State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, WT/3 101 South Webster Street PO Box 7921, Madison WI 53707-7921

Targeted Runoff Management Program (TRM) Grant Application – CY 2009 Funding

Page 1 of

orm 8700-300	R 1/08)	

Notice: This application form template was drafted by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources. Application is hereby made to the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, Bureau of Watershed Management, for grant assistance consistent with s. 281.65, Wis. Stats., and Chapter NR 153 and NR 154, Wis. Adm. Code. Collection of this information is authorized under the authority of s. 281.65, Wis. Stats. The information contained in this form will be used for program budget analysis and project evaluation in the Targeted Runoff Management Grant Program. Personally identifiable information collected will be used for program administration and may be made available to requesters as required under Wisconsin's Open Records Law [ss. 19.31 - 19.39, Wis. Stats.]. *Unless otherwise noted, all citations refer to Wisconsin Administrative Code.*

Instructions: Complete all sections as applicable. **Applicant Information** Governmental Unit Applying: (name & type) (example: Madison, Town of) **Door County Soil and Water Conservation Department** Name of Authorized Representative (First Last) Name of Governmental Contact Person (First Last) (if different) William Schuster **Brian Forest** Title Title **County Conservationist** Conservationist II Area Code + Telephone Number Area Code + Telephone Number 920-746-2214 920-746-2214 Area Code + Fax Number Area Code + Fax Number 920-746-2369 920-746-2369 E-Mail Address E-Mail Address wschuster@co.door.wi.us bforest@co.door.wi.us Mailing Address - Street or Route Mailing Address - Street or Route **421 Nebraska Street 421 Nebraska Street** City State Zip Code City State Zip Code Sturgeon Bay WI 54235 Sturgeon Bay WI 54235 Consulting Firm Name (if applicable) Consulting Contact Person Name Title Area Code + Telephone Number **DNR Use Only** Area Code + Fax Number E-Mail Address Mailing Address - Street or Route City State Zip Code **Project Information**

A. Project Name

Brussels Closed Depression/Wautier Project

This application form template was drafted by the Department of Natural Resources.

Page	of	

				Bruss	3013 01030	ou Depiessi	ion, waaner 1 10jee	
		F	Project	Informat	ion (con	tinued)		
3. Project Area Location								
County								
Door								
Minor Civil Division Name (city, village, town, etc. – ex. Wrightstown, Village of)	Township (N)	Range	E or W	Section	Quarter	Quarter- Quarter	Latitude (North , degrees, minutes seconds)	Longitude (West degrees, minutes seconds)
Town of Brussels	26	24	Е	8	NW	NW	44d44m53s	87d37m12s
/lethod for Determining Lat ☐ GPS ☑ DNR WebView or Surfac ☐ Other (specify):	J	,		•)				
c. Project Summary This is a dairy operation to the improper application of arst features as well as the improperation of the improper	of manure ir neadland sta roject are a and reveale	n a signi acking o Roofed	ificant c of manur Manure	losed der e on field Storage	oression ds that are and Roof	that consist e within wat f Gutters. N	ts of shallow soils ter quality manage utrient Manageme	and numerous ment areas. The nt Planning began
D. Watershed & Waterbook Primary Waterbody Name:							omowoc River; Wat	ershed Code: UR09
				i waterbo		,		
Watershed Name	TK0	ershed C	ode			Waterbody N Freen Bay	Nearest Keyes C	Waterbody Name
Red River/Sturgeon Bay Note: If the project is in mo for a high-efficiency street	re than one		ed, subm	nit a separ				
Yes No E. Project To 1. The pro		-		noff.				

Page	of	

		Project Information (continued)
		F. Request for Funding for "Total Maximum Daily Load" Implementation
		 Requesting funding for eligible best management practices (BMPs) which will directly implement the pollutant- specific goals of a public comment draft (as of April 9, 2008) or an EPA-approved Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL).
		a. If "Yes", provide the title of TMDL report this project addresses.
	\boxtimes	 Final reimbursement for eligible, TMDL implementation project costs will be requested no later than September 20, 2010.
		G. Request for Funding of Land Acquisition or Easements
		Requesting funding for either land acquisition or purchase of easements as part of this application to support eligible BMPs. If "Yes", attach the property acquisition proposal, as defined in Attachment B. , to the completed application form.
		H. Request for Retroactive Funding for Design Costs
	\boxtimes	Requesting reimbursement for design costs that have been or will be incurred before issuance of the grant.
		I. Request for Funding for Force Account Work
\boxtimes		Requesting reimbursement for technical services to be performed by governmental unit staff (force account).
		J. Endangered and Threatened Resources, Historic Properties, and Wetlands
		Check the appropriate box for each question based on what the governmental unit knows to occur where the project disturbs land. If you have no evidence of the items below, check "No."
	\boxtimes	 There are endangered or threatened resources, as identified in s. 29.604, Wis. Stats., and ch. NR 27 in the project area.
		2. There are archaeological sites, historical structures, burial sites, or other historic places identified in s. 44.45, Wis. Stats., in the project area.
	\boxtimes	3. There are wetlands in the project area that are governed by water quality standard provisions of ch. NR 103.
		K. Environmental Contamination
		The applicant is aware of environmental contamination [other than nonpoint source pollution, e. g., volatile organic compounds (VOCs), or polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)] of the soil and/or groundwater or potential for contamination in the project area.
		L. <u>Urban Projects Only:</u> Pro-rating for Existing versus New Development
		Project will serve existing development only. If no, provide attachments and the following:
		Percentage of total design volume that will be generated by <u>existing</u> development. (change default % if necessary)
		M. <u>Urban Projects Only</u> : Alternative Funding Possibility
		This applicant requests that the DNR also submit a copy of this application to the Clean Water Fund loan program.

