

## RESULTS OF SEDIMENT CORE TAKEN FROM KANGEROO LAKE, DOOR COUNTY, WISCONSIN

*Paul Garrison, Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources  
April 2008*

Aquatic organisms are good indicators of a lake's water quality because they are in direct contact with the water and are strongly affected by the chemical composition of their surroundings. Most indicator groups grow rapidly and are short lived so the community composition responds rapidly to changing environmental conditions. One of the most useful organisms for paleolimnological analysis are diatoms. These are a type of algae which possess siliceous cell walls, which enables them to be highly resistant to degradation and are usually abundant, diverse, and well-preserved in sediments. They are especially useful, as they are ecologically diverse. Diatom species have unique features as shown in Figure 1, which enable them to be readily identified. Certain taxa are usually found under nutrient poor conditions while others are more common under elevated nutrient levels. Some species float in the open water areas while others grow attached to objects such as aquatic plants or the lake bottom.

By determining changes in the diatom community it is possible to determine water quality changes that have occurred in the lake. The diatom community provides information about changes in nutrient, water color, and pH conditions as well as alterations in the aquatic plant (macrophyte) community.

On 2 May 2007 a sediment core were taken from near the deep area of Kangeroo Lake. Samples from the top of the core and a section deeper in the core were kept for analysis. It is assumed that the upper sample represents present conditions while the deeper sample is indicative of water quality conditions at least 100 years ago.

### *Water Quality Changes*

Most of the diatoms in the bottom sample were partially degraded and generally in poor shape. The diatoms remaining tended to be large varieties and heavily silicified. This raises the possibility that some smaller diatoms were dissolved over time and their absence in the bottom core sample does not reflect actual conditions when this sediment was deposited. Some of the diatoms most likely to be lost are small benthic *Fragilaria* or some planktonic species. There is no way to know for sure how many diatoms have been lost. The dominant planktonic diatom, *Cyclotella distinguenda*, usually possesses more silica than many other planktonic taxa and those found in the bottom sample were not highly degraded. This likely indicates that the large increase in this species in the top of the core compared with the bottom is probably accurate.

If we assume only a small amount of the diatoms in the bottom samples were lost over time, there has been a large change in the diatom community from the bottom to the top of the core. The diatom community at the bottom of the core is largely composed of taxa that grow amongst aquatic plants. In contrast, the diatom community in the




Figure 1. Micrographs of diatoms *Neidium* (top), a representative of benthic *Fragilaria* (left,) and *Cyclotella distinguenda* (right). *C. distinguenda* is often found floating in the open water while the other diatoms are found attached to substrates such as aquatic plants or on the sediment surface.

top of the core was dominated taxa that grow in the water column of the lake, referred to as planktonic diatoms.

The historical diatom community was dominated by large benthic dwelling diatoms such as *Neidium*, *Cymbella*, and *Pinnularia*. Other studies have found these to be dominant in shallow lakes that healthy plant communities and relatively low nutrient levels.

In contrast to the bottom sample, the diatom community in the top sample is dominated by planktonic diatoms (Figure 2). These are diatom that float in the open water of the lake and are not associated with vascular plants. The most common diatom was *Cyclotella distinguenda* (Figure 1c). There were also more benthic *Fragilaria* in the top sample compared with the bottom sample. These diatoms grow in chains (Figure 1b) within plant beds. Their increase probably indicates a moderate increase in nutrients in the top sample compared with the bottom sample. The dominant benthic *Fragilaria*, *Pseudostaurosira brevisstrata*, tends to favor lower nutrients than other benthic *Fragilaria*.

In summary, the diatom community indicates that Kangaroo Lake historically had a healthy vascular plant community with low to moderate nutrient levels. It is likely that most of the lake at that time was more similar to the part of the lake north of County E. The diatom community in the surface sample contained very few diatoms associated with attached plants and instead was dominated by planktonic diatoms. This indicates a moderate increase in nutrients but more significantly, a loss of much of the vascular plant community.




Figure 2. Changes in abundance of important diatoms found at present and pre-settlement times. *Neidium* grow among aquatic plants and their decline in the top sample indicates there are fewer plants now. *C. distinguenda* grows in the open water of the lake. Its dominance in the surface sample indicates that the diatom assemblage is largely a planktonic community at the present time.

