State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources dnr.wi.gov

Final Report

Targeted Runoff Management Grant Program and Urban Nonpoint Source and Storm Water Management Grant Program

Form 3400-189 (R 11/05)

Page 1

Notice: This final report is authorized by ss. 281.65 and 281.66, Wis. Stats., and chs. NR 153 and NR 155, Wis. Adm. Code. Personally identifiable information collected will be used for program administration and may be made available to requesters as required under Wisconsin's Open Records Law [ss. 19.31-19.39, Wis. Stats.].

Instructions: The grant agreement requires grantees to submit a Final Report 60 days after the end date listed in the grant agreement. This

	t form must be used report to DNR.	in conjunction with the	FINAL REPORT IN	STRUCTIONS." The inst	ructions detail how to	complete and
1. Grant Ty	/pe					22
Agricul	tural - Targeted Runof	f Management Grant			•	
Urban	- Targeted Runoff Mar	nagement Grant				
Constr	uction - Urban Nonpoi	nt Source & Storm Wate	r Management Grant			
Plannir	ng - Urban Nonpoint S	ource & Storm Water Ma	nagement Grant			
2. Grantee	& Project Information			and the same of th	4.92.400.000.000000000000000000000000000	
Project Na	me			Grant Number		
KLOTEN S	STAR DAIRY 01			TRC-MA05-08000-05		
Governme	ntal Unit Name			Governmental Unit Type	(city, village, town, etc.)	
Calumet C	ounty			County		
Watershed	Name			Watershed Code		
South Bra	nch of the Manitowo	c River		MA05		
DNR Wate	r Management Unit (R	iver System) Name		Water Body Identification	n Code (WBIC) (if applic	able)
Manitowo						
s. 303(d) V	Vaterbody?	Yes 🔻 🔀 No				
What pollu	tant(s) were addresse	d by the project?				
Extra Nitro	ogen, Phosporus, an	d organic matter get in	to the surface and gr	ound waters from anima	al waste.	
For <u>each</u> p	roject site location pro	vide the following: (attac	ch additional sheets if r	necessary)		
	Location:	A	В	C	D	E
Minor Civil	Division Name			,	·	
PLSS	Town	18N				

19E Range 17 Section Quarter 2 1 Quarter-Quarter 88° 15' 29" W Latitude Longitude 44° 2' 9" N Property Jeffery Kohlman Name Owner(s) Mailing address W4412 Hwy F Chilton, WI 53014 Site address (if different than mailing address)

3. Summary of Results

A. Performance Standards and Prohibitions and Other Water Resources Management Priorities

For grants issued in calendar year 2006 or later, complete Tables A and B (following) consistent with the entries on your grant application.

For grants issued prior to calendar year 2006, complete Tables A and B, to the best of your knowledge, consistent with the entries on your grant application.

Table A. Performance Standards and Prohibitions (per ch. NR 151, Wis. Adm. Code, effective October 1, 2002)

Performance Standard or Prohibition	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Sheet, rill and wind erosion	Acres meeting T		
Manure Storage Facilities: New Construction/Alterations	Number of facilities	1	Number
	Number of animal units	364	Number x 1.4
Manure Storage Facilities: Closure	Number of facilities	1	Number
Manure Storage Facilities: Failing/Leaking Facilities	Number of facilities	1	Number
	Number of animal units		
Clean Water Diversions in WQMA	Pollutant load reduction		
	Number of farms with diversions		
	Number animal units		
Nutrient Management on Agricultural Land	Acres planned	398	Acres
Prohibition: Manure Storage Overflow	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Prohibition: Unconfined Manure Pile in WQMA	Number of farms		
Prohibition: Direct Runoff From Feedlot/Stored Manure	Pollutant load reduction		
	Number of facilities		
•	Number of animal units		
Prohibition: Unlimited Livestock Access	Feet of bank protected		
	Number of farms		
Urban: 20-40% Reduction in Total Suspended Solids (TSS	Pounds TSS reduced		
	% TSS reduction		

Table B. Other Water Resources Management Priorities

I. Agricultural Areas	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Buffers	Feet of bank protected		
	Number of farms		
Streambank	Tons of bank erosion reduced		
	Feet of bank protected		
Other (specify)			
II. Developed Urban Areas	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Urban: 20-40% Reduction in TSS	Pounds TSS reduced		
	% TSS reduction		
Infiltration	% Pre-development stay-on volume		
	Cubic feet stay-on volume		·
Peak flow discharge	Change in cubic feet per second		
Protective areas	Feet of bank protected		
Fueling & maintenance areas	Oily sheen presence		
Streambank	Tons of bank erosion reduced		
	Feet of bank protected		
Other (specify)			
III. Planning	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Quantify how implementation of the planning project	Municipalities planned for		
decreased storm water impacts on state waters (i.e., storm water plan, I & E plan, etc.)	Acres planned for		
Document/track progress made in implementing the planning	Municipalities planned for		,
product (i.e., ordinance, utility district evaluation/formation, storm water management plan information & education, etc.)	Acres planned for		
Other (specify)			

Final Report Targeted Runoff Management and Urban Nor	point Source & Storm Water Management Grant Programs
Form 3400-189 (R 11/05)	Page 3

B. Project Results Narrative

The purpose of this project was to reduce groundwater contamination from animal waste. Private wells at and around the area of the project site had unsafe levels of nitrates and/or bacteria. An old earthen manure storage on the project site is thought to have been a major contributing source to the groundwater contamination. The project site is located on shallow bedrock and groundwater. This site also has sandier soils that have a low plasticity index.