Form 8700-300 (R 1/08)

Page	of	

TRM Grant Project Name

Brussels Closed Depression/Wautier Project

Part I. Screening Requirements Yes No A. Map \boxtimes An 8.5" x 11" topographic map from USGS or the DNR data/map viewers, showing the project area, is П attached. If you intend to claim Bonus Points in Part III. Question 5 (Water Quality Needs), include a map of the wellhead area(s), or surface water body. B. Best Management Practices (BMPs) For Which DNR Funding Is Requested (check all that apply) (see Attachment D. for additional BMP information) Practice Wis. Adm. Code Wis. Adm. Code Practice Manure Storage Systems NR 154.04(3) Riparian Buffers NR 154.04(25) \boxtimes Manure Storage System Roofs NR 154.04(26) NR 154.04(4) Closure Barnyard Runoff Control NR 154.04(5) Roof Runoff Systems NR 154.04(27) Systems Access Roads & Cattle NR 154.04(6) **Sediment Basins** NR 154.04(28) Crossings Animal Trails and Walkways NR 154.04(7) **Shoreline Habitat Restoration** NR 154.04(29) for Developed Areas Critical Area Stabilization NR 154.04(10) **Diversions** П NR 154.04(11) Sinkhole Treatment NR 154.04(30) П Subsurface Drains NR 154.04(33) Field Windbreaks NR 154.04(12) П П Filter Strips NR 154.04(13) П **Terrace Systems** NR 154.04(34) **Grade Stabilization** NR 154.04(14) **Underground Outlets** NR 154.04(35) Heavy Use Area Protection NR 154.04(15) Waste Transfer Systems NR 154.04(36) П Lake Sediment Treatment Wastewater Treatment Strips NR 154.04(37) NR 154.04(16) Livestock Fencing NR 154.04(17) Water and Sediment Control NR 154.04(38) **Basins** Livestock Watering Facilities П NR 154.04(18) П Milking Center Waste Control NR 154.04(19) Waterway Systems NR 154.04(39) Systems П Prescribed Grazing NR 154.04(22) Well Decommissioning NR 154.04(40) Wetland Development or Relocating or Abandoning NR 154.04(23) NR 154.04(41) **Animal Feeding Operations** Restoration Streambank and Shoreline Protection: NR 154.04(31) Urban BMPs: NR 154.04(42) (includes associated fencing) **Detention Basin** Stream Crossing П Wetland Basin П Streambank/Shoreline Rip-rapping Filtration Practice Streambank/Shoreline Shaping & Seeding П Infiltration Practice П Streambank/Shoreline Fencing Other Streambank/Shoreline Protection Accelerated or High-efficiency П Street Sweeping System (incl. bio-engineering) - specify below Other (specify)

Page	of	

TRM Grant Project Name

Brussels Closed Depression/Wautier Project

Part I. Screening Requirements (continued)

	C.		You m	ust be able to answer "Yes" to questions 1 le for a grant.	through	5 an	d "Yes" or "N/A" (Not Applicable) to Question	
Yes	No		J					
\boxtimes		1.	-	ect will be completed within 24 months of the			• .	
\boxtimes		2.		and contractors designated to work on this rience to implement the proposed project.	s project	have	adequate training, knowledge, and	
\boxtimes	П	3.	-	or contractual services, in addition to those	e funded	bv th	nis grant, will be provided if needed.	
		4.		management practices constructed under		-	- ·	
		consistent with) agricultural and non-agricultural performance standards under ch. NR 151. (see Attachment E.)						
\boxtimes	П	5.		•	dinator (see A	Attachment C.) has been contacted about	
				project:				
			N	ame of the Regional Nonpoint Source	Date		Cubicat of Contact	
			Δmv	Coordinator Contacted Callis	3/20/		Subject of Contact Past, Present and Future TRM Grants	
			-	Callis	4/2/0		Project Scopes for Potential Grants	
Yes	No	N/A			ı			
			6.	If this is an application to construct ponds under ch. NR 343, the necessary waterwaissued. If "Yes", give the permit number a	ay or wet	land	permit (chs. 30 or 281, Wis. Stats.) has been	
			_	Date of Decision		Per	mit Number	
		\boxtimes	7.	Please be aware that receipt of a docket number does not imply permit issuance. The receipt of the docket number merely acknowledges that your permit application has been received and has been assigned a place in the "review queue." If this is a proposed urban project which requires that the applicant have control of the property, you must either: a) currently have control of this property; or b) submit documentation with this application that you will obtain control of this property prior to the commencement of the grant period for this project.				
f you	answ	ered "	'No" to	o one or more of the items in questi	on C ab	ove,	stop here. The project is ineligible.	
	D. EI	igibilit	y: Rea	son For Controlling Nonpoint Source Po	ollution	In Th	e Target Area	
Yes	No							
\boxtimes		1.	The n	eed for compliance with performance stand	dards es	tablis	hed by the DNR in ch. NR 151.	
		2.		xistence of nonpoint-source-impaired water bodies that the DNR has identified to the U.S. EPA 33 USC 1313 (d)(1)(A), commonly referred to as the "303(d) List."				
		3.		xistence of outstanding or exceptional resorts 102.11.	ource wa	ters,	as designated by the DNR in ss. NR 102.10	
		4.		er water quality concerns of statewide or national significance. (Important: You may only check this if you are eligible to score ten (10) points in Part II, Question 4 "Basin Priorities" of this application.)				
\boxtimes		5.	The e	xistence of threats to public health.				
		6.		ne existence of an animal feeding operation that has received a notice of discharge (NOD) under ch. 3 or a notice of intent (NOI) to issue a notice of discharge.			d a notice of discharge (NOD) under ch. NR	

If you answered "Yes" to one or more of the items in question D above, continue to Part II. Otherwise, stop here. The project is ineligible.

