowner to address was to be a more prudent use	ssociated with a long term st ndle the waste htforward, but s nearing retire bout the Project ith the success ter quality issu of the public's	orage would have red in a completely differ the challenge was utionent, with no childre (optional) of this project, spec- les in this sensitive a	uired both a greater fin ent manner. mately the landowners n currently showing an fically with the ability to ea. Ultimately the decis	comfort level with interest in continu	t and a community making a lating the operations and imposes the control of the c	rge-scaration. e a dea	at to change for his addine for the project has proven
The biggest challenge as been necessary to create management styles to ha The designs were straig beef operation when he is 6. Additional Information at The SWCD is pleased will landowner to address was to be a more prudent use 7. Final Product(s) All Pr	ssociated with a long term st ndle the waste htforward, but is nearing retire pout the Project lith the success ter quality issu of the public's	orage would have recin a completely difference the challenge was utingent, with no childre (optional) as of this project, species in this sensitive as money in that it will	uired both a greater fin ent manner. mately the landowners n currently showing an fically with the ability to ea. Ultimately the decis	comfort level with interest in continu	t and a commaking a laing the open	mitmer rge-sca ration. e a dea to this water q	ale change for his addine for the project has proven quality issues.
The biggest challenge as been necessary to create management styles to ha The designs were straig beef operation when he is 6. Additional Information at The SWCD is pleased will landowner to address wat to be a more prudent use 7. Final Product(s) All Pro	ssociated with a long term st ndle the waste httorward, but s nearing retire bout the Project lith the success ter quality issurojects	orage would have recin a completely difference the challenge was utinent, with no childrence (optional) sof this project, species in this sensitive at money in that it will printed copy of project	uired both a greater finent manner. mately the landowners n currently showing an fically with the ability to ea. Ultimately the decis be available to apply to	comfort level with interest in continuous offer cost-sharing sion to not apply coa a different project	t and a commaking a laing the open	mitmer rge-sca ration. e a dea to this water q	ale change for his addine for the project has proven quality issues.

B.1. Checking here indicates that a printed copy of the planning product (e.g., plans, ordinances, analyses) was sent to your DNR Regional Nonpoint Source Coordinator.

B.2. Checking here indicates that the Regional Nonpoint Source Coordinator has approved the final Planning Product(s).

Final Report Targeted Runoff Management and Urban Nonpoint Source Form 3400-189 (R 6/08)	& Storm Water Manage	ment Grant Programs Page 4
B.3. Checking here indicates that your governmental unit has adopted	d the final Planning Proc	luct(s).
Name of Planning Document(s)	Date(s) effective	Date Submitted to NPS Coordinator
8. Grantee Certification:		
Checking here certifies that, to the best of your knowledge, the inform	ation contained in this re	port is correct and true.
Type or print Name and Title of Authorized Representative certifying here.		
William Schuster, County Conservationist		
Signature of Authorized Representative		Date 12 23/08
		• •
9. FOR DEPARTMENTAL USE ONLY		
REGIONAL NONPOINT COORDINATOR Please complete the folio	owing:	
Checking here indicates that you received either planning or construct Attach a copy of the approval.	tion plans and specificat	ions from the project sponsor, as appropriate.
Checking here indicates that you approved the final construction. Atta	ach a copy of the final co	nstruction approval.
Checking here indicates that you have approved the final Planning Pro	oduct(s).	
Check here if two (2) signed, original copies of the Final Report and a Coordinator. Note: Regional Nonpoint Source Coordinator may retain	ttachments have been s n one (1) copy of the sig	ent to Runoff Management Section Grants ned, original Final Report.
Type or print Name of Regional Nonpoint Coordinator		
Signature of Regional Nonpoint Coordinator		Date
•		



















