

Notice: This final report is authorized by ss. 281.65 and 281.66, Wis. Stats., and chs. NR 153 and NR 155, Wis. Adm. Code. Personally identifiable information collected will be used for program administration and may be made available to requesters as required under Wisconsin's Open Records Law [ss. 19.31-19.39, Wis. Stats.].

Instructions: The grant agreement requires grantees to submit a Final Report 60 days after the end date listed in the grant agreement. This Final Report form must be used in conjunction with the "FINAL REPORT INSTRUCTIONS." The instructions detail how to complete and submit the report to DNR.

1. Grant Type

- Agricultural - Targeted Runoff Management Grant
- Urban - Targeted Runoff Management Grant
- Construction - Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant
- Planning - Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant

TRC

2. Grantee & Project Information

Project Name West Branch Sugar River	Grant Number TRM-13000-00
Governmental Unit Name Dane County LCD	Governmental Unit Type (city, village, town, etc.) County
Watershed Name West Branch Sugar River/Mt. Vernon Creek	Watershed Code SP 16
DNR Water Management Unit (River System) Name G/P/S/P	Water Body Identification Code (WBIC) (if applicable)

s. 303(d) Waterbody? Yes No

What pollutant(s) were addressed by the project?
Sediment delivery, streambank erosion, habitat

For each project site location provide the following: (attach additional sheets if necessary)

Location:		A	B	C	D	E
Minor Civil Division Name						
PLSS	Town	5N				
	Range	7E				
	Section	11,14&15				
	Quarter	NW				
	Quarter-Quarter	NE				
Latitude		42° 54' 55"				
Longitude		89° 38' 14"				
Property Owner(s)	Name	M. Raiston Property				
	Mailing address					
Site address (if different than mailing address)						

3. Summary of Results

A. Performance Standards and Prohibitions and Other Water Resources Management Priorities

For grants issued in calendar year 2006 or later, complete Tables A and B (following) consistent with the entries on your grant application. For grants issued prior to calendar year 2006, complete Tables A and B, *to the best of your knowledge*, consistent with the entries on your grant application.

Table A. Performance Standards and Prohibitions (per ch. NR 151, Wis. Adm. Code, effective October 1, 2002)

Performance Standard or Prohibition	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Sheet, rill and wind erosion	Acres meeting T		
Manure Storage Facilities: New Construction/Alterations	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Manure Storage Facilities: Closure	Number of facilities		
Manure Storage Facilities: Failing/Leaking Facilities	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Clean Water Diversions in WQMA	Pollutant load reduction		
	Number of farms with diversions		
	Number animal units		
Nutrient Management on Agricultural Land	Acres planned		
Prohibition: Manure Storage Overflow	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Prohibition: Unconfined Manure Pile in WQMA	Number of farms		
Prohibition: Direct Runoff From Feedlot/Stored Manure	Pollutant load reduction		
	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Prohibition: Unlimited Livestock Access	Feet of bank protected		
	Number of farms		
Urban: 20-40% Reduction in Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	Pounds TSS reduced		
	% TSS reduction		

Table B. Other Water Resources Management Priorities

I. Agricultural Areas	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Buffers	Feet of bank protected		
	Number of farms		
Streambank	Tons of bank erosion reduced		
	Feet of bank protected		
Other (specify)			
II. Developed Urban Areas	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Urban: 20-40% Reduction in TSS	Pounds TSS reduced		
	% TSS reduction		
Infiltration	% Pre-development stay-on volume		
	Cubic feet stay-on volume		
Peak flow discharge	Change in cubic feet per second		
Protective areas	Feet of bank protected		
Fueling & maintenance areas	Oily sheen presence		
Streambank	Tons of bank erosion reduced		
	Feet of bank protected		
Other (specify)			
III. Planning	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Quantify how implementation of the planning project decreased storm water impacts on state waters (i.e., storm water plan, I & E plan, etc.)	Municipalities planned for		
	Acres planned for		
Document/track progress made in implementing the planning product (i.e., ordinance, utility district evaluation/formation, storm water management plan information & education, etc.)	Municipalities planned for		
	Acres planned for		
Other (specify)			

B. Project Results Narrative

Please see Report prepared by James Amhrein for delisting to USEPA.

**TRM PROJECT 2000
HWY 92 TO HWY U
2.6MILES**

West Branch Sugar River Project

The DNR selected the West Branch of the Sugar River as a project through the new Targeted Resource Management (TRM) Program. The Dane County Land Conservation Department (LCD) received a \$150,000 grant to install conservation practices within one-and-a-half years.

A local work group comprised of LCD, DNR, landowners and operators, Upper Sugar River Watershed Association (USRWA) and Trout Unlimited (TU) developed a management plan in early 2000. Streambank protection and fish habitat restoration were prioritized on the river. The LCD and DNR fish management staff provided the technical support and oversight of the project installation. USRWA (\$14,200) and TU (\$1,500) provided in-kind labor for the construction of fish habitat structures and removal and installation of fencing. TU received a 20-year, 66-foot-wide easement on the project area for public access.

The construction portion of the project was completed in November 2000. Some clean-up, 3,500 feet of fencing, tree planting and re-seeding is all that remains to be completed. Practices installed on three different properties include 9,300 feet of riprap and edging, 18,700 feet of shaping and seeding, 292 fish habitat structures, 10,000 feet of permanent fencing and 12 acres of critical area seeding.

Project costs totaled \$286,340. DNR provided \$150,000 from the TRM program and \$73,169, from their Trout Stamp Funds, and \$5,000 from their Habitat Fund. The USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service provided \$30,000 from their Wildlife Habitat Incentives Program and DATCP's LWRM Program provided \$10,500.

4. Satisfaction of Notice Requirements (if applicable)

If cost sharing for this project was offered under a formal notice to achieve compliance with performance standards or prohibitions, provide information for each notice in the table below.

Notice Information				Notice Satisfaction Information		
Notice Type	Issue Date	From (Name)	To (Name)	Satisfied?		Date Letter Sent
				Yes	No	
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	

5. Summary of Project Challenges

6. Additional Information about the Project (optional)

7. Planning Product (UNPS&SW - Planning Projects only)

Check here if a printed copy of the planning product (e.g., plans, ordinances, analyses) was sent to your DNR Regional Nonpoint Source Coordinator.

Name of Document	Date(s) effective	Date Submitted to NPS Coordinator
------------------	-------------------	-----------------------------------

8. Grantee Certification:

Check here to certify that, to the best of your knowledge, the information contained in this report is correct and true.

Type or print Name and Title of Authorized Representative certifying here.

Date

Pete Jopke

May 25, 2006