Final Report Form 3400-189 (rev. 7/30/09)

- Targeted Runoff Management Grant Program (ch. NR 153)
- Notice of Discharge Program (ch. NR 153)
- Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant Program (ch. NR 155)

NOTICE: This Final Report is authorized under ss. 281.65 and 281.66., Wis. Stats., and chs. NR 153 and NR 155, Wis. Admin. Code. Personally identified information collected will be used for program administration and may be made available to requesters as required under Wisconsin Open Records Law [ss. 19.31-19.39, Wis. Stats.].

INSTRUCTIONS: Your grant agreement requires you to submit a Final Report with your final reimbursement request. This Final Report form must be used in conjunction with the "FINAL REPORT INSTRUCTIONS." The instructions detail how to complete and submit the report to DNR as described in the instructions.

1. GRANT TYPE. Check the one that applies.							
☐ Targeted Runoff Management Grant – Agricultural			☐ Targeted Runoff Management Grant – Urban				
☐ Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant – Construction		Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant – Planning					
☐ Notice of Discharge Grant							
2. PROJECT NAME & LOCATION.							
2.1. Project Name:	2.1. Project Name: 2.2. Grant Number:						
Rieck's Lake Stabilization, Pha	se III		TRC-B	ST07-06000-08H			
2.3. Governmental Unit Name:			2.4. P	2.4. Primary Watershed Name: 2.5. Watershed Code:			
Buffalo County - Land Conserv	ation Department		Lower	Buffalo River		BT07	
NOTE FOR SECTION 2.6 (which	h follows):						
Section 2.6. includes five (5) coldiscrete project locations, attach Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC), use	additional columns for S	Section 2.6 as de	escribed	in the instructions. If yo			
2.6 Site Location(s) →	A.	B.	B. C. D.			E.	
Name of Cost-Share Recipient or Governmental Unit	Allen Gleiter	Allen Gleiter	en Gleiter Allen Gleiter		Noll's	Dairy Farm	
Cost-Share Agreement Number (Agricultural only)	AG-08-TRM	AG-08-TRM AG-		AG-08-TRM	ND-08	-TRM	
12-Digit Hydrologic Unit Code(s) (HUC) Where Work Was Completed	070400030205	070400030205		070400030205	07040	0030205	
Nearest Surface Receiving Water Affected							
Name:	Reisch Creek	Reisch Creek	T	Reisch Creek			
Waterbody Identification Code(s) (WBIC):	1814200	1814200		1814200			
Nearest Impaired Water Affected							
Name:	na	na		na	na		
Waterbody Identification Code(s) (WBIC):	na	na		na	na		
Pollutants Reduced	sedimentation to the stream	sedimentatio the stream	n to	sedimentation to the stream	sedim the st	entation to ream	
Impairments/Impacts Addressed	instream sedimentation, scouring, etc.	instream sedimentatio scouring, etc	,	instream sedimentation, scouring, etc.		am entation, ing, etc.	

- Targeted Runoff Management Grant Program (ch. NR 153)
- Notice of Discharge Program (ch. NR 153)
- Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant Program (ch. NR 155)

Project Location(s) (cont.) →	A.	B.	C.	D.	E.
Project Coordinates:					
Town	21	21	21	21	
Range	12	12	12	13	
Section	6	6	6	1	
Quarter	NW	NW	sw	NW	
Quarter-Quarter	SE	SE	NE	NE	
Latitude (degrees, minutes, seconds North of Equator; use the DNR's Surface Water Data Viewer (SWDV))	44-19-26N	44-19-36N	44-19-44N	44-19-53.1N	
Longitude (degrees, minutes, seconds W of Prime Meridian, use the SWDV)	91-52-43W	91-52-45W	91-52-51W	91-53-55.1W	

3. SUMMARY OF RESULTS.

Table A. Agricultural Projects Ch. NR 151 Performance Standards and Prohibitions and Other Water Resources Management Priorities					
A.1. Management Measures	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used		
Sheet, rill and wind erosion	Acres meeting "T"	acres			
Manure Storage Facilities:	Number of facilities	facilities			
New Construction/Alterations	Number of animal units	animal units			
Manure Storage Facilities: Closure	Number of facilities	facilities			
Manure Storage Facilities:	Number of facilities	facilities			
Failing/Leaking Facilities	Number of animal units	animal units			
	Pollutant load reduction	lbs.			
Clean Water Diversions in WQMA	Number of farms with diversions	farms			
	Number animal units	animal units			
Nutrient Management on Agricultural Land	Acres planned	acres			
Backitain Managa Oranga Oranga	Number of farms	farms			
Prohibition: Manure Storage Overflow	Number of animal units	animal units			
Prohibition: Unconfined Manure Pile in WQMA	Number of farms	farms			
	Pollutant load reduction	lbs.			
Prohibition: Direct Runoff From Feedlot/Stored Manure	Number of facilities	facilities			
	Number of animal units	animal units			
Prohibition: Unlimited Livestock Access	Feet of bank protected	feet			
FIOTIBILION. OF INTINEED LIVESTOCK ACCESS	Number of farms	farms			

- Targeted Runoff Management Grant Program (ch. NR 153)
- Notice of Discharge Program (ch. NR 153)
- Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant Program (ch. NR 155)

Table A. Agricultural Projects. (continued) A.2. Other Management Measures	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Streambank & Shoreline Protection	Units (use feet, acres or number as applicable) Pollutant load reduction (if		
	method available) Units (use feet, acres or		reduction in CFS(cubic feet per second)of water
Other: grade stabilization structures - Peak Flow Discharge	number as applicable)	91	to a stream
- Teak Flow Discharge	Pollutant load reduction (if method available)		
Other:	Units (use feet, acres or number as applicable)		
	Pollutant load reduction (if method available)		
Other:	Units (use feet, acres or number as applicable)		
	Pollutant load reduction (if method available)		
Table B. Urban Construction Projects Se	erving Developed Areas.		
B.1. Required Management Measures	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
20-40% Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	TSS reduced	lbs.	
Reduction for NR 216 communities	TSS reduction	%	
B.2. Other Management Measures			
20-40% Reduction in TSS for	TSS reduced	lbs.	