Page	of	

TRM Grant Project Name

Brussels Closed Depression/Wautier Project

Part II. Minimum Qualifications

Question 1. Fiscal Accountability

A. Timeline and Source of Staff

For each applicable milestone listed below, fill in the appropriate data:

Milestone	Target Completion Date (month/year)	Source of Staff	
Completion of design	04/09	SWDCD Technical Staff & DATCP Engineer	
Obtaining required permits	05/09	SWCD Technical Staff & Landowner	
Landowner contacts	07/07 - Ongoing	SWCD Technical Staff	
CSA signing	05/09	SWCD Technical Staff & Landowner	
Bidding	06/09	SWCD Technical Staff & Contractors	
DNR approvals	04/09	SWCD Technical Staff	
Contract signing	07/09	Contractor & Landowner	
BMP construction	08/09	Contractor	
Site inspection and certification	08/09	SWCD Technical Staff & DATCP Engineer	
Project evaluation	09/09	SWCD Technical Staff & DATCP Engineer	
Purchase street sweeper (urban only)			
Other (specify)			
Notification of Noncompliance and Offer	01/09	SWCD Technical Staff	
of Cost-Sharing			

B. Adequate Financial Budget

Provide the following information for the project. The grant amount is capped at \$150,000.

FINANCIAL BUDGET TABLE

	1 -	
A.	B.	C.
Project Activity for Which DNP Funding is Requested	Estimated Total Cost (\$)	Amount from Column B Eligible for
Project Activity for Which <u>DNR Funding</u> is Requested	Estimated Total Cost (\$)	DNR Cost Sharing (\$)
Construction Components:		Jim Goot Graming (4)
Manure Storage Earthwork	3,750	3,750
Manure Storage Concrete	24,250	24,250
Manure Storage Roof	29,800	29,800
Roof Runoff Collection System (Gutters)	2,250	2,250
Animal Waste Storage Permit	601	601
Construction Subtotal	\$60,651	\$60,651
2. Engineering Services (including design)	\$3,032	\$3,032
3. Storm Sewer Reroute (Urban projects only)	\$0	\$0
Structure Removal (Urban projects only)	\$0	\$0
5. Subtotal [add rows (1) through (4)]	\$63,683	\$63,683
6. Property Acquisition: Fee Title & Easement	\$0	\$0
7. Grand Total [add rows (5) and (6)]	\$63,683	\$63,683

Form 8700-300 (R 1/08)

Page	of
. ugo	01

TRM Grant Project Name

Brussels Closed Depression/Wautier Project

Part II. Minimum Qualifications (continued)

Cost-Sharing Worksheet

Eligible Costs:

Multiply the eligible costs (Column C.) by the percent for proration (if applicable) and the applicable cost-share rate. Enter the result in the column on the right.

		Prorate %	Cost-Share %	
8. Construction, engineering services, etc. (if other particles)	percent, specify)	100%	70%	\$ 44,578
Costs Specific to Agricultural Projects:		_		
Land Purchase (Fee Title)	\$ 0	-	50%	\$ 0
10. Agricultural Easements	\$ 0	-	70%	\$ 0
Costs Specific to Urban Projects:		_		
Property Acquisition: Fee Title and Easement	\$	100%	50%	\$ 0
12. Storm Sewer Rerouting		100%	50%	\$ 0
13. Structure Removal		100%	50%	\$ 0
14. Total Eligible Costs: [sum rows (8) through (13)]				\$ 44,578
Cap Test:				
15. Maximum State Share: [(row 14) or \$150,000, w	hichever is less]			\$ 44,578
State & Local Share:				
16. Requested State-Share Amount (Requested Gra	nt Amount)			\$ 39,483
17. Local-Share Amount: [(row 7), Column B. less (r	ow 16)]			\$ 24,200

Method(s) Used to Calculate Cost Estimates

The cost estimate procedures used were both the "competitive bids method" and the "average cost method". These methods are based on SWCD experience of past projects, input from colleagues, inquiry to contractors and suppliers in the area and analysis of bids submitted for comparable projects completed in recent years. These methods have proven both practical and accurate for all SWCD projects over many years of construction experience. These methods have been applied to a design of appropriate BMPs agreed upon by the landowner, the SWCD and the area DATCP Engineer. These BMPs have been established to achieve maximum pollutant control as well as compliance with statewide agricultural performance standards and prohibitions.

C. Cost-Effectiveness

- 1. Tangible Benefits
 - a. Primary Benefit:

List the nonpoint source pollutants to be controlled by the project.

The primary benefit of this project will be the protection of ground water quality through construction of a no-runoff system where all potential impacts from bacteria, nitrates and sedimentation are controlled through storage of all waste and associated runoff and proper application in appropriate areas through an approved nutrient management plan.

	appl	lication in appropriate areas through an approved nutrient management plan.
Ο.		ondary Benefits: ch of the following secondary benefits will be achieved by implementing this project? (check all that y)
		Fish and wildlife habitat enhancement
		Enhancements to recreation
	\boxtimes	Public safety
	\boxtimes	Economical operation, economical maintenance and enhanced life expectancy of the BMP
		Other (specify):

Form 8700-300 (R 1/08)

Page	of
ı ayc	OI .

TRM Grant Project Name

Brussels Closed Depression/Wautier Project

Part II. Minimum Qualifications (continued)

2. Cost-Effectiveness

Explain why the proposed project is cost-effective considering the environmental benefit(s) and cost of the project.

