State of Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources dnr.wi.gov

## Final Report (CY 2003 Grants and Prior)

Targeted Runoff Management Grant Program and Urban Nonpoint Source and Storm Water Management Grant Program

Form 3400-189P (R 11/05)

Page 1

Notice: This final report is authorized by ss. 281.65 and 281.66, Wis. Stats., and chs. NR 153 and NR 155, Wis. Adm. Code. Personally identifiable information collected will be used for program administration and may be made available to requesters as required under Wisconsin's Open Records Law [ss. 19.31-19.39, Wis. Stats.].

Instructions: The grant agreement requires grantees to submit a Final Report 60 days after the end date listed in the grant agreement. This Final Report form must be used in conjunction with the "FINAL REPORT INSTRUCTIONS." The instructions detail how to complete and submit the report to DNR.

| submit the report to DNR.  |  |                        |   |  |  |   |  |  |
|--|--|------------------------|---|--|--|---|--|--|
| 1. Grant Type  |  |                        |   |  |  |   |  |  |
| Agricultural - Targeted Runoff Management Grant  |  |                        |   |  |  |   |  |  |
| Urban  | Urban - Targeted Runoff Management Grant |                        |   |  |  |   |  |  |
| Construction - Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant                                  |  |                        |   |  |  |   |  |  |
| Planni   | ng - Urban Nonpoint S                    | ource & Storm Water Ma | anagement Grant                                       |  |  |   |  |  |
| 2. Grantee   | & Project Information                    |                        |   |  |  |   |  |  |
| Project Na   | me                                       |                        |   | Grant Number                                       |  |   |  |  |
| Squaw La   | ke Wetland Restorat                      | ion Project            |   | TRM-56000-01                                       |  |   |  |  |
| Governme   | ntal Unit Name                           |                        |   | Governmental Unit Type (city, village, town, etc.) |  |   |  |  |
| St. Croix County   |  |                        |   | County   |  |   |  |  |
| Watershed Name   |  |                        | Watershed Code  |  |  |   |  |  |
| Trout Brook  |  |                        |   | SC-08  |  |   |  |  |
| DNR Water Management Unit (River System) Name  |  |                        | Water Body Identification Code (WBIC) (if applicable) |  |  |   |  |  |
| Unnamed  |  |                        |   | 2499000  |  |   |  |  |
| s. 303(d) Waterbody?   |  |                        |   |  |  |   |  |  |
| What pollutant(s) were addressed by the project?   |  |                        |   |  |  |   |  |  |
| phosphorus & sediment  |  |                        |   |  |  |   |  |  |
| For <u>each</u> project site location provide the following: (attach additional sheets if necessary) |  |                        |   |  |  |   |  |  |
| Location: A B C D E  |  |                        |   |  |  | E |  |  |
| Minor Civil Division Name Star Prairie Star Prairie  |  |                        |   |  |  |   |  |  |
| DI SS  | Town                                     | T31N                   | T31N  |  |  |   |  |  |

|                                     | Location:       | A  | В  | C | D | E |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|--|--|---|---|---|
| Minor Civil Division Name           |                 | Star Prairie   | Star Prairie                               |   |   |   |
| PLSS                                | Town            | T31N   | T31N                                       |   |   |   |
|                                     | Range           | R18W   | R18W                                       |   |   |   |
|                                     | Section         | 5  | 8  |   |   |   |
|                                     | Quarter         | SW   | NW   |   |   |   |
|                                     | Quarter-Quarter | sw   | NE   |   |   |   |
| Latitude                            |                 | 92° 37' 41.6" W  | 92° 37' 27.6" W                            |   |   |   |
| Longitude                           |                 | 45° 11' 48.1" N  | 45° 11' 41.8" N                            |   |   |   |
| Property<br>Owner(s)                | Name            | U.S. Fish and<br>Wildlife Service                      | U.S. Fish and<br>Wildlife Service          |   |   |   |
|                                     | Mailing address | 1764 95 <sup>th</sup> St. New<br>Richmond, WI<br>54017 | 1764 95th St. New<br>Richmond, WI<br>54017 |   |   |   |
| Site address                        |                 | Cty Rd H   | Cty Rd H                                   |   |   |   |
| (if different than mailing address) |                 |  |  |   |   |   |

## 3. Summary of Results

A. Performance Standards and Prohibitions and Other Water Resources Management Priorities

For grants issued in calendar year 2006 or later, complete Tables A and B (following) consistent with the entries on your grant application. For grants issued <u>prior</u> to calendar year 2006, complete Tables A and B, *to the best of your knowledge*, consistent with the entries on your grant application.

