State of Wisconsin
Department of Natural Resources
Bureau of Watershed Management,
Runoff Management Section, WT/3
101 South Webster St.
Madison, WI 53703, OR
P. O. Box 7921, Madison, WI 53707-7921

# Substitution Request for Targeted Runoff Management, and Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management-Construction Grants

Form 8700-308 (R 5/10)

Page I of 4

Notice: Complete this application if you want to change the location where practices were to be installed after grant assistance has been awarded by the Dept of Natural Resources, as required under ss. 281.65 and 281.66, Wis. Stats., and Chapters NR 153 and 155, Wis. Adm. Code. Information collected will be used for program budget analysis and project evaluation. Personally identifiable information will be used for program administration and may be made available to requesters under Wisconsin's Open Records Law [ss.19.31-19.39, Wis. Stats.]

#### Instructions:

A grantee selected for funding through either the Targeted Runoff Management (TRM) or the Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management (UNPS&SW) Grant Program may propose substitutions to the original project proposal. Completion of this form is required for substitutions to the initial grant, including alternative locations for installing practices and, in some cases, revisions to best management practices (BMPs). The grantee must certify that the substitution will achieve results comparable to those anticipated through the original project proposal. All project substitutions must comply with federal and state laws for the protection of cultural and historical resources, and for detecting and managing contaminated soils or solid waste encountered during installation of the BMPs. If such materials are encountered, the grantee shall immediately contact the Department's Regional Nonpoint Source (NPS) Coordinator. The Department may terminate this proposed grant substitution if it determines that installation and operation of the best management practices may facilitate movement of hazardous substances to waters of the state.

Please answer the following questions and sign the form. Submit a signed printed copy of the request, including any attachments, to the Department's Regional NPS Coordinator for your area. If an alternative BMP location is being proposed, include a photocopy of a topographic map showing the location of the proposed location.

The most current grant application, found at: <a href="http://dnr.wi.gov/runoff/grants/applications/">http://dnr.wi.gov/runoff/grants/applications/</a> will be used by the Department in making decisions concerning this substitution request.

The Department's Surface Water Data Viewer (<a href="http://dnr.wi.gov/org/water/data\_viewer.htm">http://dnr.wi.gov/org/water/data\_viewer.htm</a> ) may be helpful in answering some of the following questions.

Grantee Information						
Governmental Unit Name Marinette County		Grant Number TRC-GB08-38000-09E				
Former Project Name Zeitler Farm Beef Operation		Watershed in Which Project Was To Be Located (Must be in the same Watershed as original grant) Little Peshtigo River				
Legislative District: (find at : http://discourse.com/	tp://www.legis.state.wi.us/ ) Se	enate 30 Assembly 89				
Proposed Project Name Fendryk Farm Dairy Operation	n	Watershed in Which Proposed Project Is to be Located (Must be in the same Watershed as original grant) Little Peshtigo River				
Proposed Watershed Name Little Peshtigo River	Proposed Waterbody Code GB08	Proposed Primary Waterbody Name Bass Lake	Proposed Nearest Waterbody Name Bass Lake			
Proposed 12-digit federal Hydro 040301050504	ologic Unit Code:	Does the waterbody appear on waters submitted to the USEPA of the Clean Water Act (33 USC Yes No Name of s. 303(d) Listed Water	A in compliance with sec. 303(d) C § 1251. et seq.)?			
proposed project must be those Impaired Waters. To determine	he project: (Note: To remain eli e responsible for the waterbody i e the waterbody's impairment, re watersummary/Waterqualityasse		ants to be controlled by the lly-approved 303(d) List of			
Nutrients	ent Other:					

### Questions

## 1. Reason for Request:

State the rationale for requesting this substitution. If requesting new or replacement BMPs, you must identify the BMP. Also include a revised budget sheet for Part I, Question 1.B of the application.

Mr. Zeitler decided not to construct the bmp's when 1) the economy (and beef market) took a down turn, 2) his son decided not farm and 3) he researched the cost of hiring a custom applicator to empty the pit (he owns a feed mill and figures he can apply commercial fertilizer cheaper than he can apply manure). We would not be using any of the BMP's currently listed in the TRM grant.

