State of Wisconsin
Department of Natural Resources

dnr.wi.gov

Final Report

Targeted Runoff Management Grant Program and Urban Nonpoint Source and Storm Water Management Grant Program

Form 3400-189 (R 11/05)

Notice: This final report is authorized by ss. 281.65 and 281.66, Wis. Stats., and chs. NR 153 and NR 155, Wis. Adm. Code. Personally identifiable information collected will be used for program administration and may be made available to requesters as required under Wisconsin's Open Records Law [ss. 19.31-19.39, Wis. Stats.].

Instructions: The grant agreement requires grantees to submit a Final Report 60 days after the end date listed in the grant agreement. This Final Report form must be used in conjunction with the "FINAL REPORT INSTRUCTIONS." The instructions detail how to complete and submit the report to DNR.

1. Grant Type

☐ Agricultural - Targeted Runoff Management Grant

☒ Urban - Targeted Runoff Management Grant

☐ Construction - Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant

☐ Planning - Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant

2. Grantee & Project Information

Project Name	Grant Number
Dunn's Marsh Storm Water Diversion Pond	**USC-LR08-13251-08 B**
Governmental Unit Name	Governmental Unit Type (city, village, town, etc.)
Madison	**City**
Watershed Name	Watershed Code
Yahara River & Lake Monona	**LR08**
DNR Water Management Unit (River System) Name	Water Body Identification Code (WBIC) (if applicable)
Nine Springs Creek	**804200**

s. 303(d) Waterbody? ☒ Yes ☐ No

What pollutant(s) were addressed by the project?

Sediment, Phosphorus

For **each** project site location provide the following: (attach additional sheets if necessary)

Location:		A	B	C	D	E
Minor Civil Division Name		**Fitchburg, City of**				
PLSS	Town	**06**				
	Range	**09**				
	Section	**5**				
	Quarter	**NE**				
	Quarter-Quarter	**SE**				
Latitude		**43°1'29"**				
Longitude		**89°26'57"**				
Property Owner(s)	Name	**City of Madison**				
	Mailing address	**210 MLK Jr Blvd, Rm 403, Madison, WI 53703**				
Site address *(if different than mailing address)*		**3111 S Seminole Hwy Fitchburg, WI**				

3. Summary of Results

A. Performance Standards and Prohibitions and Other Water Resources Management Priorities

For grants issued in calendar year 2006 or later, complete Tables A and B (following) consistent with the entries on your grant application. For grants issued prior to calendar year 2006, complete Tables A and B, *to the best of your knowledge*, consistent with the entries on your grant application.

Table A. Performance Standards and Prohibitions (per ch. NR 151, Wis. Adm. Code, effective October 1, 2002)

Performance Standard or Prohibition	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Sheet, rill and wind erosion	Acres meeting T		
Manure Storage Facilities: New Construction/Alterations	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Manure Storage Facilities: Closure	Number of facilities		
Manure Storage Facilities: Failing/Leaking Facilities	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Clean Water Diversions in WQMA	Pollutant load reduction		
	Number of farms with diversions		
	Number animal units		
Nutrient Management on Agricultural Land	Acres planned		
Prohibition: Manure Storage Overflow	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Prohibition: Unconfined Manure Pile in WQMA	Number of farms		
Prohibition: Direct Runoff From Feedlot/Stored Manure	Pollutant load reduction		
	Number of facilities		
	Number of animal units		
Prohibition: Unlimited Livestock Access	Feet of bank protected		
	Number of farms		
Urban: 20-40% Reduction in Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	Pounds TSS reduced	**10926**	**SLAMM**
	% TSS reduction	**48**	**SLAMM**

Table B. Other Water Resources Management Priorities

I. Agricultural Areas	Units of Measure	Quantity	Measurement Method Used
Buffers	Feet of bank protected		
	Number of farms		
Streambank	Tons of bank erosion reduced		
	Feet of bank protected		
Other (specify)			
II. Developed Urban Areas	**Units of Measure**	**Quantity**	**Measurement Method Used**
Urban: 20-40% Reduction in TSS	Pounds TSS reduced		
	% TSS reduction		
Infiltration	% Pre-development stay-on volume		
	Cubic feet stay-on volume		
Peak flow discharge	Change in cubic feet per second		
Protective areas	Feet of bank protected		
Fueling & maintenance areas	Oily sheen presence		
Streambank	Tons of bank erosion reduced		
	Feet of bank protected		
Other (specify)			
III. Planning	**Units of Measure**	**Quantity**	**Measurement Method Used**
Quantify how implementation of the planning project decreased storm water impacts on state waters (*i.e.*, storm water plan, I & E plan, *etc*.)	Municipalities planned for		
	Acres planned for		
Document/track progress made in implementing the planning product (*i.e.*, ordinance, utility district evaluation/formation, storm water management plan information & education, *etc*.)	Municipalities planned for		
	Acres planned for		
Other (specify)			

B. Project Results Narrative

Prior to construction of the pond, storm water traveled south on Seminole Highway to the Union Pacific Railroad tracks, at which point it crossed Seminole in a pipe, emptying into Greene Prairie in the Arboretum, and eventually into Nine Springs Creek. This project has redirected flow into the pond, settling out 48% of TSS, before emptying into Dunn's Marsh.

The project goals for the storm relocation and pond construction were to reduce undesirable sediment deposition to both Dunn's Marsh and Greene Prairie while also reducing the erosive velocities and peak flows to downstream waterways.

4. Satisfaction of Notice Requirements (if applicable)

If cost sharing for this project was offered under a formal notice to achieve compliance with performance standards or prohibitions, provide information for each notice in the table below.

Notice Information				Notice Satisfaction Information		
				Satisfied?		
Notice Type	Issue Date	From (Name)	To (Name)	Yes	No	Date Letter Sent
				☐	☐	
				☐	☐	
				☐	☐	
				☐	☐	

5. Summary of Project Challenges

Th e only challenge with this project was establishing good vegetated cover following construction. A cover crop and detention seed mix were applied to the area late fall 2007. During a site visit on May 15 with Laura Madsen, DNR, it was apparent that the plants were sparse and the initial seeding had failed. As a result, the entire area was reseeded and today the vegetation is established.

6. Additional Information about the Project (optional)

7. Planning Product (UNPS&SW - Planning Projects only)

☐ Check here if a printed copy of the planning product (e.g., plans, ordinances, analyses) was sent to your DNR Regional Nonpoint Source Coordinator.

Name of Document	Date(s) effective	Date Submitted to NPS Coordinator

8. Grantee Certification:

☒ Check here to certify that, to the best of your knowledge, the information contained in this report is correct and true.

Type or print Name and Title of Authorized Representative certifying here.

Dave Cieslewicz, Mayor

Signature of Authorized Representative	Date

Cty Madison-Dunn's Marsh Pond_Sep 09, 2008



Legend

- Railroads
- Local Roads
- NR104 Lines

Outstanding and Exceptional Waters

- Exceptional
- Outstanding
- PRF Sensitive Areas of Lakes

ASNRI Outstanding and Exceptional Streams

- ORW
- ORW
- ERW

ASNRI Outstanding and Exceptional Lakes

- ERW
- ORW
- ORW
- ASNRI Wild and Scenic Rivers

ASNRI Trout Streams

- Class I Trout
- Class II Trout
- Class III Trout
- ASNRI Wild Rice Streams
- ASNRI Wild Rice Areas
- ASNRI Quality Wetland Streams
- ASNRI Quality Wetland Areas
- ASNRI NHI Streams
- ASNRI NHI Areas
- PNW Musky Streams

N

Scale: 1:17,015