Table A. Performance Standards and Prohibitions (per ch. NR 151, Wis. Adm. Code, effective October 1, 2002)

| Performance Standard or Prohibition                     | Units of Measure                | Quantity | Measurement Method Used |
|---|---------------------------------|----------|-------------------------|
| Sheet, rill and wind erosion                            | Acres meeting T                 |          |                         |
| Manure Storage Facilities: New Construction/Alterations | Number of facilities            |          |                         |
|   | Number of animal units          |          |                         |
| Manure Storage Facilities: Closure                      | Number of facilities            |          |                         |
| Manure Storage Facilities: Failing/Leaking Facilities   | Number of facilities            |          |                         |
|   | Number of animal units          |          |                         |
| Clean Water Diversions in WQMA                          | Pollutant load reduction        |          |                         |
|   | Number of farms with diversions |          |                         |
|   | Number animal units             |          |                         |
| Nutrient Management on Agricultural Land                | Acres planned                   |          |                         |
| Prohibition: Manure Storage Overflow                    | Number of facilities            |          |                         |
|   | Number of animal units          |          |                         |
| Prohibition: Unconfined Manure Pile in WQMA             | Number of farms                 |          |                         |
| Prohibition: Direct Runoff From Feedlot/Stored Manure   | Pollutant load reduction        |          |                         |
|   | Number of facilities            |          |                         |
|   | Number of animal units          |          |                         |
| Prohibition: Unlimited Livestock Access                 | Feet of bank protected          |          |                         |
|   | Number of farms                 |          |                         |
| Urban: 20-40% Reduction in Total Suspended Solids (TSS) | Pounds TSS reduced              |          |                         |
|   | % TSS reduction                 |          |                         |

Table B. Other Water Resources Management Priorities

| I. Agricultural Areas   | Units of Measure                 | Quantity | Measurement Method Used |
|---|----------------------------------|----------|-------------------------|
| Buffers   | Feet of bank protected           |          |                         |
|   | Number of farms                  |          |                         |
| Streambank  | Tons of bank erosion reduced     |          |                         |
|   | Feet of bank protected           |          |                         |
| Other (specify)   |                                  |          |                         |
| II. Developed Urban Areas   | Units of Measure                 | Quantity | Measurement Method Used |
| Urban: 20-40% Reduction in TSS  | Pounds TSS reduced               |          |                         |
|   | % TSS reduction                  |          |                         |
| Infiltration  | % Pre-development stay-on volume |          |                         |
|   | Cubic feet stay-on volume        |          |                         |
| Peak flow discharge   | Change in cubic feet per second  |          |                         |
| Protective areas  | Feet of bank protected           |          |                         |
| Fueling & maintenance areas   | Oily sheen presence              |          |                         |
| Streambank  | Tons of bank erosion reduced     |          |                         |
|   | Feet of bank protected           |          |                         |
| Other (specify)   |                                  |          |                         |
| III. Planning   | Units of Measure                 | Quantity | Measurement Method Used |
| Quantify how implementation of the planning project   | Municipalities planned for       |          |                         |
| decreased storm water impacts on state waters (i.e., storm water plan, I & E plan, etc.)                                    | Acres planned for                |          |                         |
| Document/track progress made in implementing the planning   | Municipalities planned for       |          |                         |
| product (i.e., ordinance, utility district evaluation/formation, storm water management plan information & education, etc.) | Acres planned for                |          |                         |
| Other (specify)   |                                  |          |                         |