I have enclosed an aerial photo of the site to make the explanation easier. We would like to install practice R17 Waste Treatment (Milking Center, Feed Leachate) in the area west of the feed bags shown on the aerial photo. This practice did not exist when we first installed TRM funded BMP's on the site in 1998. The red rectangular area west of the feed bags would be covered with a concrete feed storage pad that would be shaped and sloped to drain to an existing collection area, from which feed leachate would be pumped to the existing manure storage.

The benefits of this are as follows:

The blue line shows the drainage way that runs parallel to the feed bags to the little creek that drains into Bass Lake. Installing the pad will get stored feed off of the ground and collect the leachate before it reaches the drainage way.

This project will allow Fendryk to move his stored feed further away from the lake as well. In the picture, the southeast corner of the bags is about 400 feet from Bass Lake.

The funds from the TRM grant would be used strictly for purchase of the pad. Fendryk will be paying for pump, plumbing, excavation, as well as the labor. Please see the attached price breakdown.

2. Analysis of Request for Substitution:											
Yes	No	NA									
$\boxtimes$			a. The proposed substitution is in the same hydrological unit (the federally-assigned numeric code for a subdivision of a watershed, used to organize hydrologic data,) and affects the same water resources identified in the original application. Identify the location of the proposed BMP(s) below.								
			MCD (Minor Civil Division)	Town- ship	Range	E or W (iden- tify)	Section	Quarter	Quarter/ Quarter	Latitude (degrees, minutes and seconds, only, North of the Equator	Longitude (degrees, minutes, and seconds, only, West of the Prime Meridian, in Greenwich, England
			Beaver, Town of	32N	20	E	30	NE	NW	45 8' 8"	88 3' 28"
			b. Navigable Wa	aters and	Wetland	Determin	nations				
			has det shown o indicate ☐ Sur	ermined on a map the map face Wat	that the p from the has bee	ractice w Departm n consult iewer Ma	ill not be lo ent's Surf ed. ap, 24K Hy	ocated in a	ny intermi Data Viev	treatment practic ttent or perennial ver identified belo	waterway as