The BMPs proposed for this project were selected through an analysis of practicality and cost effectiveness. These BMPs will take advantage of existing conditions, equipment, animal type and operations at the site. The use of a roofed manure storage will be necessary because of the type of manure at this site. Elimination of runoff and extra liquid will provide a more efficient means of manure management. The need to keep this solid type of manure also eliminates the much more costly alternative of collecting the liquids and storing it as a semi-solid or liquid, requiring pumps and more storage capacity. The existing barnyard and milkhouse waste system is not an issue at this site and storage of that extra liquid is not necessary. Barnyard scrapings will be able to be stored in the roofed manure storage and handled as a solid manure.

Due to the fragile geology and shallow depth of soils to bedrock most projects in Door County require an above-ground, concrete-lined storage. The proposed manure storage will be sized to store manure for 210 days and eliminate the need to winter spread manure. This sizing reduces the cost of having to store manure for a full year but still provides storage for months where there are frozen, wet or sturated conditions.

These practices were chosen to provide optimal pollutant control through collection and total containment of the waste generated at the project site.

Yes No 3. Alternatives

 \boxtimes

a. There is more than one way to achieve the benefits checked above. If "No," go to part b.

 If "Yes," complete the following table with information for the alternative you have chosen and one or two other alternatives. Note that the table requires information about the cost and pollutant load/potential reductions for each alternative considered.

		Alternatives Analys	sis	
	A.	B.	C.	D.
		Cost	Effectiveness	
	Alternative	Estimated Amount	Estimated % of Pollutant Load Reduction	(B. ÷ C.) Cost-Effectiveness
1	Roofed Manure Storage	\$ 60,000	100 %	60,000
2	Liquid Manure Storage/No Roof	\$ 90,000	100 %	90,000
3		\$	%	

2) If the applicant is not choosing the alternative with the lowest ratio of cost to pollutant load/potential reductions, explain why it was not chosen in terms of any of the following: feasibility, secondary benefits potential, or other mitigating factors.

b. If the answer to part 3.a. was "No," explain why there is no other reasonable alternative to achieve the reduction in pollutant loading/potential or the secondary benefits checked above.

Page	of	
------	----	--

TRM Grant Project Name
•
Brussels Closed Depression/Wautier Project

Part II. Minimum Qualifications (continued)

Form 8700-300 (R 1/08)

Page	of	

TRM Grant Project Name

Brussels Closed Depression/Wautier Project

Part II. Minimum Qualifications (continued)

Question 2. Project Evaluation Strategy

The applicant must agree to provide a description of the modeled results or changes in pollution potential in the final project report. The project evaluation strategy will be based on comparing pre- and post-project changes in modeled pollutant loading to water resources or will be based on the quantity of units managed.

A. Modeling and Measures of Change

Pre- and post-project evaluation measures that the applicant will use to ensure success in meeting project goals: (check all that apply)