## B. Project Results Narrative

The Squaw Lake Restoation project completed two runoff control control structures that restored 39 acres of drained wetlands. In addition 110 acres of uplands have been converted from cropland to permananent native vegetation including grasses and thirty forb species. The runoff control structures will capture the first flush of nutrient laden runoff and allow nutrients and sediment to settle out in the wetlands. The water will be drained each fall to allow maximum capacity in the wetlands in preparation for the nutrient laden spring runoff. The restored wetlands will also provide valuable wildlife habitat for migrating waterfowl and shore birds. (See attached picture, 3)

- Pre-restoration evaluation
- Watershed hydraulic modeling conducted by DNR and USDA/NRCS engineers from 1998 2000 shows that restored wetlands have the capacity to temporarily detain 14% of spring runoff, on a 2.8" snowmelt year.
- In-lake water quality modeling and watershed mass balance calculations for phosphorus were conducted by John Panuska, DNR, in 1996 and updated in 2000. Calculations show that the wetland restorations will be able to detain 14% of the annual phosphorus load to Squaw Lake, depending on runoff volumes.
- Spring runoff flows have been monitored since 1996, and utilized in calculating runoff volumes and nutrient loads to Squaw Lake.
- Water quality monitoring has been conducted since 1986 for Squaw Lake, as part of the Long-Term Trend Monitoring program. In addition, spring runoff samples have been collected since 1996. Monitoring will provide a baseline for comparison to post-installation sampling.

A number of models were used to estimate the P loading budget. WINHUSLE, a Wisconsin developed USLE/hydrologic runoff model, and the phosphorus export coefficients of the Wisconsin Lake Model Spreadsheet (WILMS) were used to estimate P from uplands (croplands and woodlands). BARNY, a Wisconsin adapted version of the ARS feedlot runoff model was used to estimate phosphorus from animal lots. SLAMM was used to estimate P from residential development. Field inventory data was used to estimate P from manure spreading in winter and shorelines.

In 2005 a Lake Planning Grant was applied for to collect spring runoff samples. The purpose of this Grant is to monitor water volumes and phosphorus concentrations in spring runoff over a period of three years. Overall, we would like to determine how effective the wetlands are in attenuating sediment and phosphorus.

Too date there has been two on site events that have showcased the project. First was the project dedication day and second was the conservation showcase site to celebrate the year of conservation 2005. Both events had appproximately thirty individuals in attendance. (See attached pictures, 1 and 2)

| <ol><li>Satisfaction of Notice</li></ol> | e Requirements | (if applicable) |
|--|----------------|-----------------|
|--|----------------|-----------------|

If cost sharing for this project was offered under a formal notice to achieve compliance with performance standards or prohibitions, provide information for each notice in the table below.

| Notice Information |            |             |           |            | Notice Satisfaction Information |                  |  |
|--------------------|------------|-------------|-----------|------------|---------------------------------|------------------|--|
|                    |            |             |           | Satisfied? |                                 |                  |  |
| Notice Type        | Issue Date | From (Name) | To (Name) | Yes        | No                              | Date Letter Sent |  |
|                    |            |             |           |            |                                 |                  |  |
|                    |            |             |           |            |                                 |                  |  |
|                    |            |             |           |            |                                 |                  |  |
|                    |            |             |           |            |                                 |                  |  |

## 5. Summary of Project Challenges

One of the major project challenges was having Ducks unlimited come up with a runoff control structure design that met the needs of all the parties involved, including Ducks Unlimited, U.S. Flsh and Wildlife Service, Department of Natural Resources, and St. Croix County.

| 7. Planning Product (UNPS&SW - Planning Projects only)  |            |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Check here if a printed copy of the planning product (e.g., plans, ordinances, analyses) was sent to your DNR Regional Nonpoint Source Coordinator. |            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Name of Document Date(s) effective Date Submitted to NPS Coordinator  |            |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | , ,        |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. Grantee Certification:   |            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Check here to certify that, to the best of your knowledge, the information contained in this report is correct and true.                            |            |  |  |  |  |  |
| Type or print Name and Title of Authorized Representative certifying here.  | Date       |  |  |  |  |  |
| Steve Olson   | 12-14-2005 |  |  |  |  |  |



2005 Showcase County Board Chairman Presenting



Wetland and Dedication