Yes	No	NA		
		$\boxtimes$		<ul> <li>ii. Wetlands: If this project will install an urban storm water treatment practice, the applicant has determined that the practice will not be located in any wetland, based upon consulting both of the following:         <ul> <li>Wisconsin Wetland Inventory at: <a href="http://dnr.wi.gov/wetlands/inventory.html">http://dnr.wi.gov/wetlands/inventory.html</a></li> <li>Wetland Indicator Toolkit at: <a href="http://dnr.wi.gov/wetlands/locating.html">http://dnr.wi.gov/wetlands/locating.html</a></li> </ul> </li> </ul>
				If this is a proposed urban project to be funded under ch. NR 155, Wis. Adm. Code, the proposed project area is an urban area as defined by s. NR 155.12(31), Wis. Adm. Code
		$\boxtimes$		If this is a proposed urban project which requires that the applicant have control of the property, the applicant currently owns the property or has control of the property through an easement or construction/maintenance agreement.
				If this application proposes excavation in an urban area, or the purchase of land or an easement, the applicant has completed and attached the Environmental Hazards Assessment form (1800-001), available at: <a href="http://dnr.wi.gov/org/caer/cfa/Grants/Forms/1800001_fillPrint.pdf">http://dnr.wi.gov/org/caer/cfa/Grants/Forms/1800001_fillPrint.pdf</a> Also, complete the following:
				i. If this is a project which includes excavation or the purchase of land or an easement, consult the Bureau of Remediation and Redevelopment (R&R) Site Map (at:: Error! Bookmark not defined. and answer the following questions using a map scale of 1:8529 or larger:
				<ol> <li>There is one or more <u>open</u> (ongoing cleanup) R&amp;R sites on the <u>same property</u> where the excavation is planned.</li> </ol>
				There is one or more <u>closed</u> (completed cleanup) R&R sites on the <u>same property</u> where the excavation is planned.
				3. There is one or more open (ongoing cleanup) R&R sites on an adjacent property.
				4. There is one or more <u>closed</u> (completed cleanup) R&R sites on an <u>adjacent property.</u>
		$\boxtimes$		If this is a proposed project for the University Board of Regents, the project meets the criteria under s. 281.66 (6), Wis. Stats.
				If this is a proposed urban project, then one of the following is true: 1) local governmental unit has jurisdiction over the project area; or 2) the municipal storm water discharge is covered by a municipal storm water permit <u>and</u> the appropriate intergovernmental agreement is in place to install, operate and maintain the BMP.
				Installation of the proposed BMPs will be completed within the grant period. If an extension of the grant period is needed, include a revised project timeline using the table from Part I., Question 1.A .of the grant application.
$\boxtimes$			i.	Staff or contractual services, in addition to those funded by this grant, will be provided as needed.
$\boxtimes$				Staff and/or contractors have adequate training, knowledge, and experience to implement the proposed project.
			k.	Best management practices constructed under this grant are consistent with performance standards under ch. NR 151, Wis. Adm. Code.
$\boxtimes$			1.	The substitution will not increase the amount of the grant request.
				The applicant is requesting the addition of one or best management practices (BMPs) that are not on the current grant.
				The BMP you are requesting in this proposed substitution is a cost-effective means of controlling the pollutant source that is targeted to be controlled. Attach a revised cost-effectiveness assessment using Part I., Question 1.C. from the grant application.
				If this application is for livestock operation controls (e.g., barnyard runoff or manure storage), the applicant has attached the Animal Unit Calculation worksheet, available at: <a href="http://dnr.wi.gov/runoff/pdf/ag/cafo/form340025A.doc">http://dnr.wi.gov/runoff/pdf/ag/cafo/form340025A.doc</a> .
		$\boxtimes$		If this proposed substitution will result in a change in the project evaluation strategy, identify which of the following components the revised strategy will include: (check all that apply)
				i. Modeling or other changes in pollution potential - specify the method (required)
				Method:
				ii. Monitoring (Requires a pre-approved monitoring plan that must be attached.)
Yes	No	NA		

			q. If this is an agricultural project, the landowners who are essential to successful implementation are involved in and committed to the project, or the Department or grantee has agreed in writing to issue a regulatory notice as needed.							
П	П	$\boxtimes$	r. If this is an urban project, attach docume	ntation that the local share is approved and available.						
$\boxtimes$			s. The extent of pollutant control for the rev	ised project is comparable to the extent of pollutant control in						
	_		the original application. If "No," explain:	nal application. If "No," explain:						
Appli	cant (	Certifi	cation:							
l ce	ertify th	at the	above information is true and correct.							
Sig	nature	of Res	sponsible Municipal Representative	Date						
5	1		1/00	1/2/2						
	Mi	m	& Clerin	3/11/10						
Name	and Ti	tle of F	Responsible Municipal Representative (please	print legibly or type) / /						
N	ame: G	Gregory	G. Cleereman							
Т	itle: Ma	arinette	County Conservationist							
			For DNR U	Jse Only						
Region	al Office	e Revie	wer Signature							
Name	(print)		Date							
Ivaille	(print)									
Recom	menda	tion (ch	eck one): Approve Disapprove							
Ration	ale:									
1 1 1 1 1 1										
		1 192 A	Trans	mittal						
From	Pagions	l Office	to Runoff Management Section	Date Sent:						
From Section	Runoff N	Manage	ment Section to Community Financial Assistance	Date Sent:						



# Total Cost to Install Practice R17 (Milking Center, Feed Leachate)

Grade Site	80,000 sq.ft. X \$.05/sq.ft.	=	\$	4,000
Trenching & Pipe Hook up	200 lin.ft. X 2.25	=	\$	450
6" Thick Concrete (350' x 210')	73,500 sq.ft. X \$2.75/sq.f	t.=	\$2	02,125
Pump	600 gpm		\$	5,200
6" PVC Pipe	200 lin.ft. X \$ 9.5/lin.ft.	==	\$	1,900
Electric Hook Up	1 job	==	\$	1,550
Seeding	1 acre X \$350/acre		<u>\$</u>	350
	TOTAL		\$2	15,575

The total cost sharing is \$126,400. This works out (\$126,400/\$215,575) to cost share rate of 58.6%.

