

Notice: This final report is authorized by ss. 281.65 and 281.66, Wis. Stats., and chs. NR 153 and NR 155, Wis. Adm. Code. Personally identifiable information collected will be used for program administration and may be made available to requesters as required under Wisconsin's Open Records Law [ss. 19.31-19.39, Wis. Stats.].

Instructions: The grant agreement requires grantees to submit a Final Report 60 days after the end date listed in the grant agreement. This Final Report form must be used in conjunction with the "FINAL REPORT INSTRUCTIONS." The instructions detail how to complete and submit the report to DNR.

1. Grant Type

- Agricultural - Targeted Runoff Management Grant
- Urban - Targeted Runoff Management Grant
- Construction - Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant
- Planning - Urban Nonpoint Source & Storm Water Management Grant

2. Grantee & Project Information

| | |
|--|--|
| Project Name Detention Pond #7 Telluride Trail - Slough Creek | Grant Number USC-LF05-05136-04F |
| Governmental Unit Name Village of Howard | Governmental Unit Type (city, village, town, etc.) Village |
| Watershed Name Duck/Apple/Ashwaubenon | Watershed Code ID LF05-113 |
| DNR Water Management Unit (River System) Name Telluride Trail - Slough Creek | Water Body Identification Code (WBIC) (if applicable) |

s. 303(d) Waterbody? Yes No

What pollutant(s) were addressed by the project?

N/A

For **each** project site location provide the following: (attach additional sheets if necessary)

| Location: | | A | B | C | D | E |
|--|-----------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| Minor Civil Division Name | | | | | | |
| PLSS | Town | T24N | | | | |
| | Range | R20E | | | | |
| | Section | 4 | | | | |
| | Quarter | | | | | |
| | Quarter-Quarter | SE 1/4, SW 1/4 | | | | |
| Latitude | | | | | | |
| Longitude | | | | | | |
| Property Owner(s) | Name | Village of Howard | | | | |
| | Mailing address | 2456 Glendale Avenue, Green Bay WI 54313 | | | | |
| Site address <i>(if different than mailing address)</i> | | | | | | |

RECEIVED
 JUL 30 2007
 BUREAU OF WATERSHED MGNT

3. Summary of Results

A. Performance Standards and Prohibitions and Other Water Resources Management Priorities

For grants issued in calendar year 2006 or later, complete Tables A and B (following) consistent with the entries on your grant application. For grants issued prior to calendar year 2006, complete Tables A and B, *to the best of your knowledge*, consistent with the entries on your grant application.

Table A. Performance Standards and Prohibitions (per ch. NR 151, Wis. Adm. Code, effective October 1, 2002)

| Performance Standard or Prohibition | Units of Measure | Quantity | Measurement Method Used |
|---|---------------------------------|----------|-------------------------|
| Sheet, rill and wind erosion | Acres meeting T | | |
| Manure Storage Facilities: New Construction/Alterations | Number of facilities | | |
| | Number of animal units | | |
| Manure Storage Facilities: Closure | Number of facilities | | |
| Manure Storage Facilities: Failing/Leaking Facilities | Number of facilities | | |
| | Number of animal units | | |
| Clean Water Diversions in WQMA | Pollutant load reduction | | |
| | Number of farms with diversions | | |
| | Number animal units | | |
| Nutrient Management on Agricultural Land | Acres planned | | |
| Prohibition: Manure Storage Overflow | Number of facilities | | |
| | Number of animal units | | |
| Prohibition: Unconfined Manure Pile in WQMA | Number of farms | | |
| Prohibition: Direct Runoff From Feedlot/Stored Manure | Pollutant load reduction | | |
| | Number of facilities | | |
| | Number of animal units | | |
| Prohibition: Unlimited Livestock Access | Feet of bank protected | | |
| | Number of farms | | |
| Urban: 20-40% Reduction in Total Suspended Solids (TSS) | Pounds TSS reduced | | |
| | % TSS reduction | | |