<b>Kangeroo Lake</b>		
<b>Door County</b>		
<b>0-1 cm</b>		
	<b>COUNT TOTAL</b>	
	Number	Prop.
<b>TAXA</b>		
<i>Achnanthes biasolettiana</i> var. <i>subatomus</i>	17	0.042
<i>Achnanthes rosenstockii</i>	13	0.032
<i>Achnantheidium minutissima</i>	3	0.007
<i>Achnantheidium minutissima</i> var. <i>gracillima</i>	4	0.010
<i>Achnantheidium</i> sp.	14	0.035
<i>Amphipleura pellucida</i>	0.5	0.001
<i>Amphora libyca</i>	4	0.010
<i>Amphora pediculus</i>	1	0.002
<i>Brachysira vitrea</i>	10	0.025
<i>Cocconeis placentula</i>	1	0.002
<i>Cyclotella distinguenda</i>	131	0.325
<i>Cyclotella michiganiana</i>	4	0.010
<i>Cyclotella ocellata</i>	1	0.002
<i>Cyclotella</i> sp. 1 RL	6	0.015
<i>Cymbella</i> sp.	2	0.005
<i>Diploneis elliptica</i>	1	0.002
<i>Encyonopsis angustata</i>	5	0.012
<i>Eucoconeis flexella</i>	2	0.005
<i>Fragilaria crotonensis</i>	14	0.035
<i>Fragilaria delicatissima</i>	3	0.007
<i>Fragilaria radians</i>	31	0.077
<i>Gomphonema gracile</i>	2	0.005
<i>Gomphonema</i> sp.	4	0.010
<i>Mastogloia smithii</i> var. <i>lacustris</i>	3	0.007
<i>Navicula aurora</i>	3	0.007
<i>Navicula cryptotenella</i>	4	0.010
<i>Navicula diluviana</i>	3	0.007
<i>Navicula lanceolata</i>	4	0.010
<i>Navicula radiofallax</i>	2	0.005
<i>Navicula viridula</i>	4	0.010
<i>Navicula</i> (GV) (short)	4	0.010
<i>Navicula</i> sp.	8	0.020
<i>Neidium</i> sp.	2	0.005
<i>Nitzschia angustata</i>	0.5	0.001
<i>Nitzschia denticula</i>	26	0.064
<i>Nitzschia gracilis</i>	3.5	0.009
<i>Nitzschia palea</i>	13.5	0.033
<i>Nitzschia</i> sp.	9	0.022
<i>Pinnularia</i> sp.	3	0.007
<i>Pseudostaurosira brevisstrata</i>	24	0.059
<i>Pseudostaurosira brevisstrata</i> var. <i>inflata</i>	1	0.002
<i>Rhopalodia gibba</i>	0.5	0.001
<i>Sellaphora rectangularis</i>	2	0.005
<i>Staurosirella leptostauron</i> var. <i>dubia</i>	1	0.002
<i>Staurosirella pinnata</i>	4	0.010
Unknown	5	0.012
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>403.5</b>	<b>1.000</b>
Planktonic diatoms		0.471
Nonplanktonic diatoms		0.517
Chrysophyte scales	3	
Chrysophyte cysts	9	
Zooplankton parts	2	
Phytolith	2	

<b>Kangeroo Lake</b>		
<b>Door County</b>		
<b>Bottom</b>		
	<b>COUNT TOTAL</b>	
	Number	Prop.
<b>TAXA</b>		
<i>Achnantheidium minutissima</i>	3	0.008
<i>Achnantheidium sp.</i>	1	0.003
<i>Amphora libyca</i>	18	0.045
<i>Aneumastus tusculus</i>	4	0.010
<i>Caloneis silicula</i>	4	0.010
<i>Cyclotella distinguenda</i>	5	0.013
<i>Cymbella ehrenbergii</i>	34	0.085
<i>Cymbella sp.</i>	11	0.028
<i>Gomphonema affine</i>	3	0.008
<i>Gomphonema gracile</i>	1	0.003
<i>Mastogloia smithii var. lacustris</i>	28	0.070
<i>Navicula difficullima</i>	2	0.005
<i>Navicula diluviana</i>	5	0.013
<i>Navicula sp. 21 PIRLA</i>	4	0.010
<i>Navicula sp.</i>	15	0.038
<i>Neidium ampliatum</i>	28	0.070
<i>Neidium iridis</i>	33	0.083
<i>Neidium iridis var. amphigomphus</i>	1	0.003
<i>Neidium sp.</i>	89	0.223
<i>Nitzschia amphibia</i>	1	0.003
<i>Nitzschia sp.</i>	1	0.003
<i>Pinnularia biceps</i>	4	0.010
<i>Pinnularia viridis</i>	1	0.003
<i>Pinnularia sp.</i>	18	0.045
<i>Pseudostaurosira brevisstrata</i>	4	0.010
<i>Sellaphora pupula</i>	2	0.005
<i>Stauroneis sp.</i>	6	0.015
<i>Staurosirella lapponica</i>	1	0.003
Unknown (raphid)	73	0.183
<b>TOTAL</b>	400	1.000
Planktonic diatoms		0.013
Nonplanktonic diatoms		0.805
Chrysophyte scales	3	
Chrysophyte cysts	2	
Zooplankton parts	2	
Phytolith	2	