# TRM Grant Application – CY 2009 Funding

Form 8700-300 (R 1/08)

Page / of 2

TRM Grant Project Name

FENDRYK

A

# Part II. Minimum Qualifications (continued)

### C. Cost-Effectiveness

- 1. Tangible Benefits
  - a. Primary Benefit:

List the nonpoint source pollutants to be controlled by the project.

The feed leachate collection system (R17) will collect all contaminated runoff from the feed storage pad generated by a 25 year/24 hour storm and pump it to the existing manure storage facility. The existing manure storage facility was overbuilt at landowner expense to hold the additional volume.

Controlling feed leachate runoff will eliminate the last remaining risk of direct runoff of contaminants from the farm site to Bass Lake. This is an espcially important consideration given close proximity of the stored feed to the lake.

b.			econdary benefits will be achieved by implementing this project? (check all that
	$\boxtimes$	Fish and wildlife ha	abitat enhancement
	$\boxtimes$	Enhancements to	recreation
		Public safety	
	$\boxtimes$	Economical opera	tion, economical maintenance and enhanced life expectancy of the BMP
		Other (specify):	Stored feed leachate is known to be particularly high in biological oxygen demand. Installation of the R17 practice will allow for land spreading of leachate following an NRCS 590 compliant Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan.

# TRM Grant Application – CY 2009 Funding

Form 8700-300 (R 1/08)

Page 2 of 2

TRM Grant Project Name

FENDRYK

## Part II. Minimum Qualifications (continued)

#### 2. Cost-Effectiveness

Explain why the proposed project is cost-effective considering the environmental benefit(s) and cost of the project.

To maintain cost effectiveness, Marinette County explored all pracitical design and construction material options. The proposed system provides the highest environmental benefit per dollar spent. The costs are calculated using an average cost list based on the actual costs of more than 30 major projects completed in Marinette County over the last four years. Requiring multiple bids, as we do, keeps costs competitive.

Cost analysis from a similar project showed that the cost of blacktop, and its required components, had a higher cost per square foot than concrete. We also chose to install a concrete pad for feed storage facility because it has the lowest risk of failure, and is the surest way to capture feed leachate. The alternative blacktop pad has higher maintenance needs and is semi permeable. Blacktop is more susceptible to cracking and tearing from machinery which lead leakage. This practice will ensure a nearly 100% reduction in direct pollution loads to waters of the state.

The practice will be designed following the appropriate NRCS Technical Guide standard(s). Pumping the feed leachate to the manure storage facility, in conjunction with the nutrient management plan, will ensure the operator spreads manure only at times that allow for proper incorporation into the soil.

#### No **Alternatives** Yes 3.

- There is more than one way to achieve the benefits checked above. If "No," go to part b.
  - 1) If "Yes," complete the following table with information for the alternative you have chosen and one or two other alternatives. Note that the table requires information about the cost and pollutant load/potential reductions for each alternative considered.

		Alternatives Analys	sis	
	A.	B.	C.	D.
		Cost	Effectiveness	
	Alternative	Estimated Amount	Estimated % of Pollutant Load Reduction	(B. ÷ C.) Cost-Effectiveness
1		\$	%	
2		\$	%	
3		\$	%	

<sup>2)</sup> If the applicant is not choosing the alternative with the lowest ratio of cost to pollutant load/potential reductions, explain why it was not chosen in terms of any of the following: feasibility, secondary benefits potential, or other mitigating factors.

If the answer to part 3.a. was "No," explain why there is no other reasonable alternative to achieve the reduction in pollutant loading/potential or the secondary benefits checked above.

To whom it may concern,

I have met with Marinette County Land & Water Conservation Division (LWCD) staff regarding the proposed Targeted Runoff Management grant application. My responsibilities under the substitution, as well as the roles and responsibilities of the LWCD and WDNR, have been explained to me.

I commit, to the best of my ability, to installing the best management practices described in the substitution application. In addition, I have the financial resources to pay my share of the project costs.

Sincerely,

John Fendryk John Lendryk