	Agricultural Performance Standard or Prohibition	Units of Measure	Recommended Measurement Method	
]	Sheet, rill and wind erosion	Acres meeting T	RUSLE-2 or wind erosion model	
	Manure Storage Facilities: New	Number of facilities	count	
	Construction/Alterations	Number of animal units	count	
	Manure Storage Facilities: Closure	Number of facilities	count	
	Manure Storage Facilities: Failing/Leaking Facilities	Number of facilities	count	
		Number of animal units	count	
	Clean Water Diversions in WQMA	Pollutant load reduction	BARNY Model	
		Number of farms with diversions	count	
		Number animal units	count	
	Nutrient Management on Agricultural Land	Acres planned	count	
	Prohibition: Manure Storage Overflow	Number of facilities	count	
		Number of animal units	count	
	Prohibition: Unconfined Manure Pile in WQMA	Number of farms	count	
	Prohibition: Direct Runoff From Feedlot/Stored	Pollutant load reduction	BARNY Model	
	Manure	Number of facilities	count	
		Number of animal units	count	
	Prohibition: Unlimited Livestock Access	Feet of bank protected	count	
		Number of farms	count	
	Other Priority for Agricultural Area			
	Buffers	Feet of bank protected	CREP formula	
		Number of farms	count	
	Streambank	Tons of bank erosion reduced	NRCS bank erosion formula	
		Feet of bank protected	count	
	Other (specify) Elimination of Winter Spreading	Acres Planned	count	
	Priority for Developed Urban Area			
	20-40% Reduction in Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	Pounds TSS reduced	SLAMM, P-8	
		% TSS reduction		
	Infiltration	% Pre-development stay-on volume	Recarga, SLAMM, P-8	
		Cubic feet stay-on volume	1	
	Peak flow discharge	Change in cubic feet per second	TR-55 or equivalent	
	Protective areas	Feet of bank protected	count	
	Fueling and maintenance areas	Oily sheen presence	visual assessment	
	Streambank	Tons of bank erosion reduced	NRCS bank erosion formula	
		Feet of bank protected	count	
	Other (specify)		1	
	Al-		<u> </u>	
	No B. Monitoring (not eligible for cost sha	ring at this time)		
	The project evaluation strategy will properties. If "Yes," check all that a	provide pre- and post-project informati pply below.	ion from water resource	
	☐ The project will evaluate the physica	al habitat, fisheries, biological, or cher	nical conditions.	
	A one-page summary of the monitor			

~~~	of	
ade	OI	

			Part II. Minimum Qualifications (continued)
Yes	No	C.	Additional Monitoring
			The applicant is willing to participate with the Department to do monitoring in the project area should funding become available.
Quest	tion 3.	Evide	ence of Local Support
	_	The I	evel of local support that currently exists for the proposed project.
	_	Agric	cultural Projects:
Yes	No	A.	Government
		1.	Regulatory Situations If you answered. "Yes" to both items (A.1.a and A.1.b) below, go to Question 4. Otherwise, continue to part A.2. of this question.
	Ш	a.	At least 75% of the total project cost is attributed to the resolution of a Notice of Discharge (NOD) or a Notice of Intent to Issue an NOD (NOI) under ch. NR 243 or non-compliance with agricultural performance standards and prohibitions under subch. II of NR 151 or a local regulation.
$\boxtimes$		b.	At least one of the following is attached to this application form:
			<ol> <li>copy of the NOI issued under NR 243, or</li> </ol>
			2. copy of the NOD issue under NR 243, or
			<ol><li>copy of letter signed by DNR stating that DNR will issue an NOI or NOD under NR 243 if cost sharing is provided, or</li></ol>
			<ol> <li>copy of letter signed by DNR and the county that a notice, under s. NR 151.09 or 151.095, will be issued if necessary, or</li> </ol>
			5. copy of letter signed by the county that the local regulation will be enforced at the project site.
		2.	Non-Regulatory Situations
_	_	a.	The governmental unit has developed:
			<ul> <li>a detailed pollution control plan with the landowners that identifies specific best management practices (BMPs);</li> </ul>
			ii. general assessments of the pollution sources within the project area.
		b.	The governmental unit has contacted the landowner(s)/land operator(s) about the proposed BMP installations.
			If "Yes," provide details.
Voo	No	D	Landowners & Partners
Yes	INO	<b>B.</b> 1.	Level of Landowner Participation
П	П	a.	A majority of the affected landowners/land operators have specifically indicated that they will sign a cost-
ш	Ш	u.	share agreement (CSA) to install the practices requested in this grant application.
		b.	A majority of the affected landowners/land operators have indicated a general interest to participate in the project.
		C.	Letters of support for the project from affected landowners/land operators are attached.
		2.	Involvement of Partners
		a.	Partners, in addition to the unit of government (applicant) and landowner, have committed resources (materials, equipment, staff or financial resources) towards the BMP installation, maintenance, or evaluation of the project.
			If "Yes," list the project partner(s).
_	_		
		b.	Letters of support from the project partner(s) are attached.

Page	_ of
------	------

	Part II. Minimum Qualifications (continued)				
	Urban Projects:				
Yes	No	A.	Government		
		1.	The local-share funds for the construction/installation expenses:		
		a.	are already included specifically in an <u>adopted</u> budget;		
		b.	will be included in a <u>proposed</u> budget.		
		2.	The governmental unit has already conducted public information activities within the project area for this practice.		
		If "Yes," provide details on the opportunity for public reaction the governmental unit provided and indicate the general public support or non-support for the project that was indicated.			
Yes	No	В.	Landowners		
_	_	1.	The governmental unit:		
님	님	a.	already owns, or holds an easement for, the land on which the project is to be installed;		
П		b.	is submitting with the application a list of landowners, occupants, or tenants that occupy the property and information indicating each party's willingness to sell or ease the necessary parcel.		
		2.	Evidence of citizen (non-governmental) support for the project (such as letters from the neighborhood association, a civic group or an environmental organization voicing support) is attached.		
Ques	tion 4.	Basiı	n Priorities (check one, A through H)		
	Α.		n Water Act s. 303(d) List of Impaired Waters		
		A S	Project with water quality goals directly dealing with a waterbody (lake or stream) on the latest Clean Water Act (CWA) s. 303(d) List of impaired waters, where the cause of the water quality impairment is nonpoint source pollution, and this project will reduce the type of nonpoint source pollutants for which the water is isted. (See Attachment A.)		
	В.	Outs	tanding and Exceptional Resource Waters		
			Waterbody is included in s. NR 102.10 (Outstanding Resource Waters) and/or s. NR 102.11 (Exceptional Resource Waters).		
$\boxtimes$	C.	NPS Rankings			
