Final Report Form 3400-189 (rev. 7/30/09)

- Targeted Runoff Management Grant Program (ch. NR 153)
- Notice of Discharge Program (ch. NR 153)
- Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant Program (ch. NR 155)

NOTICE: This Final Report is authorized under ss. 281.65 and 281.66., Wis. Stats., and chs. NR 153 and NR 155, Wis. Admin. Code. Personally identified information collected will be used for program administration and may be made available to requesters as required under Wisconsin Open Records Law [ss. 19.31-19.39, Wis. Stats.].

INSTRUCTIONS: Your grant agreement requires you to submit a Final Report with your final reimbursement request. This Final Report form must be used in conjunction with the "FINAL REPORT INSTRUCTIONS." The instructions detail how to complete and submit the report to DNR as described in the instructions.

1. GRANT TYPE. Check the one that applies.							
☐ Targeted Runoff Management Grant – Agricultural			☐ Targeted Runoff Management Grant – Urban				
☐ Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant – Construction		Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant – Planning					
☐ Notice of Discharge Grant							
2. PROJECT NAME & LOCATION.							
2.1. Project Name:			2.2. Grant Number:				
Fendryk Farm Leachate Project			TRC-GB08-38000-09 E				
2.3. Governmental Unit Name:			2.4. Primary Watershed Name: 2.5			2.5. Watershe	d Code:
Marinette County Land & Wate	r Conservation Divisio	n	Little Peshtigo River G		GB08	GB08	
NOTE FOR SECTION 2.6 (which	h follows):		_			-	
Section 2.6. includes five (5) columns (A. through E.) for recording data about five (5) discrete site locations. If your grant has more than five (5) discrete project locations, attach additional columns for Section 2.6 as described in the instructions. If your project occurs in more than one 12-digit Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC), use the space in adjacent columns to record other HUC numbers.							
2.6 Site Location(s) →	A.	В.		C.		D.	E.
Name of Cost-Share Recipient or Governmental Unit	John Fendryk						
Cost-Share Agreement Number (Agricultural only)	09-Fendryk-01						
12-Digit Hydrologic Unit Code(s) (HUC) Where Work Was Completed	040301050504						
Nearest Surface Receiving Water Affected							
Name:	Bass Lake						
Waterbody Identification Code(s) (WBIC):	521400						
Nearest Impaired Water Affected							
Name:	Bass Lake						
Waterbody Identification Code(s) (WBIC):	521400						
Pollutants Reduced	BOD, phosphorus, ammonia						
Impairments/Impacts Addressed	Low dissolved oxygen, winter kill						

Final Report Form 3400-189 (rev. 7/30/09)

- Targeted Runoff Management Grant Program (ch. NR 153)
- Notice of Discharge Program (ch. NR 153)
- Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant Program (ch. NR 155)

Project Location(s) (cont.) →	A.	В.	C.	D.	E.
Project Coordinates:					
Town	31N				
Range	20E				
Section	30				
Quarter	NW				
Quarter-Quarter	NE				
Latitude (degrees, minutes, seconds North of Equator; use the DNR's Surface Water Data Viewer (SWDV))	45 8' 8"				
Longitude (degrees, minutes, seconds W of Prime Meridian, use the SWDV)	87 3' 28"				

3. SUMMARY OF RESULTS. Table A. Agricultural Projects. - Ch. NR 151 Performance Standards and Prohibitions and Other Water Resources Management Priorities A.1. Management Measures Units of Measure Measurement Method Used Quantity Sheet, rill and wind erosion Acres meeting "T" acres Number of facilities facilities Manure Storage Facilities: New Construction/Alterations Number of animal units animal units Manure Storage Facilities: Closure Number of facilities facilities Number of facilities facilities Manure Storage Facilities: Failing/Leaking Facilities Number of animal units animal units Pollutant load reduction lbs. Number of farms with Clean Water Diversions in WQMA farms diversions Number animal units animal units Nutrient Management on Acres planned acres Agricultural Land Number of farms farms Prohibition: Manure Storage Overflow Number of animal units animal units Prohibition: Unconfined Manure Pile in Number of farms farms **WQMA** There is no tool or model for estimating pollutant Pollutant load reduction loads from a feed pad Prohibition: Direct Runoff From Number of facilities 1 facilities Count Feedlot/Stored Manure 840 animal units Count Number of animal units Feet of bank protected feet Prohibition: Unlimited Livestock Access Number of farms farms

Final Report Form 3400-189 (rev. 7/30/09)

- Targeted Runoff Management Grant Program (ch. NR 153)
- Notice of Discharge Program (ch. NR 153)
- Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant Program (ch. NR 155)

