## Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources SWIMS Project Summary

#### **General Project Information**

Project ID: ARRA\_Project15 WTDO

Name: ARRA 15 Village of Sussex Spring Creek Grant 2P-00E73201

Type: Grant Project

Subtype: ARRA Pass Through Project

Status: ACTIVE
Start Date: 02/09/2010
End Date: 03/31/2011

Purpose: Spring Creek planning and study of culvert removals and stream restoration of Spring Creek, an impaired waterbody.

**Objective:** Develop a strategy to remove man-made barriers to this waterway and restore a natural stream flow pattern. Collect data to be used in a study on the water quality benefits and treatment of urban pollutants through restoration of natural stream

segments in suburban areas, including the capacity for mitigating urban runoff impacts, through:

¿ more evenly-dispersed flow through natural meanders and in-stream vegetation during storm events as compared to piped systems;

¿ nutrient uptake by in-stream and nearby vegetation;

¿ incorporation of sediment into restored streambed;

¿ transport of ¿normal ¿ amounts of sediment downstream, as compared to excessive scouring of streambed due to

current ¿flashy¿ type of system

 $\dot{\epsilon}$  evaporation and infiltration of runoff throughout the drainage area, rather than depositing excess water in the

downstream reaches of the basin

¿ temperature stabilization

Comments: DUNS #: \_\_\_\_\_ CCR#:\_\_\_\_

Total project award: \$36,000

Outcome: A detailed plan describing the strategy for removing artificial barriers to the stream system which could be adopted by local

units of government, to be used for development of infrastructure and downtown re-development planning. Recommendations from this plan could be adopted by other communities with similar stream obstacles.

The study would determine if there is a water quality benefit to daylighting and restoring other stream segments in semiurban communities by allowing runoff to be treated by the natural ecosystem, as opposed to directing water away to the nearest downstream surface water. Other previous studies around the country have primarily focused on the habitat values of daylighting projects, as opposed to water quality improvements. Results of this study could be considered in

deciding other water quality projects to implement in the region.

#### **Project Statuses**

Date	Reported By	Status	Comments
04/16/2010	MAUREEN MCBROOM	Progress: 0-25% Comple	consultant has gathered field data; using this to begin designing preliminary alternatives before next meeting
07/14/2010	MAUREEN MCBROOM	Progress: 50-75% Compl	met with Village and Interfluve (consultant) to review preliminary conceptual drawings. analysis of field work and other information have determined recommended stream width, buffer size, and 2 potential realignment possibilities. Discussed potential funding sources, inclusion in possible TIF district plans, and potential incorporation into upcoming road reconstruction project. Meetings are tentatively scheduled for August - October to present info. to village's redevelopment group, the general public, and Village Board.
10/13/2010	MAUREEN MCBROOM	Progress: 75-100% Com	Developed 2 conceptual plan alternatives for daylighting Spring Creek; plans & details sent out to Village & Department staff for review and comment. Continuing with meeting planning.
01/28/2011	MAUREEN MCBROOM	Progress: 75-100% Comp	Conceptual plans for daylighting Spring Creek have been completed. Conceptual plans have been presented to the DPW / Village Board members - well received, with good questions & discussion.  he decision-making guide for other municipalities has been drafted, and is close to final; the study / research document re: the

### Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources SWIMS Project Summary

Date Reported By Status Comments

impact of daylighting previously buried streams has been drafted and is being revised to include edits and comments; should be in

final form very soon.

03/22/2011 LISA HELMUTH Active Also extended to March 31st.

04/28/2011 MAUREEN MCBROOM Complete deliverables complete & presented to Village board. Project

currently proposed to be worked into larger overall road

construction project.

### **Project Status Detail**

Question Answer

1. Reporting Timeframe (Q1 (Oct-Dec), Q2 (Jan-Mar), Q3 (Apr-Q6

June), Q4 (July-Sept):

2. Amount expended this time period:

3. Subcontracts or subgrants awarded this period:

4. Number work hours created or maintained to date:

5. Work accomplished this reporting period: Deliverables reviewed, completed and presented to village board.

6. Work goals for coming reporting period: Project complete.

7. Overall project status: Done.

8. WDNR Question: Job equivalent Created

**People** 

NameRoleStatusStart DateEnd DateOrganizationCommentsD ANTUONO, JAMES RPROJECT\_OFFICACTIVE10/03/2009Wisconsin DNR

MCBROOM, MAUREEN A PROJECT\_OFFILACTIVE 04/16/2010 Wisconsin DNR

VILLAGE OF SUSSEX, GRANT\_RECIPII ACTIVE 10/03/2009 VILLAGE OF SUSSEX

**Actions** 

Action Detailed Description Start Date End Date Status

 Restore Hydrology, Morphology
 10/03/2009
 06/30/2010
 PROPOSED

 Restore Riparian Habitat
 10/03/2009
 06/30/2010
 PROPOSED

**Monitoring Stations** 

Station ID Name Comments

683208 Sussex Creek at Sth 164

**Assessment Units** 

WBICSegmentLocal NameOfficial Name7734001Spring CreekSpring Creek

**Lab Account Codes** 

Account Code Description Start Date End Date

**Forms** 

Form Code Form Name

**Methods** 

# Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources SWIMS Project Summary

Method Code Description

Fieldwork Events											
Start Date	Status	Field ID St	ation ID	Station I	Name						
Documents	;										
Title		Description	Author		Published	Comments					
ARRA 15 Village of Sussex Spring Creek Contract			Wdnr		10/29/2009						
ARRA 15 Village of Sussex			Wdnr		07/22/2009						
Spring Creek Description	Project										
ARRA_15_Q1FFY11_Report		t			01/31/2011						
ARRA_15_Q2FFY10_Report		t	Wdnr		04/28/2010						
ARRA_15_Q3	FFY10_Report	t			07/30/2010						
ARRA_15_Q4FFY10_Report					11/01/2010						
		preliminary conceptual cross section and floodplain - plantings, etc.	n Beth We		07/07/0010						
preliminary plans 7/7/10		Preliminary conceptual realignment locations 1 & 2	Beth We		07/07/2010						
Budget											
Code	Descripti	on	Q	uantity	Units	Unit Cost	Total Cost				
Test Code Description T		est Group		# Planned	Unit Cost	Total Cost					

Total SLOH Lab Costs: \$0.00