		Project is located in a large-scale watershed, a small-scale watershed, lake watershed, or other area ranked high or medium on the NPS Rankings List, where the goals of the project are directly associated with the reason for the ranking on the NPS Rankings List.			
	D.	Amendment of the NPS Rankings List Using State of the Basin Reports			
			Project is located within a watershed ranked low or not ranked on the NPS Rankings List, but information in a DNR State of the Basin report indicates a need to amend the NPS Rankings List because the stream, stream segment, or lake is being affected by nonpoint sources of pollution.		
	E.	Ame	ndment of the NPS Rankings List Using Other Data Sources		
			Project is leasted within a watershed ranked low or not ranked on the NDS Pankings List, but adequate data		
		E	Project is located within a watershed ranked low or not ranked on the NPS Rankings List, but adequate data exists to request a ranking of high or medium for a waterbody that that is being affected by nonpoint sources of pollution.		
	F.	6	exists to request a ranking of high or medium for a waterbody that that is being affected by nonpoint sources		
	F.	Sour F	exists to request a ranking of high or medium for a waterbody that that is being affected by nonpoint sources of pollution.		
	F.	Sour F L G	exists to request a ranking of high or medium for a waterbody that that is being affected by nonpoint sources of pollution.  Ices of Information for Areas Not Included in State of the Basin Reports  For some border waters, there is no State of the Basin report (i.e., along the Mississippi River or the Great Lakes). For these situations, another governmental document, accepted by the Regional NPS Coordinator,		

Form 8700-300 (R 1/08)

Page of	
---------	--

TRM Grant Project Name

**Brussels Closed Depression/Wautier Project** 

#### **Part III. Competitive Elements**

#### Question 5. Water Quality Needs (check one, A through G)

Sauk Creek

Manitowoc River

Sheboygan & Onion Rivers

The water quality category which best identifies the water quality goals for the project directly deals with:

Note: For border waters where a State of the Basin Report does not exist, another governmental document acceptable to the Regional Nonpoint Source Coordinator may be used to identify the water quality need.

		to the Regional Nonpoint Source Co	ordinator may be used	to identify the water quality need.		
	Surfa	ace Water Considerations				
	A.	Clean Water Act s. 303(d) List of Impaired Waters  A waterbody (lake or stream) on the latest Clean Water Act (CWA) s. 303(d) List of impaired waters, where the cause of the water quality impairment is nonpoint source pollution, <u>and this project</u> will reduce the type of nonpoint source pollutants for which the water is listed. (See Attachment A.)				
	B.	Not Fully Meeting Uses A waterbody (lake or stream) id meeting designated uses due to		of the Basin report as not meeting or partially is not on the 303(d) List.		
	C.	Outstanding or Exceptional Resou Prevention of degradation due t quality, recreationally significant	o nonpoint sources of c	utstanding or exceptional resource waters or high		
	D.	Surface Water Quality Prevention of surface water quahigh quality, recreationally signi		nonpoint sources. Waters in this category are not		
	Grou	undwater Considerations*				
	E.	Exceeds Groundwater Enforcemer Groundwater within the project of contaminants that exceed groundwater exceed groundwater Enforcement	area where representat	ive information indicates there are levels for NPS andards.		
	F.					
	G.	Exceeds Groundwater Preventive Action Limit  Groundwater within the project area where representative information indicates there are levels for NPS contaminants that exceed groundwater preventive action limits.				
Roni	ıs Poir	nte.				
Yes	No	113.				
$\boxtimes$		Water quality goals relate to the conti	ol of nonpoint source c	ontaminants in public drinking water supplies.		
	1.	If "Yes," and the source of drinking water affected by the project area is groundwater, the project protects:				
	a.	One wellhead protection area;*				
$\boxtimes$	b.	More than one wellhead protection area.*				
	2.	If "Yes," and the source of drinking water affected by the project area is <u>surface water</u> , check the source water assessment area (drainage area) in which the project is located:				
		Pike River & Creek		Twin Rivers		
		Root River		Kewaunee & Ahnapee Rivers		
		Oak Creek		Menominee River		
		Milwaukee River		Fish Creek		

*Contact the Regional DNR Drinking Water and Groundwater Specialist or the county extension office.

St. Louis & Nemadji Rivers

Lake Winnebago

Page	of	
raye	01	

TRM Grant Project Name
Brussels Closed Depression/Wautier Project

### Part III. Competitive Elements (continued)

Ques	tion 6.	Exte	nt of Pollutant Control			
Yes	No	A.	NR 151 Agricultural Performance Standards & Prohibitions			
			The proposed project addresses at least one of the NR 151 agricultural performance standards and prohibitions. Indicate the performance standard(s) or prohibition(s) that is/are the focus of this project. (check all that apply)			
			a. Sheet, rill, and wind erosion. (NR 151.02)			
			b. Manure storage facilities: new/significant alterations. (NR 151.05(2))			
			c. Manure storage facilities: closure. (NR 151.05(3))			
			d. Manure storage facilities: existing failing/leaking. (NR 151.05(4))			
			e. Clean water diversions. (NR 151.06)			
		$\boxtimes$	f. Nutrient management. (NR 151.07)			
			g. Prohibition: Prevention of overflow from manure storage facilities. (NR 151.08(2))			
			h. Prohibition: Prevention of unconfined manure piles in water quality management areas (within 300 fteet of a stream, 1000 feet. of a lake, or areas where the groundwater is susceptible to contamination). (NR 151.08(3))			
			<ul><li>i. Prohibition: Prevention of direct runoff from a feedlot or stored manure into waters of the state. (NR 151.08(4))</li></ul>			
			j. Prohibition: Prevention of unlimited livestock access to waters of the state where high concentrations of animals prevent the maintenance of adequate sod cover or self-sustaining vegetation. (NR 151.08(5))			
Yes	No	В.	Other Water Resources Management Priority			
$\boxtimes$			The proposed project addresses a water resources management priority other than an NR 151 agricultural performance standard or prohibition.			
			If "Yes," describe the priority and how the project addresses this priority.			
			SWCD and construction of the BMPs suggested for this project will provide adequate storage capacity for all wastes generated and eliminate the need for application of waste during frozen periods.			
Yes	No	C.	Planning Data & Source Targeting			
			The applicant has quantitative planning information that ranks pollution sources from highest to lowest in severity <u>and</u> the proposed project will manage a pollution source contained in the top 50% of the ranked list. If "Yes," provide:			
			a. Description of planning data;			
			Strategy for Implementation of Agricultural Standards			