continued)	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
.2. Other Management Measures		2.00.1.1.19	model on one mountain a good
<u> </u>	Units (use feet, acres or		
Streambank & Shoreline Protection	number as applicable)		
Circambank & Onorchite i Totection	Pollutant load reduction (if		
	method available)		
	Units (use feet, acres or number as applicable)		
Other:	Pollutant load reduction (if		
	method available)		
	Units (use feet, acres or		
Other:	number as applicable)		
outer.	Pollutant load reduction (if		
	method available)		
	Units (use feet, acres or number as applicable)		
Other:	Pollutant load reduction (if		
	method available)		
	,	•	
able B. Urban Construction Projects S	erving Developed Areas.		
.1. Required Management Measures	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
20-40% Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	TSS reduced	lbs.	
Reduction for NR 216 communities	TSS reduction	%	
.2. Other Management Measures			
20-40% Reduction in TSS for	TSS reduced	lbs.	
non-NR 216 communities	TSS reduction	%	
	Pre-development stay-on	%	
1.00		70	
Infiltration	volume		
Infiltration	volume Stay-on volume	ft³/year	
Infiltration Peak flow discharge for 2 year/24 hour design storm	-		
Peak flow discharge for 2 year/24 hour	Stay-on volume Change in cubic feet per second for design year	ft³/year	
Peak flow discharge for 2 year/24 hour design storm Protective areas	Stay-on volume Change in cubic feet per second for design year Bank protected	ft³/year ft³/sec	
Peak flow discharge for 2 year/24 hour design storm Protective areas Fueling & maintenance areas	Stay-on volume Change in cubic feet per second for design year Bank protected Oily sheen presence reduced	ft³/year ft³/sec feet Yes No	
Peak flow discharge for 2 year/24 hour design storm Protective areas	Stay-on volume Change in cubic feet per second for design year Bank protected Oily sheen presence reduced Bank erosion reduced	ft³/year ft³/sec feet Ves No tons	
Peak flow discharge for 2 year/24 hour design storm Protective areas Fueling & maintenance areas	Stay-on volume Change in cubic feet per second for design year Bank protected Oily sheen presence reduced Bank erosion reduced Bank protected Pollutant load reduction (if	ft³/year ft³/sec feet Yes No	
Peak flow discharge for 2 year/24 hour design storm Protective areas Fueling & maintenance areas	Stay-on volume Change in cubic feet per second for design year Bank protected Oily sheen presence reduced Bank erosion reduced Bank protected Pollutant load reduction (if method available)	ft³/year ft³/sec feet Ves No tons	
Peak flow discharge for 2 year/24 hour design storm Protective areas Fueling & maintenance areas Streambank & Shoreline Protection	Stay-on volume Change in cubic feet per second for design year Bank protected Oily sheen presence reduced Bank erosion reduced Bank protected Pollutant load reduction (if	ft³/year ft³/sec feet Ves No tons	

Existing Developed Urban Areas

C.2. Estimate total acres covered by the

Total Acres

New Development

Final Report Form 3400-189 (rev. 7/30/09)

- Targeted Runoff Management Grant Program (ch. NR 153)
- Notice of Discharge Program (ch. NR 153)
- Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant Program (ch. NR 155)

acres	acres		acres	
Ide	ntify Documents by Name (if application	able)		
Comments:				
<u> </u>				
ements. If cost sharing for this pro	ject was offered under a formal noti	ice pursuant to c	hs. NR 151 or 243,	
		Notice Satisfa	action Information	
From (Name)	To (Name)	Satisfied? Yes No	Date Letter Sent	
	Comments: If cost sharing for this proable below.	Identify Documents by Name (if applications) Comments: Brieff Cost sharing for this project was offered under a formal not able below.	Identify Documents by Name (if applicable) Comments: Comments: Penents. If cost sharing for this project was offered under a formal notice pursuant to a able below. Notice Satisfied? Satisfied?	

Final Report Form 3400-189 (rev. 7/30/09)

- Targeted Runoff Management Grant Program (ch. NR 153)
- Notice of Discharge Program (ch. NR 153)
- Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant Program (ch. NR 155)

5. Additional Information. (S	Space will expand to fit your text.)
-------------------------------	--------------------------------------

This lake is currently on the 303(d0 list of impaired waters. This project is the culmanation of a multi year effort to remediate Bass Lake. The practice installed, Waste Treatment (Feed Leachate) R17, is relatively new and does not have a modelling protocol associated with it to predict pollution loads from stored feed.

6. Summary of Project Challenges. (Space will expand to fit your text.)

Recent changes to the construction standards for feed pads greatly increased the costs of this project over what the Fendryks (and us) thought it would cost. Construction Joints now require using three 2X4's instead of two to create a "step" and the addition of Leakmaster at the joint to make it liquid tight. We estimate that this added about \$2000 to the cost of the project. The Control Joints now require the use of slip dowel sleeves every 12" in addition to the Waterstop. We estimate that this added about \$4000 to the cost of the project. The thickness of the floor slab had to be increased from 5" to 6.5" in thickness. This required 400 additional yards of concrete. We estimate that this added about \$30,000 to the cost of the project.

The landowner provided his own labor, which is not included in the costshare calculations. These additional requirements, especially the concrete joint changes, are very labor intensive. Also the landowner provided the pump for the project at his own cost. Factoring in everything the landowner did or provided dropped the state cost share rate to less than 50%.

7. Grantee Certification.			
Checking here 🔀 certifies that, to the best of your knowledge, the information contained in this report is correct.			
Name of Authorized Representative (type or print) ↓ Title of Authorized Representative (type or print) ↓			
Gregory G. Cleereman Marinette County Conservationist			
Signature of Authorized Representative		Date	
		10/15/10	

8. For Departmental Use Only.

Regional NPS Coordinator - Please complete the following:

- 8.A. Check here if you have received the following from the project sponsor:
 - one (1) printed, signed, original Final Report + attachments
 - one (1) electronic version of Final Report.

Send the printed, signed original Final Report with attachments + electronic version to the Community Financial Assistance Grants Manager. Community Financial Assistance will forward to Runoff Management Section Grants Coordinator.

Final Report Form 3400-189 (rev. 7/30/09)

- Targeted Runoff Management Grant Program (ch. NR 153)
- Notice of Discharge Program (ch. NR 153)
- Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant Program (ch. NR 155)

8.B. Comments about this project:	
8.C. Type or print Name of Regional NPS Coordinator →	
8.D. Signature of Regional NPS Coordinator	8.E. Date