Table B. Other Water Resources Management Priorities

| I. Agricultural Areas | Units of Measure | Quantity | Measurement Method Used |
|--|----------------------------------|----------|-------------------------|
| Buffers | Feet of bank protected | | |
| | Number of farms | | |
| Streambank | Tons of bank erosion reduced | | |
| | Feet of bank protected | | |
| Other (specify) | | | |
| II. Developed Urban Areas | Units of Measure | Quantity | Measurement Method Used |
| Urban: 20-40% Reduction in TSS | Pounds TSS reduced | | |
| | % TSS reduction | | |
| Infiltration | % Pre-development stay-on volume | | |
| | Cubic feet stay-on volume | | |
| Peak flow discharge | Change in cubic feet per second | | |
| Protective areas | Feet of bank protected | | |
| Fueling & maintenance areas | Oily sheen presence | | |
| Streambank | Tons of bank erosion reduced | | |
| | Feet of bank protected | | |
| Other (specify) | | | |
| III. Planning | Units of Measure | Quantity | Measurement Method Used |
| Quantify how implementation of the planning project decreased storm water impacts on state waters (<i>i.e.</i> , storm water plan, I & E plan, <i>etc.</i>) | Municipalities planned for | | |
| | Acres planned for | | |
| Document/track progress made in implementing the planning product (<i>i.e.</i> , ordinance, utility district evaluation/formation, storm water management plan information & education, <i>etc.</i>) | Municipalities planned for | | |
| | Acres planned for | | |
| Other (specify) | | | |

B. Project Results Narrative

A Chapter 30 permit was not attainable from local WDNR for any potential site serving this basin. Construction of a BMP could therefore not be completed. See denial letter attached.

4. Satisfaction of Notice Requirements (if applicable)

If cost sharing for this project was offered under a formal notice to achieve compliance with performance standards or prohibitions, provide information for each notice in the table below.

| Notice Information | | | | Notice Satisfaction Information | | |
|--------------------|------------|-------------|-----------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| Notice Type | Issue Date | From (Name) | To (Name) | Satisfied? | | Date Letter Sent |
| | | | | Yes | No | |
| | | | | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| | | | | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| | | | | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| | | | | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |

5. Summary of Project Challenges

6. Additional Information about the Project (optional)

7. Planning Product (UNPS&SW - Planning Projects only)

Check here if a printed copy of the planning product (e.g., plans, ordinances, analyses) was sent to your DNR Regional Nonpoint Source Coordinator.

| | | |
|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Name of Document | Date(s) effective | Date Submitted to NPS Coordinator |
|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|

8. Grantee Certification:

Check here to certify that, to the best of your knowledge, the information contained in this report is correct and true.

Type or print Name and Title of Authorized Representative certifying here.

Joshua Smith, Village Administrator

Signature of Authorized Representative

Joshua A. Smith

Date

7/25/2007



State of Wisconsin \ DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

Jim Doyle, Governor
Scott Hassett, Secretary
Ronald W. Kazmierczak, Regional Director

Northeast Region Headquarters
2984 Shawano Ave.
PO Box 10448
Green Bay, Wisconsin 54307-0448
Telephone 920-662-5100
FAX 920-662-5413
TTY 920-662-5112
GP-NE-2005-5-0852LF

November 28, 2005

Village of Howard
1336 Cornell Road
Green Bay, WI 54313

NOV 29 2005

Dear Sir:

This letter is in response to the general permit application submitted for the stormwater pond (Pond # 7) submitted by Mead & Hunt, Inc. on behalf of the Village of Howard. The location of the proposed stormwater pond is in the NE $\frac{1}{4}$, of the NE $\frac{1}{4}$, in Section 4, T24N R20E in the Village of Howard.

The Department has determined that the proposed activity does not qualify for a general permit. This determination is based on the fact that the waterway that would be redirected into the stormwater pond is considered to be navigable.

Based on this, the application would need to be submitted as an individual permit consisting of a proposal to change the existing channel and enlargement of the waterway. On November 21st, I determined that the waterway has a defined bed and bank and has sufficient water to float a small craft on a reoccurring basis. The waterway is navigable at the point where it would be diverted from its existing channel south to the proposed stormwater pond location.

A major issue with this type of proposal is the possible impact on northern pike movement and spawning. It has been previously identified that this waterway has the potential of being used by migrating northern pike. At this time the Department is suggesting that other locations be considered for this proposed stormwater pond because of this possible conflict.

If you would like to further discuss this proposed project please contact me at (920) 662-5466.

Sincerely,

Jon Brand
Water Management Specialist
Lower Fox Basin

Cc: Brian Sturtevant, Mead & Hunt, Inc., 1345B North Road, Green Bay, WI 54313-5723
Linda Van Pay – NER
Kristy Rogers – NER
Cheryl Bougie – NER
Kevin Kapuscinski – NER
WMS file