			b. Name of document(s);			
			Door County Land and Water Resource Management Plan			
			c. Date(s) published;  January 24, 2006			
		•	d. Pertinent page numbers.			
			49-52			
			e. A copy of non-state document(s) is available:			
			At this website; http://map.co.door.wi.us/swcd			
			Attached to this application form.			
			Contact this person: Name: Phone:			

Page	of
. ~9~	

TRM Grant Project Name
Brussels Closed Depression/Wautier Project

### Part III. Competitive Elements (continued)

Question 7.		Consistency with Resource Management Plans
Yes	No	
$\boxtimes$		The project implements a water quality recommendation from a locally approved resource management plan.
		Summarize the water quality recommendation. Cite the name and date(s) of publication of the document. Recommendations made for this project are consistent with the Agricultural Performance Standards and Animal Waste Storage Ordinance. This ordinance was adopted by the Door County Board and codified as Door County Code Chapter 23 in August of 2004 and is a comprehensive ordinance that outlines local implementation of Statewide Agricultural Performance Standards and Prohibitions.
		The Door County Land and Water Resource Management Plan, approved in January of 2006, outlines strategies for implementation of the Statewide Agricultural Performance Standards and Prohibitions via Door County Code Chapter 23. This plan also assesses areas of concern for the county; the Red River/Sturgeon Bay Watershed is assessed and threat for contamination from agricultural pollutants is outlined anddescribed as originating from the improper handling, storage and disposal of animal waste. The most common groundwater pollutants are bacteria and nitrates.and the impacts of nonpoint pollution on groundwater quality and surface water habitat are listed as areas of concern.
	_	The protection of groundwater and surface water resources from improper management of agriculture facilities has been consistently listed as a high priority in documents such as "A Nonpoint Source Control Plan for the Upper Door Priority Watershed Project, "Door County Land and Water Resource Management Plan", "Nonpoint Source Control Plan for the Red River/Sturgeon Bay Watershed Project", "A Guide to Significant Wildlife Habitat and Natural Areas of Door County, Wisconsin", "The State of the Lakeshore Basin" and "Twin-Door-Kewaunee Basin Areawide Water Quality Management Plan".
	ion 8.	Use of Additional Funding
Yes	No	
$\boxtimes$	Ш	A. The state share is less than the \$150,000 cap.
	Ш	B. Funding requested is below the maximum allowable cost-share rate (amount is less than Part II. Question 1. row 15).
Quest	ion 9.	City of Racine
Yes	No ⊠	This is an application from the City of Racine for a project that is necessary for the city to comply with state storm
		water permitting requirements.

Form 8700-300 (R 1/08)

Page	of	

TRM Grant Project Name

**Brussels Closed Depression/Wautier Project** 

#### Part IV. Eligibility for Multipliers

Completion of this part of the application is optional. However, an applicant can increase the final project score by qualifying for a project multiplier.

#### Agricultural Projects (select all that are in place as of the application submittal date)

- A. Local Implementation Program (factor 0.1) (check all that apply)
  - Check activities listed below that are part of the local program to implement agricultural performance standards and prohibitions contained in ch. NR 151. Check all activities that apply. An activity may be checked "Yes" if <u>either</u> of the following is true:
  - The activity is currently assigned to the applicant, or another local unit of government, in an approved Land and Water Resources Management Plan (LWRMP), an updated LWRMP work plan or an Inter-Governmental Agreement (IGA) with the Department of Natural Resources. List the document and page number where the activity is addressed.
  - The activity is not currently assigned in one of these documents, but the applicant describes, in the space provided below, who will conduct the activity.

Yes	No			Document	Page Number
$\boxtimes$		1.	Inform and educate landowners/operators about performance	Door County Land and	49
			standards and prohibitions.	Water Resource	
				Management Plan	
		_			
$\boxtimes$	Ш	2.	Conduct compliance status surveys, including on-site visits, for croplands and livestock facilities and convey compliance	Door County Land and	50
			status to landowners/operators.	Water Resource	
			datas to landownors, operators.	Management Plan	
$\boxtimes$	П	3.	Discuss with landowners/operators the best management	Door County Land and	50
	_	-	practices needed to achieve compliance with performance	Water Resource	
			standards and prohibitions.	Management Plan	
$\boxtimes$		4.	Seek financial assistance for landowners/operators to	Door County Land and	50
			achieve compliance with performance standards and	Water Resource	
			prohibitions.	Management Plan	
					T
$\boxtimes$		5.	Develop cost-share agreements with landowners/operators	Door County Land and	50
			and provide them with technical assistance to achieve compliance with performance standards and prohibitions.	Water Resource	
			compliance with performance standards and profilolitons.	Management Plan	
$\boxtimes$		6.	Track compliance status of croplands and livestock facilities	Door County Land and	50
	Ш	0.	and provide compliance status information to the Department	Water Resource	30
			of Natural Resources upon request. This includes notifying	Management Plan	
			DNR when a landowner/operator does not comply with a notice issued under NR 151.09 or NR 151.095.	management Flan	1
$\boxtimes$		7.	Provide assistance to the Department of Natural Resources	Door County Land and	50
			to issue notices under NR 151.09 and NR 151.095.	Water Resource	
				Management Plan	
$\boxtimes$	П	8.	In situations where local regulations do not require	Door County Land and	50
	ш	0.	compliance with a performance standard or prohibition, refer cases of non-compliance to the local district attorney or the	Water Resource	30
				Management Plan	
			Department of Natural Resources.	wanagement Flan	
	-				
		If an	item checked above is not covered by a LWRMP, an updated LV	VRMP work plan or an IGA with	DNR, list the

activity and identify who will carry it out.

Form 8700-300 (R 1/08)

Page	of	

TRM Grant Project Name
Brussels Closed Depression/Wautier Project

#### Part IV. Eligibility for Multipliers (continued)

#### B. Local Enforcement Program – Scope of Local Regulations (factor 0.15) (check all that apply)

If there are local ordinances in place which authorize the governmental unit to <u>require</u> the landowner to correct the nonpoint pollution sources for which cost sharing is being offered, then the applicant may earn an enforcement multiplier.