After site investigations which included test pits and a few visits with the landowner a plan to deal with this site was agreed upon. It was decided that to properly abandon the old earthen manure storage, and to install a liquid tight concrete lined manure storage system was the best method to deal with this site. The earthen storage at the original project site was properly abandoned. The landowner was also required to develop and implement a nutrient management plan for all of his owned and rented land.

Pre-project evaluations included direct observations of bedrock depth on the project site and of the soil beneath the earthen storage and water testing of private wells on-site and in the area of the site. When the earthen manure storage was emptied, a test pit was dug in the bottom of it. The soil in the side embankments and bottom of the storage was light textured, saturated with manure, and had an ammonia type smell. Based on these observations, it is very likely that animal waste from the manure storage was entering the bedrock. Since the manure storage was properly abandoned, the pollutant loads from these sources to groundwater were greatly reduced at this site.

The condition of the groundwater at and around the site has been and continues to be monitored. Prior to the project, well water from a private well on-site and wells within a few miles around the site were tested for nitrates and bacteria. Results indicated that the well water on site and in at least 19 other wells was unsafe due to high nitrate levels and/or bacteria. In cooperation with DNR, five wells in the area were tested before and after installation of the grant project. Testing will include nitrate levels and concentrations of Coliform bacteria and Ecoli bacteria, to track and analyze groundwater quality trends. The well owners will be asked to continue testing for nitrate levels and bacteria presence at 12 month intervals for two more years. Testing results will be mapped and analyzed to see if groundwater quality on site and area improves. These five wells were tested just prior to project completion and just after project completion. Nitrate levels remained the about the same in all of them and Coliform bacteria concentrations decreased in all of them. Three of the wells tested positive for Ecoli prior to project completion and negative afterward. Starting May of 2006 and going thru May of 2007 monthly sampling of 10 wells has and will take place. More time and testing results are needed to determine trends in groundwater quality and whether long term improvements in area groundwater quality will occur as a result of the project. Calumet County Land and Water Conservation Department will continue monitoring activities for the next 3 years and will make these determinations.

The previous condition of this project site and the impacts of it on the groundwater resource have been used in at least five educational presentations to farmers and rural landowners and in two nutrient management workshops for farmers.

The project site landowner will be issued a notice that the site complies with NR151.09 performance standards related to manure storage, closure of manure storage, and runoff, once standard procedures and forms are developed for Calumet County. It is anticipated that the procedures and forms will be developed as part of the update of the Calumet County Land and Water Resource Management Plan in 2006. A process to track, evaluate, and report statue of compliance with NR151 will also be developed at that time.

1	ź.	Η,	-	13		4		ŕ.		Ė			1			ż		Ü	Ė		ż	2	2	1	r	٠	2	2	×	9		11		4:		٠	22	4	ੁ	4	'n:	£	ú			١.	ä	٤.	_	L				
	4		_		н	ı.	5	Tá	7	г	Ti	Ю	и	1:	t	П	: 1	Ν	п	и	п	ш	æ.	3.		< 1	н	u	и	л	ii	e	31	1	п	2	n	а	S	. 1	. 1	1	÷	11	IJ	ш	H	н	 и	L	н	t	31	

If cost sharing for this project was offered under a formal notice to achieve compliance with performance standards or prohibitions, provide information for each notice in the table below

		Notice Information		Notic	e Satist	action Information
	100000000000000000000000000000000000000			Satis	fied?	78 - 62 - 10 - 12 - 13 - 12 - 13 - 13 - 13
Notice Type	Issue Date	From (Name)	To (Name)	Yes	No	Date Letter Sent
				П	П	

5. Summary of Project Challenges

The biggest challenge with this project was the site itself. We needed to design a manure storage that was safe for this site. The site has shallow bedrock, shallow groundwater, light soils, and a large internally drained area just north of the buildings that actually ponds up with water each spring. Although somewhat costly it was determined liquid tight concrete was the only effective way to address this site.

Also many DNR forms for projects like this require listing land descriptions in sections and quarter/ quarter of sections. Two of our townships in Calumet County are described in government lots instead of sections. We were asked to convert them to sections for some forms and did this by looking at other maps which wrongfully show section lines in these townships. We recommend that DNR and other state agencies convert their forms and maps to allow the location of projects in government lot land description.

Form 3400-189 (R 11/05) 6. Additional Information about the Project (optional) See attached photos of project site. 7. Planning Product (UNPS&SW - Planning Projects only) Check here if a printed copy of the planning product (e.g., plans, ordinances, analyses) was sent to your DNR Regional Nonpoint Source Coordinator. Name of Document Date Submitted to NPS Coordinator Date(s) effective 8. Grantee Certification: \boxtimes Check here to certify that, to the best of your knowledge, the information contained in this report is correct and true. Type or print Name and Title of Authorized Representative certifying here. William P. Craig, County Administrator Signature of Authorized Representative Date

Final Report Targeted Runoff Management and Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant Programs



Old pit before being closed.



Bedrock a few feet below bottom of old pit.



New pit being used.



Old pit as it is being closed.



Concrete being poured in new pit.



New pit being used.