B.1. Required Management Measures	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
20-40% Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	TSS reduced	lbs.	
Reduction for NR 216 communities	TSS reduction	%	
B.2. Other Management Measures			
20-40% Reduction in TSS for	TSS reduced	lbs.	
non-NR 216 communities	TSS reduction	%	
Infiltration	Pre-development stay-on volume	%	
	Stay-on volume	ft ³ /year	
Peak flow discharge for 2 year/24 hour design storm	Change in cubic feet per second for design year	ft ³ /sec	
Protective areas	Bank protected	feet	
Fueling & maintenance areas	Oily sheen presence reduced	□Yes □ No	
Streambank & Shoreline Protection	Bank erosion reduced	tons	
Streambank & Shoreline Protection	Bank protected	feet	
Other:	Pollutant load reduction (if method available)		
Oulet.	Units (use feet, acres or number as applicable)		

Table C. Urban Planning Projects.						
C.1. Governmental unit(s) involved (list by	C.1. Governmental unit(s) involved (list by name):					
C.2. Estimate total acres covered by the	Existing Developed Urban Areas	New Development	Total Acres			

- Targeted Runoff Management Grant Program (ch. NR 153)
- Notice of Discharge Program (ch. NR 153)
- Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant Program (ch. NR 155)

acres	acres		acres					
Ide	ntify Documents by Name (if application	able)						
Comments:								
ements. If cost sharing for this pro	ject was offered under a formal noti	ice pursuant to c	hs. NR 151 or 243,					
		Notice Satisfa	action Information					
From (Name)	To (Name)	Satisfied? Yes No	Date Letter Sent					
	Comments: If cost sharing for this proable below.	Identify Documents by Name (if applications) Comments: Brieff Cost sharing for this project was offered under a formal not able below.	Identify Documents by Name (if applicable) Comments: Comments: Penents. If cost sharing for this project was offered under a formal notice pursuant to a able below. Notice Satisfied? Satisfied?					

Final Report Form 3400-189 (rev. 7/30/09)

- Targeted Runoff Management Grant Program (ch. NR 153)
- Notice of Discharge Program (ch. NR 153)
- Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant Program (ch. NR 155)

5. Additional Information. (Space will expand to fit your text.)

The largest percentage of streams in Buffalo County are impacted by sedimentation to the stream, which ultimately causes in-stream sedimentation, scouring, etc. The Lower Buffalo River Watershed is the area for this project and all the streams in that watershed are impacted by sedimentation to the streams from such activities such as non-point source pollution, streambank erosion, streambank pasturing (which has been reduced to a minimum, with the smaller cattle numbers in that watershed) and cropland erosion. Grade Stabilization structures are a very common practice to address gully erosion, especially when the gully is cutting into a cropped field, for example. These structures are relatively inexpensive for the long-term impact they have to reduce erosion to the stream. In some cases, when the structure is constructed for upland erosion, it is necessary to construct a waterway to catch the water runoff from the outlet of the structure. No grassed waterways are necessary at the outlet to the structures in this grant project. Waterways are either currently in place or not needed. No photos are available for these conservation practices. Practices were not constructed for compliance with any of the Performance Standards, so there is no Certification of Compliance with this Final Report. I have attached contracts for technical assistance from each of the landowners and a listing of the technicians hours to request reimbursment for Engineering Services.

6. Summary of Project Challenges. (Space will expand to fit your text.)

The greatest challenges when constructing grade stabilization structures is to find an ideal time of the year for construction and quality of suitable fill material, which is free of large rocks. An unecessary increase in cost can arise when fill material needs to be trucked to the site. Grade Stabilization structures are typically not constructed in the spring, because of real wet soils and not in a summer, when it has been real dry, because the compaction process is not completed as adequate with these extreme soil conditions. Landowners may not want their crops distrubed for the purpose of construction, so they like to wait until the crops are harvested in the fall and sometimes it gets to late in the fall for a good grass cover to be established to protect from soil erosion in the following spring runoff. We were fortunate to be able to construct all the structures in this grant at a time of the year to allow for a good grass cover and proper compaction.

7. Grantee Certification.				
Checking here 🗵 certifies that, to the best of your knowledge, the information contained in this report is correct.				
Name of Authorized Representative (type or print) ↓ Title of Authorized Representative (type or print) ↓				
Julie Lindstrom County Conservationist				
Signature of Authorized Representative	Date			

8. For Departmental Use Only.

Regional NPS Coordinator – Please complete the following:

- 8.A. Check here if you have received the following from the project sponsor:
 - one (1) printed, signed, original Final Report + attachments
 - one (1) electronic version of Final Report.

Send the printed, signed original Final Report with attachments + electronic version to the Community Financial Assistance Grants Manager. Community Financial Assistance will forward to Runoff Management Section Grants Coordinator.

- Targeted Runoff Management Grant Program (ch. NR 153)
- Notice of Discharge Program (ch. NR 153)
- Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant Program (ch. NR 155)

8.B. Comments about this project:	
8.C. Type or print Name of Regional NPS Coordinator →	
8.D. Signature of Regional NPS Coordinator	8.E. Date