Complete the following table by identifying each of the performance standards and prohibitions that the grant will address, the estimated portion of the grant that will be used to address each standard and prohibition, and the local regulation that applies to the specific situation being addressed at the site. The Department will calculate the enforcement multiplier based on the extent to which local regulations provide authority for the governmental unit to regulate the specific performance standards and prohibitions for which the cost share is being provided at the specific site being funded.

Check the appropriate performance standard/prohibition per line. The standard(s)/prohibition(s) selected below should be the same one/s cited in the answer(s) to Question 6.A. [e.g., 6.A.a. "Sheet, rill and wind erosion (NR 151.02)].

	be the same one/s cited in the answer	(s) to Question 6.A. [	e.g., b.A.a	a. Snee	i, nii and wind erosion (NR 151.02)].
Column 1		Column 2	Colu	mn 3	Column 4
Performance standard/prohibition to be addressed with funding. Check all that apply, as in Question 6.A.		Estimated portion (%) of the grant award to be spent on the performance standard/prohition. The sum should equal 100%.	Is there a local regulation which addresses the specific site being funded?		If there is a local regulation which addresses the specific site being funded, list the name and applicable section of the ordinance.
			Yes	No	
	a. Sheet, rill, and wind erosion. (NR 151.02)				
	b. Manure storage facilities: new/significant alterations. (NR 151.05(02))				
	c. Manure storage facilities: closure (NR 151.05(03))				
	d. Manure storage facilities: existing failing/leaking. (NR 151.05(4))				
	e. Clean water diversions. (NR 151.06)				
	f. Nutrient management. (NR 151.07)	75			Chapter 23 Door County Code Agricultural Performance Standards and Animal Waste Storage Ordinance Subchapter III Section 1.31(4)
	g. Prohibition: Prevention of overflow from manure storage facilities. NR 151.08(2))				
	h. Prohibition: Prevention of unconfined manure piles in water quality management areas (within 300 ft. of a stream, 1000 ft. of a lake, or areas where the groundwater is susceptible to contamination). (NR 151.08(3))	25			Chapter 23 Door County Code Agricultural Performance Standards and Animal Waste Storage Ordinance Subchapter III Section 1.31(5)
	i. Prohibition: Prevention of direct runoff from a feedlot or stored manure into waters of the state. (NR 151.08(4))				

Page ___ of ___

NR 151.12(5)(d)

NR 151.12(5)(e)

Form 8700-300 (R 1/08) TRM Grant Project Name **Brussels Closed Depression/Wautier Project** Eligibility for Multipliers (continued) Part IV. j. Prohibition: Prevention of unlimited livestock access to waters of the state where high concentrations of animals prevent the maintenance of adequate sod cover or self-sustaining vegetation. (NR 151.08(5)) Sum of %: 100 Check all that apply. At least one (1) category must be checked to earn an enforcement multiplier. Copies of ordinances for which credit is taken in this section are: Found at this website (provide http://map.co.door.wi.us/swcd most direct web page URL); Attached to this application; Already submitted with another application for CY 2009 funding. **Urban Projects** (select all that are in place as of the application submittal date) Title(s) of ordinance(s) for which credit is taken in this section: Copies of ordinances for which credit is taken in this section are: Found at this website (provide http:// most direct web page URL); Attached to this application form; П Already submitted with another application. Yes No A. **Local Implementation Program** (factor 0.1) Implement a construction site erosion control ordinance consistent with the performance standards and 1. applicability requirements of s. NR 151.11. 2. Implement a pollution prevention information and education program targeted at residents, including property owners. 3. Implement nutrient management for municipally-owned properties where nutrients are applied to at least five (5) acres. (You may check "Yes" if this item does not apply.) 4. Track, evaluate and report to DNR the status of erosion control and storm water permit activity. If all items (1 through 4) above are checked "Yes," go on to part B. Otherwise, stop here. В. **Local Enforcement Program** (factor 0.15) Yes No 1. There is a storm water management ordinance in effect for new development and re-development in the project area. 2. The local regulation requires a written storm water plan. If items B.1. and B.2. are checked "Yes," go on to part B.3. Otherwise, stop here. 3. Check the box next to any of the listed non-agricultural performance standards if there is a local regulation currently in place that requires compliance with that performance standard. (An item may be checked "Yes" only if the minimum applicability requirements of NR 151.12 are met.) (check all that apply) Yes No Non-Agricultural Performance Standards Wis. Adm. Code Reduce total suspended solids per П NR 151.12(5)(a) a. Reduce peak flow discharge per NR 151.12(5)(b) NR 151.12(5)(c) Achieve infiltration per

C.

d.

Protect riparian areas per

Manage fueling and vehicle maintenance areas per

Form 8700-300 (R 1/08)

Page	0	f
ı ayc		''

TRM Grant Project Name

**Brussels Closed Depression/Wautier Project** 

### Part IV. Eligibility for Multipliers (continued)

#### **Optional Additional Information**

Carefully review the answers to all of the questions above. Is there additional information that will add to the understanding of this project? If so, describe here.

The Door County Soil and Water Conservation Department is committed to implementation of the agricultural performance standards and prohibitions as outlined in NR 151 and Chapter 23 Door County Code. Please see the attached letters illustrating this support and please condsider them as evidence of local support as required in Part II, Question 3 of this application.

#### **Applicant Certification**

An Authorized Representative must sign and date the application form prior to submittal to the DNR. All four (4) copies must include original signatures of the Authorized Representative.

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the information contained in this application and attachments is correct and true.

Signature of Authorized Representative

Date Signed 4/14/08

William, Schuster,
Telephone Number 920-746-2214
E-Mail Address wscuster@co.door.wi.us
Mailing Address 421 Nebraska Street, Sturgeon Bay, WI, 54235

[name and title, please print)]
Fax Number **920-746-2369** 

To be considered for funding, provide the following for each application submitted:

- One (1) copy of the completed application form [DNR Form 8700-300 (R 1/08)] with original signature in blue ink;
- Three (3) additional copies of the completed, signed application form;
- One (1) electronic copy of the completed application form on CD or diskette in Microsoft Word format only.

All application materials must be postmarked by midnight April 15, 2008.

Send to: Department of Natural Resources Attn: Kathy Thompson, WT/3 101 South Webster Street P.O. Box 7921 Madison, WI 53707-7921